

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2022 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2022 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.

- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: OH-503 - Columbus/Franklin County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Community Shelter Board

1A-3. CoC Designation: UFA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Community Shelter Board

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.1.a.(1), VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.p., and VII.B.1.r.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022:	
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or	
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	No
5.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
6.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No
10.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	No	No	Yes
20.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	No	No	No
24.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	No	No	No
25.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	Faith-based Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.	Veterans Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(2)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Each spring, the CoC governing body issues a call for membership nominations via a website post and email to a broad array of stakeholders and community partners. When there is an unexpected vacancy on the CoC or CoC Board, the CoC Chair requests nominations from CoC members for the vacancy. The CoC governing body accepts nominations from any source, including self-nominations. The CoC Board reviews nominations and considers whether additional or different representation would improve the CoC's community perspective and expertise. The CoC considers the CoC Board's membership recommendations during their May/June meeting, discusses any additional suggestions, and votes to determine which individuals or organizations will be invited to join the CoC with a July 1 effective date. 2) The CoC is committed to accommodating the communication needs of individuals with disabilities. Calls for nominations and other announcements are emailed and published on the CoC's website. Meeting materials are distributed to members in PDF format via email and/or mailed as hard copies, depending on individual preference. Materials are both shared visually and explained verbally during meetings. 3) The CoC recently added a seat for and recruited an LGBTQ-serving organization. The CoC adopted in 2022 a DEI Plan that sets the goal for the composition of the CoC to be consistent with the composition of the people served by the homelessness system across a range of characteristics, including race, ethnicity, gender, age, LGBTQ+ identity, socio-economic status, disability, and lived experience in the homelessness system and other systems of care. The CoC will pursue this goal over the long-term with an attitude of learning and cultural humility. During this year's CoC membership nomination process, the CoC explicitly requested diverse nominations and CoC members were asked to actively recruit diverse candidates. 57% of the new members this year met the diversity criteria.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(3)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and
3.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Our CoC's strategic and youth plans are updated via public convenings and focused workgroups that incorporate recommendations and ideas from many partners involved in preventing and ending homelessness. The 2022 update of A Place to Call Home, our strategic framework that articulates our community's vision for making sure everyone has a place to call home, includes goals tailored to all populations facing homelessness served by our system of care. The goals are also aligned with broader community work already underway. Community Shelter Board, the UFA, facilitated 12 community partner sessions, targeted system level discussions and community listening sessions where almost 150 individuals voiced their thoughts about preventing and ending homelessness in our community. The Citizens Advisory Council, a group comprised of homeless and formerly homeless individuals that meets monthly and advises the CoC participated in these sessions as well. 2. During the sessions organized to gather community input, CSB staff presented the current state of homelessness and the various programming and strategies already in place, to create a baseline understanding of the system and to facilitate development of new ideas. The virtual presentations allowed for targeted discussions of various goals and attendees were able to provide input and come up with new ideas and strategies to improve our system of care. 3) Feedback from these sessions was summarized in overarching goals, and strategies for each goal. Participants were asked to rate the importance of strategies and those that received a high rating were included in the community plan. If a particular goal or strategy was not ranked of 'high value' by the participants, then additional participant suggestions were incorporated into the plan that were deemed to have the highest impact and value toward preventing and ending homelessness. The community plan includes outcomes and outputs for each strategy to measure the progress of our work. The final plan was presented to the CoC for approval. The plan is revisited annually with the intent of updating the goals and strategies regularly to make sure the plan is in line with current developments and the socio-economic environment.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(4)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
4.	how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA, solicits proposals for new projects for consideration by the CoC annually. CSB sends the opportunity via email to a wide array of organizations, encouraging further distribution, including organizations that have not previously received CoC funding, and posts the call for proposals on the CoC website. The notification includes instructions for the applications and a deadline. The CoC advertises all NOFOs, along with schedules and instructions, through emails to a wide variety of constituents and asks them for a broad further distribution of the funding opportunities, and on the CoC website. The CoC welcomes participation from entities that have not previously received CoC funds and proactively seeks opportunities to involve new partners. This is stated explicitly in the call for proposals. In 2022 the CoC received and considered two new Concept Papers (local name for pre-applications) for Permanent Housing and one for Coordinated Entry, from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding. Two projects were approved by the CoC and moved to the Project Application phase of our process. 2) The CoC emails and posts on the website instructions for submitting Concept Papers and Project Applications. 3) The CoC determines which new projects to include in the application based on the CoC review process. New projects are required to submit Concept Papers that detail the type of project, target population, percent of units dedicated to the homeless population, provision of supportive services, expected funding sources, and projected results. The CoC Board, Citizens Advisory Council (people with lived experience), and CoC review and evaluate the Concept Papers based on community need using the system gaps analysis and applicants' capacity to operate the project and meet compliance standards, responses included in the application and capacity to administer federal funds. The CoC scores and prioritizes Concept Papers prior to the NOFO's release and determines which project moves to the Project Application phase. The final determination regarding the projects to be included in the competition occurs during the application process, based on available CoC Bonus funding. 4) The CoC is committed to accommodating the communication needs of people with disabilities. Public notices are posted in PDF format on CSB's and the CoC's website. Reasonable accommodations to the application process are made as needed.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

In the chart below:

1.	select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.		
-----	--	--

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds;
2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) City of Columbus and Franklin County (ESG recipients) representatives are members of the CoC Board and the CoC and actively participate in funding allocation conversations in CoC meetings. The City and County grant all ESG allocations to Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA. The City, County, and CSB propose ESG allocations to the CoC in accordance with the Consolidated Plan. The CoC also serves on the State Advisory Board on homelessness and provides input on state objectives and proposed ESG funding allocations. CSB is the collaborative applicant and sub-recipient of all State ESG RRH and Emergency Shelter funding in the county, which is allocated in accordance with the State Consolidated Plan. The CoC governing body approves annually all funding allocations. 2) CSB develops annual ESG performance standards for CoC approval. The CoC, City, and County receive quarterly performance evaluations based on these standards, using HMIS data. The performance reports are reviewed during the CoC Board and CoC meetings. These evaluations include program-level reporting of all ESG-funded projects. CSB also provides the City, County and State with the required annual performance reporting using HMIS data and monthly, quarterly and annual financial reporting on use of funds. The CoC also reviews HIC and PIT data including system capacity by program type, a system gaps analysis, and the System Performance Measures. Funding allocations and performance outcomes determined collaboratively between ESG recipients and the CoC are codified in contracts between the City, County, and State as the ESG recipients and CSB as the ESG sub-recipient. 3) CSB shares HIC and PIT data with the City, County, and State to update the Consolidated Plan and provides descriptive information for the plan on current state, gap in inventory and service provision and projected need. CSB conducts a PIT debrief as an ongoing quality and improvement practice. 4) On behalf of the CoC, CSB regularly shares system updates and reports with the City, County, and State through email, regular meetings, and various workgroups. CSB works with the City, County, and State to update the Consolidated Plan and ensure local homelessness information is accurately incorporated into the Plan's strategies. Information is provided on current state of homelessness, gap in inventory and service provision and projected need. The most recent information provided for the Consolidated Plan update was in July 2021.

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting AAQs or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance of service providers.	Yes
6.	Other. (limit 150 characters)	
	The CoC reviews all programs' policies annually to ensure their definition of "family" does not result in denial of services or household separation.	Yes

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	No
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC collaborates with Columbus State Community College (CSCC) to assist youth experiencing or at risk of homelessness in continuing educational opportunities. Success-Bridge helps students at risk of dropping out of school due to housing instability with homelessness prevention resources. CSCC Success Bridge has a formal agreement with CSB and data and outcomes are tracked in HMIS. CSCC has a voting representative on the CoC.

The CoC collaborates with local high schools and GED providers on educational opportunities for youth. Columbus City Schools Project Connect (the community's largest LEA) attends the CoC's family system operations workgroup meetings to connect with emergency shelter partners regarding services and resources. Columbus City Schools actively participates on the CoC Youth Committee. The CoC's Youth System Manager participates in LEA workgroups and forums. The CoC facilitated a streamlined referral process from agencies that provide shelter and housing services for families to Columbus City Schools Project Connect. This process ensures children remain in their school of origin or are immediately enrolled in the school serving the family's temporary address. Project Connect provides daily school transportation for children staying in emergency shelter. Project Connect is also part of the CoC's Homelessness Prevention Network (HPN) and is trained in housing problem-solving and refers at-risk families to the CoC for additional supports. Columbus City Schools Project Connect has a formal MOU for the HPN. Part of the onboarding to the HPN, Project Connect and CSB created a smooth process so that referrals would meet the needs of the clients and families experiencing housing instability. Project Connect has a voting representative on the CoC.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Each program in the homeless system is required to ensure that children and youth have access to public education and receive assistance exercising their rights as protected by the

McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act of 1987. Community Shelter Board, the UFA, on behalf of the CoC, monitors all programs annually for evidence that program staff proactively ensure that program participants' rights are not violated regarding public education, including contact with the local Homeless Education Liaison. The relevant excerpt from the P&P manual is: "Education: Programs serving children must ensure that children and youth have access to public education and that their rights are protected in accordance with federal and state requirements. Collaboration opportunities with Columbus City Schools' Project Connect staff are available." The relevant monitoring standard language is: "Children and youth have access to public education and receive assistance exercising their rights as protected by the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act of 1987, as amended, Title VII, Subtitle B; 42 U.S.C. 11431. Heads of households are advised of their rights as they relate to the public education system."

Each program must demonstrate consistent implementation of processes for advising heads of households of their rights upon entry into any homelessness program through policies and procedures and actual client files. Participant files for households with children must demonstrate collaboration with the Homeless Education Liaison to place children in public school, early childhood programs such as Head Start, Part C services in accordance with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and/or other programs authorized under Subtitle B of Title VII of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act of 1987. It is our system's policy that when a family with school-aged children enters emergency shelter, Columbus City Schools Project Connect is informed immediately and the child(ren) will continue to attend school at their school of origin, using the Project Connect busses that pick children up from emergency shelters and transport them to their schools every morning. If a family with children is entering permanent housing, the program staff makes efforts to house the family as close as possible to its school of origin so as not to disrupt children's education.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	Yes	No
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes	No
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	No
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program--(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	Yes	No
7.	Healthy Start	No	No

8.	Public Pre-K	No	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.	Local Home Visiting Program – maternal and infant	Yes	No

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors—Collaborating with Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations who help provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking to:

1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Each year the CoC system-wide policies are reviewed and updated as appropriate to ensure that any changes throughout the year regarding collaboration with victim service providers or services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking are reflected in the policies. Currently, Community Shelter Board, the UFA, is collaborating with the Center for Family Safety and Healing on updating the system-wide policies and procedures to reflect the most up to date trauma-informed language and approach to be used by Netcare, the Homeless Hotline operator, in the coordinated entry (CE) process when an individual is calling the hotline and identifies as currently fleeing or at risk for any of the traumas noted above. The updated language will be utilized when Netcare conducts a safety conversation and provides a warm hand-off to CHOICES victims of domestic violence shelter.

2) The updated language referenced in answer #1 will be implemented through providing a training to Netcare and Gladden (another CE provider) staff to ensure the most comprehensive and trauma-informed implementation of this safety conversation. This past year, CSB also organized and launched a new Domestic Violence Coordination Workgroup to bring together victim services providers within the CoC, the CE providers and the dedicated RRH DV provider. Organizations represented in the workgroup include CHOICES, the Center for Family Safety and Healing, YMCA, Netcare and Gladden. CSB facilitates the meetings. The goals of this group include: 1. coordination of care and updates for all partners regarding shelter access, transitional housing and rapid rehousing referrals and intake processes; 2. collective efforts to avoid duplicating services to clients; 3. streamlining the process and pathway for victims and survivors to obtain the most appropriate and efficient resources and referrals for services and housing and providing these services in a trauma-informed approach. There is also an emphasis on teamwork and meeting the needs of victims and survivors. The group has also formed an ad hoc sub-group to focus on the housing pathway of each client by looking at the roles and responsibilities of each partner involved. The Domestic Violence Coordination Workgroup is meeting monthly. In addition, there have been two convenings of key leadership from CSB, CHOICES and The Center for Family Safety and Healing to stay up to date on the work of this group.

1C-5a.	Annual Training on Safety and Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:	
1.	project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and	
2.	Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) In collaboration with CHOICES shelter for victims of domestic violence (DV), Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA, developed and promoted a webinar on DV that was available to all CoC project staff via CSB's website. Last year and this year, CSB is working with the Center for Family Safety and Healing, a victim service provider (VSP) to provide coordinated entry (CE) specific and comprehensive system-wide trainings on trauma-informed engagement with victims and survivors of DV. All CoC project staff are required to receive training upon hire and annually thereafter on best practices in serving survivors of DV, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. This training includes participant choice, safety planning, confidentiality, and how to refer and transport survivors to CHOICES shelter safely. Staff receives training at least annually on Trauma-Informed and Victim-Centered Care and how to collect and share survivors' information without inputting personal identifying data in HMIS. If survivors enter a non-DV homeless assistance program, staff enters the information into HMIS anonymously to protect the survivor's privacy. This year, CSB staff presented two additional trainings on trauma-informed care for staff. CSB monitors projects annually to ensure training plans are up-to-date. DV program outcomes indicate program participants receive effective and informed services. 2) CE staff receive training upon hire and annually thereafter on best practices in serving survivors of DV, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. This training includes participant choice, safety planning, confidentiality, and how to refer and transport survivors to CHOICES safely. CE staff receives training at least annually on Trauma-Informed and Victim-Centered Care and how to collect and share survivors' information without inputting personal identifying data in HMIS. CE staff also have access to CSB's recorded and live trainings on DV and trauma-informed care. CSB staff monitors the success and effectiveness of the CE via mock calls quarterly to test appropriate application of the training materials and appropriate actions for survivors to ensure that conversations and support are provided in a trauma-informed approach. CSB, VSP and CE providers meet monthly in the DV Workgroup to discuss coordination of referrals, appropriate planning, roles and responsibilities and the best approaches to provide appropriate interventions to DV survivors.

1C-5b.	Using De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC uses for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and
2.	how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) CHOICES and Huckleberry House, the only shelter and transitional housing providers, respectively, for victims of domestic violence collect data outside HMIS to preserve survivors' security and safety. CHOICES and Huckleberry House provide aggregate anonymous data to the CoC to include in the annual PIT and HIC reports and annual numbers served data, as needed by the CoC.

2) The CoC also collects via HMIS data on persons experiencing homelessness who report having experienced domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. The aggregated data received from CHOICES and Huckleberry House and the Community Shelter Board, the UFA and HMIS Lead, collected data via HMIS are used in reports presented to the CoC. The CoC also conducted a youth needs assessment that included information on domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking among youth experiencing homelessness. The CoC uses the data from CHOICES, Huckleberry House and HMIS, and the youth needs assessment to assess the community needs and gaps related to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. Data is used to analyze the efficacy of existing services and continuously improve programs serving this population.

1C-5c.	Communicating Emergency Transfer Plan to Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:
1.	the emergency transfer plan policies and procedures; and
2.	the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Every housing program in our system – including Permanent Supportive Housing, Transitional Housing, Rapid Rehousing, and Prevention programs – is required to have an emergency transfer plan and the CoC monitors all programs annually to ensure that this plan is implemented consistently. All programs are required to provide a VAWA notice and information about the transfer process to program participants at their entry in each program. The VAWA notice is included as a lease addendum for all the assisted units in the community – including units supported with CoC, ESG and HOME funding. Evidence that the notice and information was provided to each household is required to be included in the client file. If, during the annual monitoring, CSB determines that the project did not follow the required process, the provider is asked to implement a quality improvement plan to remedy the non-compliance.

2) Individuals and families in need of an emergency transfer must reach out to their case manager as soon as they determine the need to move because of a domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking situation. The case manager, along with their program supervisor, implement the emergency transfer procedure. For PSH, the Unified Supportive Housing System (USHS) – which manages PSH units in our CoC – works with PSH providers to quickly and safely implement standardized protocols when participants need to transfer to a different location. Programs notify USHS when a priority transfer is required because of violence or the threat of violence and USHS quickly identifies alternative, safe housing – the household becomes the 1st priority for housing. For Prevention, RRH and TH, the program staff, working with the participant, identify alternative safe housing and expeditiously work to move the participant.

1C-5d.	Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking. NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
--------	---	--

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Domestic Violence (DV), dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking survivors have access to the CoC's entire portfolio of homelessness prevention, emergency shelter, RRH, TH, and PSH programs, including CoC, ESG, and HHS-funded programs. The CoC works with the local HHS-funded DV shelter, CHOICES, to integrate DV services, shelter, and housing options into the homeless system. Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA, promotes homelessness prevention resources and interventions to victim service providers through a direct referral process so that clients can most easily be connected to these resources. CSB has worked to incorporate victim service providers (VSPs) into the resources and supports provided by the Homelessness Prevention Network (HPN) and CHOICES has presented to HPN partners as part of this collaboration. CSB problem solves case by case difficulties that clients may have accessing shelter. CSB is onboarding Mount Carmel, a newly funded street outreach provider, into the CoC system. The dedicated RRH DV program, operated by the YMCA, will train Mount Carmel staff on the referral process to RRH services for anyone who is unsheltered and eligible for the RRH DV program. CSB is also working with the YMCA DV RRH program to streamline the DV RRH referral process for clients residing at CHOICES DV shelter or clients temporarily living in hotels that are linked with VSPs, CHOICES or the Center for Family Safety and Healing. Additionally, CSB is also working with YMCA DV RRH program to improve RRH access for eligible clients residing in the system's non-DV emergency shelters. CHOICES staff attends the Severity of Service Needs (SSN) Assessor training, this assessment is used to determine eligibility and prioritization for permanent supportive housing (PSH). CHOICES refers clients to the Unified Supportive Housing System (USHS), the CoCs coordinated system for managing prioritization and referrals to PSH. CHOICES staff complete and submit requests for Direct Client Assistance (DCA) to CSB, the program providing financial assistance for security deposit and rent, to house participants experiencing homelessness in market rate housing. The monthly DV System Coordination Workgroup has provided opportunities for all partners to improve collaboration, share resources, updates and information and identify the best services pathway for victims and survivors of DV and related trauma.

1C-5e.	Including Safety, Planning, and Confidentiality Protocols in Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry includes:

1.	safety protocols,
2.	planning protocols, and
3.	confidentiality protocols.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Individuals are screened for experiences of DV, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking when they access the Coordinated Point of Access (CPOA) and/or engage with street outreach specialists. Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA, is partnering with the Center for Family Safety and Healing to develop new trauma-informed safety language that will be added to the system-wide policies and procedures, coupled with staff training. Households fleeing DV situations are referred to CHOICES, our local DV shelter, immediately, through a warm transfer. The DV System Coordination Workgroup formed this year has focused on planning and processes to ensure client safety and to streamline the process to connect clients to the DV RRH program. There has been an emphasis on developing the most efficient pathway possible recognizing that timeliness is connected to safety. People who have experienced DV are prioritized for specialized trauma-informed, victim-centered CoC-funded DV RRH services operated by YMCA. 2) The Unified Supportive Housing System (USHS), which manages PSH eligibility and referrals in our CoC, works with PSH providers on emergency transfers when necessary. Programs notify USHS when a priority transfer is required because of violence or the threat of violence and USHS quickly identifies alternative, safe housing. Every housing program in our system, including PSH, TH, RRH, and Prevention programs, is required to have an emergency transfer plan and the CoC monitors all programs annually to ensure that this plan is implemented consistently. 3) The DV shelter and transitional housing programs do not participate in the HMIS and their location is not publicly disclosed. If a DV survivor is entering a non-VSP program, they can request that their information be anonymized to protect their privacy. The wide array of DV resources in our CoC ensures that the system maximizes client choice and tailors assistance to best meet the needs of each program participant in a safe and secure way. For example, survivors have options for either single site PSH with 24/7 front desk and security or scattered site housing in the community, based on need and preference. The DV System Coordination Workgroup is identifying any additional processes to best connect clients to the most appropriate services and is keeping in mind areas where client consent is essential and when releases of information must be in place to provide information from provider to provider.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+—Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy—Updating Policies—Assisting Providers—Evaluating Compliance—Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	whether your CoC updates its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary, based on stakeholder feedback;
2.	how your CoC assisted providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA, updates the system-wide anti-discrimination policy on behalf of the CoC, using stakeholder feedback from the Citizens Advisory Council (CAC) and Youth Action Board (YAB), the two groups comprised of persons with lived experience of homelessness, surveys conducted by direct service providers and through partner dialogue in ongoing system operation workgroups. 2) CSB provides support to partners in developing anti-discrimination policies through technical assistance during start-up of new projects as well as ongoing monitoring of programs during the annual Program Review & Certification (PR&C) process. There is a specific focus on anti-discrimination policies and practices for the Youth Homelessness Demonstration Pilot projects to ensure that transition age youth are free from discrimination in all programs. Because it is vital that all individuals and families access and receive services free from discrimination, CSB takes seriously any caller concern received and all caller concerns alleging any type of discrimination are followed up on and investigated. CSB follows up and problem-solves with the client as well as the provider to ensure that no discrimination was involved in the concern. 3) Annual monitoring of anti-discrimination policies at the project level are conducted through the PR&C process as well as through investigations and resolutions of any type of caller concerns received by CSB that allege discrimination. Data reports regarding service restrictions by race and gender are compiled to review potential discriminatory practices within programs. Mock-calls with the homeless hotline provider are conducted quarterly to ensure equal access to the system. Evaluation of CoC-wide anti-discrimination policies occurs through discussion at system workgroups. 4) Non-compliance noted during the PR&C process at the project-level results in the provider being required to implement a quality improvement process and an immediate plan for correction of the concern. Disparities or concerns of discrimination noted in data reports regarding service restrictions are addressed immediately with the provider and followed up with more frequent reports to ensure correction. Concerns noted in the mock calls are addressed with the provider and additional trainings are implemented. System workgroups are utilized as a mechanism to address system-wide concerns with anti-discrimination practices.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area--New Admissions--General/Limited Preference--Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the FY 2021 CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with--if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2021 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	13%	Yes-HCV	Yes

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference--if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority (CMHA), the CoC's PHA, is a member of the CoC governing body and is a committed partner in our community's work to prevent and end homelessness. CMHA prioritizes individuals and families experiencing homelessness for housing in the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program. The admission preference for the homeless population is included in CMHA's Housing Choice Voucher Administrative Plan. Currently CMHA has over 1,000 project-based vouchers allocated to PSH projects across the CoC. The CoC worked with CMHA to convert 121 units that previously received CoC rental assistance to HCVs, which freed up CoC funds for supportive services. These freed up funds were used to create a new permanent supportive housing program using the new EHV vouchers that CMHA agreed to dedicate in totality to the chronic homeless population. CMHA closely collaborates with PSH projects to administer units in accordance with CoC and local standards and to develop new PSH projects. In the past 12 months CMHA also made available to the CoC 94 mainstream tenant-based vouchers for the CoC's prioritized homeless population. CMHA is a committed partner, ensuring that all new site-based PSH projects have access to HCV subsidies to successfully operate. 2) Not applicable.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process?

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	Yes
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	
	Elderly 55+	Yes

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	Yes
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	Mainstream vouchers

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
--	--	-----

	If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.
--	---

PHA
Columbus Metropol...

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	Yes
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition.	30
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	30
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every recipient—that checks Housing First on their Project Application—to determine if they are actually using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of the competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) CSB, the UFA, monitors programs annually using a Program Review and Certification (PR&C) process that includes review of policies, procedures, client files, discussion with program staff and site visits to ensure they adhere to a Housing First model, work to prevent literal homelessness and place people experiencing homelessness in housing without preconditions on housing assistance. Quick resolution of housing crises is the central priority. CSB reviews policies and program participant files at least annually for evidence of these efforts. 2) The CoC prioritizes safe, stable housing as the primary solution to homelessness. Programs must quickly connect people experiencing a housing crisis with permanent housing and the supports needed to stabilize housing without preconditions (e.g., income, sobriety, or engagement in treatment) and evidence of these interventions must be in the client files. During the annual monitoring visit, programs must present evidence of the use of a standardized assessment tool to identify housing options and service needs without preconditions. Other factors and indicators reviewed for which evidence is required are: disability-related services are voluntary; RRH programs provide immediate access to individualized re-housing assistance and connection to a wide range of private market, subsisted, and PSH options; PSH programs have expedited admission processes including assistance with obtaining necessary documentation; applicants may not be required to participate in more than two interviews and can be admitted within a few days; once housed, programs stabilize participants by connecting them with community-based services that address immediate needs and support long-term housing stability, however, participation in supportive services is not required; exits to homelessness are avoided whenever possible; programs provide written plans for at-risk clients that include strategies for intervention, prevention, or housing retention; in the event a program participant is involuntarily terminated, a pre-termination hearing is made available to them and the opportunity to appeal the decision. 3) All renewal projects are evaluated for compliance with Housing First outside of the CoC competition – results of the annual monitoring under our PR&C process, including Housing First compliance, are embedded in the scoring for each project. New projects are required to commit to using Housing First principles part of the application process.

1D-3.	Street Outreach–Scope.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.j.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;
2.	whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;
3.	how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and
4.	how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC's outreach team proactively engages unsheltered people in the locations they reside and places they frequent, like soup kitchens. The cross-agency, multi-disciplinary team collaborates with PATH, VA Outreach, the YHDP funded CE project, and other local entities providing street outreach services to provide coverage, engagement, assessment, housing search and placement, and services. The outreach team is widely known in the community and works with the City, County, hospitals, businesses, law enforcement, and social service and faith-based organizations to respond when unsheltered persons are identified on streets, in camps, and in vehicles. The City's 311 service call center relays the information provided by citizens about unsheltered individuals to the outreach team. Procedures were put in place system-wide to standardize outreach activities and improve coverage and engagement of unsheltered individuals across the region. 2) The CoC's street outreach covers 100% of the geographic area. 3) Outreach Specialists conduct outreach daily. Specialists repeat contact and engagement attempts with each individual at least monthly with the goal of placing the person in housing or emergency shelter. The Outreach team works with the coordinated point of access to link people to community resources and shelter. 4) The outreach team proactively seeks new locations where people experiencing homelessness congregate and use word of mouth to identify new encampments and people who do not otherwise request assistance. Collaboration with the City, County, and businesses help identify opportunities to engage unsheltered people throughout the geographic area. Communication with other systems (health care providers, faith-based organizations, social service providers, community programs, and law enforcement) identifies people who need help, but are unlikely to seek assistance. Interventions are provided to people regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex/sexual orientation and gender identity, age, familiar status or disability. Specialists can access translators for people who speak languages other than English or sign language interpreters and have training on how to communicate with those with cognitive and physical disabilities. Community Shelter Board, the UFA, monitors for compliance with accessibility requirements and if non-compliance is determined, the provider is asked to for immediate correction, inclusive of additional staff training.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:

		Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	No
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	No
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	No
4.	Implemented community wide plans	Yes	No
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

		2021	2022
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC—only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of "Current."	748	370

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits—CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Resource	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI—Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	TANF—Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
4.	Substance Abuse Programs	Yes
5.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
6.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and
3.	works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA, keeps program staff up-to-date regarding mainstream resources by regularly seeking and disseminating information to partners about cash assistance, non-cash benefits, Food Stamps, meal sites, food programs, mental health and substance abuse treatment services, and other resources. CSB, on behalf of the CoC, monitors all programs annually to ensure that system staff is trained and capable of helping program participants enroll in and utilize mainstream benefits. CSB disseminates resources, best practices, and other assistance information during weekly system operations workgroup meetings with partner agencies and through email communications as updates become available. CSB works with organizations that provide mainstream benefits to present information on their programs during system meetings and educate project staff about how to best collaborate with healthcare organizations. A newsletter is also emailed out to a large distribution list. 2) The Homeless Hotline, part of our CPoA, screens callers for health insurance to help assess coverage gaps and MCO utilization by those referred to emergency shelter programs. The CoC has a pilot partnership with an MCO wherein the CPoA notifies the MCO when one of their customers enters the homeless crisis response system. The MCO can help fully use their healthcare benefits, contributing to regained housing stability. We are working with other MCOs on partnerships to increase collaboration between our systems. CSB is collaborating with the local Alcohol, Drug, and Mental Health Board (ADAMH) and MCOs to best leverage Medicaid on behalf of homeless program participants for substance abuse and mental health treatment. Majority of PSH providers sub-grant for the provision of supportive services with agencies that are part of the ADAMH system as these agencies are Medicaid billing agencies and Medicaid use is maximized. CSB works closely with the VA to ensure that Veterans have access to VA health care resources. 3) As part of the 2022 Community Plan update, multiple partners identified as a significant goal the further use of SOAR throughout the system to assist clients in accessing benefits. CSB is exploring expanded opportunities for SOAR in youth, single adults, veterans, and family systems, will promote SOAR usage and certification to all partners and in late 2022 will determine if there are further expansion opportunities for the program.

1D-7.	Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

(limit 2,500 characters)

If our system's two family shelters are full, we use hotels to accommodate families and pregnant women. No family is left unsheltered at any point in time and use of hotels for non-congregate sheltering is used as needed for this population. During the past three years, additional resources enabled our system to shelter all single adults who wanted and needed shelter as well. We leased entire motels to provide non-congregate shelter to single adults due to social distancing requirements and we were able to test this model of sheltering. Non-congregate sheltering is a viable model, but it is more expensive to implement in an ongoing fashion. People who were positive for or exposed to COVID-19 continue to receive shelter and medical care in a separate designated hotel site. When COVID-19 funds expire, it is expected that the COVID-19 hotel shelter and additional capacity for single adults will close. If additional funds become available and are able to be sustained, the shelter beds opened during the pandemic for single adults could become permanent, alleviating the warmer months' gap in shelter beds. In the colder months, we operate additional overflow capacity by adding beds to existing shelters and using hotels as non-congregate facilities, ensuring everyone who wants and needs shelter receives it. Reporting sex offenders cannot be sheltered in regular shelters because of proximity issues and in the colder months sex offenders are also sheltered in hotels, in a non-congregate environment. The new USICH guidance on working with those experiencing unsheltered homelessness, and an increase in encampments around our community prompted considerations for a different approach for offering immediate accommodations for the unsheltered population. Some unsheltered individuals do not want to access emergency shelters because of their congregate nature. In a pilot approach, we secured hotel rooms as non-congregate, temporary accommodations for inhabitants of an encampment as we are working through permanent housing placement for these individuals. This pilot will allow us to assess implementation on a larger scale of provision of immediate non-congregate shelter. This hotel pilot is a collaboration between CSB, the City of Columbus, CoC and state funded street outreach and other key community stakeholders.

ID-8.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:	
1.	develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) CSB, the UFA, on behalf of the CoC, has strengthened relationships with public health, FQHCs, hospitals, clinics and medical experts which improve our ability to respond to future public health emergencies. CSB and subrecipients continuously update and expand policies and procedures as we learn from the COVID-19 experience. These policies are adapted for use in congregate facilities and reviewed by Columbus Public Health for accuracy. CSB established a command-center approach at the pandemic's onset that can be replicated in future emergencies. CSB is the HMIS Lead and developed procedures for tracking health screening and vaccination status that can be replicated for other outbreaks. CSB and subrecipients adopted new approaches and technologies for communication that will also work in future crises, including learning collaboratives, tele-health options, and web resources. CSB also developed centralized methods for getting and distributing personal protective equipment (PPE) and other essentials, replicable in other situations. 2) During the COVID19 pandemic, CSB developed CDC- and HUD-aligned protocols for emergency shelters and housing programs to limit close contact between participants and staff. These protocols were reviewed by the local public health agencies who participated in the CoC's planning processes and are replicable. All programs implemented masking, symptom and temperature screening, and pathways for testing, tracing, and treatment. Staff delivered supplies to participants including food boxes and PPE. To decrease the spread of COVID-19 in congregate settings, CSB opened additional shelters so all beds could be appropriately distanced. CSB also opened a non-congregate shelter for isolation and quarantine (SIQ) to prevent the spread of COVID-19 and care for those who are or may be ill. Individuals who need a SIQ referral are routed through the CPoA and if COVID-19 is confirmed or -suspected, the individual is triaged to SIQ or hospital. Changes in local health restrictions were communicated to providers in weekly workgroups, on CSB's website, and via email. All provider questions were addressed by CSB with help from the local public health agency. CSB developed a multi-media, strategic communication campaign around the vaccine that included a toolkit for providers. This toolkit is adaptable to other health emergencies. A team made up of CSB, partners and medical providers at the SIQ continue to meet regularly.

ID-8a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively equipped providers to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants by:	
1.	sharing information related to public health measures and homelessness, and	
2.	facilitating communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) With the onset of the COVID19 pandemic, the CoC, in collaboration with Columbus Public Health (CPH) and Franklin County Public Health (FCPH), started a COVID-19 Prevention and Response Group that was a facilitated learning collaborative for system providers, identifying public health best practices, areas of strength, and areas needing more guidance. Guidance on safety measures was shared during the Group's meetings, on Community Shelter Board's (CSB, the UFA) website, and via email. In the height of the pandemic, a bi-weekly Homeless Response Network webinar series was offered for providers and covered topics like COVID Prevention and Response, Safe Engagement, Screening at the Front Door, Overdose in the Time of COVID, and Return to School. CSB also participated in the Congregate Settings Collaborative, a collective of non-medical/non-institutional congregate setting providers. Emergency shelter partners met monthly to discuss trends, share best practices, resources and planning for the COVID response. CSB collaborated with CPH to provide a webinar on the Delta Variant to keep providers up to date on COVID-19 variants. 2) The CoC consulted with public health and mainstream health partners to develop COVID-19 screening questions for CPoA to ask callers who accessed the Homeless Hotline. CSB worked closely with CPH, FCPH, Franklin County Emergency Management, all hospitals, FQHCs, and behavioral health providers to ensure successful operation of the shelter for isolation and quarantine (SIQ). For example, a medical team provided by OhioHealth advised on the clinical/medical aspects of safe service provision at the SIQ. Southeast Behavioral Health staff assigned at SIQ helped with behavioral health needs. Lower Lights Christian Health Center, an FQHC, provided on-site medical care. CSB consulted regularly with CPH, FCPH, hospital advisory teams, and FQHCs to develop system protocols to decrease the spread of COVID-19. This included social distancing procedures, hand-washing stations for unsheltered individuals, masking, symptom-screening, temperature checks, testing, tracing, and vaccination. CSB coordinated procurement and distribution of PPE with all entities. Daily system meetings that have transitioned to weekly system meetings reinforced guidance and protocols and enabled collaboration on best practices and emerging issues.

1D-9.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System—Assessment Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC's geographic area;	
2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and	
3.	is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The coordinated entry system covers 100% of CoC geographic area using a widely-advertised local phone number (homeless hotline) with access to a live person 24/7/365 and a systemwide assessment system tailored to each program type and used as a person progresses through the various programs within the CoC. 2) For shelter access, the homeless hotline conducts an assessment with all callers that determines the shelter needs for an individual or family. This assessment is conducted while the person is on the call and responses are tracked in HMIS. If the household has no other safe accommodations for the night, a shelter bed is assigned based on available capacity. Once in shelter, the CoC uses a Housing Assistance Screening Tool (HAST) to assess the housing needs and eligibility for each household. The HAST is embedded in the HMIS for all programs. The Street Outreach team engages people at known camp locations and seeks new locations where people experiencing homelessness congregate and complete a HAST for all those that are willing to engage, when the HAST is the most appropriate next step. For others that are unsheltered but do not wish to access shelter and identify housing as a goal, the outreach worker completes the severity of service needs assessment (SSNA), if PSH is the goal that the outreach worker and participant identify. Dependent on the needs of each household, referrals are made to rapid rehousing (RRH), permanent supportive housing (PSH) or specialized interventions for transition age youth, veterans and pregnant women. The referrals are made through the HMIS system. For PSH a severity of service needs assessment (SSNA) is completed to assess the vulnerability of each person in the PSH pool for prioritization purposes. This assessment is also embedded in the HMIS. 3) After the homeless hotline assessment is completed, each person is offered the opportunity to respond to a confidential survey that is automated through the phone system. The survey responses are routinely analyzed by the hotline staff to assess needed improvements. The HAST and SSNA were developed in collaboration with the providers operating RRH and PSH projects and the assessments are reviewed regularly in the system level operation workgroups for single adults, families and housing programs.

1D-9a.	Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and	
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Street Outreach collaborates with the City, County, businesses and other systems of care (health care, faith-based, social service, law enforcement) to locate unsheltered people who need support but are unlikely to seek assistance. We affirmatively market programs to eligible persons regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, familial status, or disability who are unlikely to engage without special outreach. We use word of mouth and places that unsheltered people congregate to identify those less likely to engage. 2) Standardized screening tools prioritize people in a housing crisis. CPoA and Outreach prioritize for shelter only those with no safe alternative housing. The HAST assessment is used to identify the highest priority households for RRH. RRH is prioritized for families with children, pregnant women, Veterans, youth, people with disabilities and DV, and people with severe service needs. The Unified Supportive Housing System (USHS) continuously screens clients for PSH using HMIS data and case conferencing. USHS prioritizes chronically homeless households, then long-term homeless households with severe service needs using the SSNA assessment tool. 3) Assessments are completed within the first 5 days of the person's homeless episode. Providers are required to make immediate referrals to RRH or specialized programs. For PSH, a monthly "hotlist" is used to find clients likely eligible for PSH based on length of homelessness and disability and requests are sent to the project that actively serves a person on the hotlist for PSH eligibility assessment. The goal is to reduce the time it takes for the person to be referred to or enrolled in the right housing program for their needs. The housing case manager works with the household to determine the appropriate housing environment, consistent with their preferences. For RRH, clients look for their own apartment with assistance from the case manager. For PSH, multiple housing options are presented to a PSH client. 4) To reduce the burden on people using the coordinated entry, HMIS includes all the assessments used. Responses to the same questions autoflow system-wide and if the person returns to the system after an exit, the most recent responses show in HMIS. The case manager confirms the validity of the previous responses vs asking the same question again. CoC providers use the HMIS as a document repository to eliminate burdensome documentation collection processes.

1D-10.	Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	08/22/2022

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities—Identifying Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	your CoC's process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and
2.	what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) CSB, the UFA, issues quarterly performance reports using HMIS data on all systems and programs within the CoC. Specialized dashboards are created that look at the breath of programing across the system for the various subpopulations served – single adults, families, veterans, pregnant women, transition age youth. These dashboards, besides the performance metrics, report on the demographic characteristics of the population served, including race, ethnicity and gender at entry into the various program types across the system. These dashboards also look at demographic characteristics at exit from the system, to assess any disparities in outcomes. We specifically look at race and gender to analyze these disparities (the low volume of Hispanic clients served does not allow for a reliable analysis of disparities based on ethnicity). We are contrasting the race and gender of households that entered a program type vs. the race and gender of those that successfully exit the respective program type.

Shelter restrictions collected in HMIS are analyzed quarterly to make sure restrictions are limited and unbiased. We analyze the shelter restrictions based on gender, race and ethnicity and we contrast restrictions with the demographics of the sheltered population to make sure there are no disparities. Monthly we issue a “hotlist” report using HMIS data of those individuals that have over 100 days of homelessness. This list is used to proactively invite potentially eligible households for PSH eligibility assessment. We analyze the hotlist based on the gender, race and ethnicity of those on the list and we contrast demographics with the demographics of the sheltered population to make sure there are no disparities.

2) In the latest assessment of the hotlist we noticed an overrepresentation of men compared to the general homeless population. We will continue to report on the data and asses if this becomes a trend that we need to address. No other disparities in provision of services or outcomes were noticed within the system.

1D-10b.	Strategies to Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	Yes
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	Yes
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes
5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	Yes

7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes
8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	Yes
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
Other:(limit 500 characters)		
12.	The CoC looks at HMIS data quarterly to analyze outcomes based on participant demographics with a focus on equitable outcomes. For example, when the COVID vaccine became available, a weekly report was developed to track vaccine uptake by race to maintain focus on equitable vaccine access for participants.	Yes

1D-10c.	Actions Taken to Address Known Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC and homeless providers have taken to address disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

In the latest assessment of the monthly hotlist report Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA, noticed an overrepresentation of men compared to the general homeless population. We will continue to report on the data and assess if this becomes a trend that we need to address. No other disparities in provision of services or outcomes were noted within the system. Since we do not have an identified disparity in provision of services or outcomes within the system, we did not identify specific steps that we need to take to address a disparity. However, the CoC is proactive in preventing any disparities. The CoC's strategic plan to address homelessness specifically includes the following crosscutting goal focused on promoting equity throughout our system of care: "Ensure that families and individuals disproportionately represented among people who experience homelessness have access to responsive, equitable assistance to offset structural barriers and biases". Our Guiding Principles include ensuring equity in outcomes. We believe that our collective efforts to prevent and end homelessness should reflect the disproportionate rate at which different groups experience housing instability and homelessness, especially people of color; people with disabilities; and lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and questioning youth. Program and housing assistance account for structural biases that cause or perpetuate homelessness, as well as individual needs, abilities, or resources, and adjust accordingly to ensure equitable resolution to housing crises. CSB, on behalf of the CoC, and its providers examine homeless crisis response system policies, procedures, and data to identify areas to improve equitable access and use of system's assistance. CSB has a Director of Diversity, Equity and Inclusion and instituted a system-wide standardized cultural competency training series regarding race, gender identity and sexual orientation, and people with disabilities.

1D-10d.	Tracking Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

System-wide we look at demographics of those that access homelessness prevention, emergency shelter, street outreach, transitional housing, rapid rehousing and permanent supportive housing programs. We look at the same demographics for those that achieve a successful housing outcome. Reports are issued and disparities are analyzed quarterly. We exclusively use HMIS data for this work. We consider a disparate impact on outcomes if we have more than a 5-percentage point variance on a specific demographic. For example, a recent report shows that 94% of head of households of families accessing rapid rehousing are women. At exit from rapid rehousing programs, the rate of women headed family households compared to all the family households achieving a successful housing outcome was 96%. In this example a disparate impact on outcomes was not identified. If the outcome would have been an 80% rate of women headed families and a 20% rate of men headed families achieving a successful housing outcome, we would say we have a disparate impact, as women headed families achieve less successful outcomes compared to the men headed family households. In this analysis we consider implications of a small data sample. We have outcomes in some program types that are less than 10. For example, we have very limited transitional housing with low capacity and turnover. We are careful about making assumptions and decisions based on a very small sample size. If a disparate impact on outcomes is determined, CSB's program team would present and address the findings at a system operations workgroup meeting with all involved providers. Next steps would be system level trainings for all staff, more in depth analysis of data to determine if a specific program or intervention is causing the disparity and going outside our system, if necessary, for example, addressing housing disparities with landlords. In the case of shelter restrictions collected in HMIS and analyzed quarterly and the monthly "hotlist" report, if a disparity in restrictions is determined using the model described above, contrasting the demographics of the population restricted or on the hotlist, respectively, vs the general sheltered population, we would address the disparity directly with the program that is causing the disparity and implement required staff training. A monthly reporting would be also instituted for the respective provider to make sure the issue is corrected.

1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC highly values the talents and wisdom of people with lived experience of homelessness and works to provide opportunities to utilize this experience and to grow the opportunities for people with lived experience (PWLE) to have voice within the homeless crisis response system. Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA, convenes the Citizen's Advisory Council (CAC) and Youth Action Board (YAB), groups comprised of PWLE. This past year CSB's Community Relations Director attended a CAC meeting to begin to develop additional leadership opportunities for current CAC members as well as to expand the membership and role of the CAC through marketing efforts. For example, local media reached out recently to interview a PWLE of homelessness regarding their experience in the pandemic and we were able to reach out to the CAC for this. There are partners within the CoC who are actively looking for PWLE for their board memberships, so CSB staff is working with members on updating their resumes and writing bios. CSB identifies board and leadership trainings that could be beneficial for the CAC. The CAC is updating their recruitment flyer and is planning on fall outreach and expansion of the CAC with interest in developing a group mission statement and CAC logo. The CAC actively looks for community activities to be involved in and CAC members participate in new provider and program selections. When CSB recently selected a new partner to provide street outreach services, CSB asked for participation from the CAC and two members joined the review committee to discuss applications received and select a new street outreach provider. CAC members also participated in the planning for the Community Plan Update, serve on the CoC (alongside YAB members), the CoC Board and CSB Board. The CAC is currently discussing opportunities to employ their expertise and skill in designing an initiative that will engage clients who are moving out of homelessness and into housing with additional life-skills support and housing stabilization services in a client-centered and practical approach. CAC feedback will be key in this initiative to design a pilot that will be in an accessible format for clients to participate. CAC members are actively participating in the CoC Supplemental NOFO workgroup, application scoring and ranking, and review of the CoC Plan. CAC and YAB members are all compensated for their time in meetings, planning and decision making as part of the CoC.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the five categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included and provide input that is incorporated in the local planning process.	18	4
2.	Review and recommend revisions to local policies addressing homelessness related to coordinated entry, services, and housing.	18	4
3.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	18	4
4.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	18	4
5.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	4	1

FY2022 CoC Application	Page 37	09/21/2022
------------------------	---------	------------

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC provides professional development and employment opportunities for people with lived experience (PWLE) of homelessness in a number of ways. Some partners have employment programs that provide workforce development initiatives for PWLE who are currently active in emergency shelter or PSH programs. A PSH provider offers referral and employment opportunities in an intra-agency cleaning program that provides workforce development training and next-step placement planning. Another RRH and PSH provider offers workforce development training alongside daily employment opportunities for clients in emergency shelter or PSH and has a full-time position for which PWLE of homelessness are encouraged to apply. An emergency shelter provider that also provides behavioral healthcare services has a prominent peer support program that is successful in employing PWLE as well as engaging clients in treatment and working on ongoing housing stabilization. The largest shelter program in the CoC provides daily and ongoing options for clients in the program to volunteer, get engaged in professional development opportunities, build out their resume and focus on employment opportunities. The shelter has hired PWLE into their program after moving into stable housing. During COVID-19, Community Shelter Board, the UFA, began offering trainings for both PWLE of homelessness and provider staff to become Trusted Messengers regarding COVID-19 prevention and vaccine engagement. Mount Carmel, the new street outreach provider employs PWLE of homelessness and recovery from addiction in their outreach and Street Medicine programs and they serve as peer-to-peer mentors for clients that are currently experiencing homelessness. They provide housing stabilization services and are an ongoing source of support for client transitioning into housing. A street outreach worker who is a PWLE of homelessness and former PSH resident works in a full-time capacity and is stationed at a downtown drop-in center throughout the week. This position and his experience have positively impacted street outreach services. Multiple partners in the CoC work with Community Health Workers (CHWs) in their programs and often the CHWs have lived experience of housing instability or homelessness. Their experience provides peer-to-peer engagements while also providing CHWs with professional development opportunities.

1D-11c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	how your CoC routinely gathered feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG program on their experience receiving assistance; and
----	---

2.	the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness
----	---

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through CoC or ESG programs in a variety of methods. Each provider is required to conduct a survey of program participants and to provide the survey results to Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA. Focus groups and one-on-one interviews are conducted with participants to hear their experiences and feedback from program involvement. Focus groups were conducted on street outreach services, client involvement in pregnancy-specific programs, access to services and new programs, challenges of unsheltered members of the community. There is planning underway for qualitative interviews with individuals and families that have received prevention resources and services. CoC providers regularly host town-hall style meetings in shelter and PSH locations to obtain client feedback and perspectives. 2) Providers are expected to analyze and address the suggestions and concerns that clients raise in the surveys. CSB's Programs and Planning Department (PPD) reviews surveys and follows up with providers as needed or appropriate. Based on focus group feedback, the PPD staff will follow-up with providers to inquire into concerns or challenges that are noted in the focus group. This has often led to determining a barrier or challenge that a program participant faced that can be addressed or eliminated for future participants. All programs have processes in place to empower clients to explore their concerns and Client Rights Officers are present to provide clients with an alternative beyond a traditional grievance process. Needs and concerns raised by clients in recent focus groups on unsheltered homelessness are being incorporated into a CoC Plan to address unsheltered homelessness. When providers receive feedback or concerns at a town hall meeting, these are often addressed in the moment or shelter leadership will follow-up on the challenge and determine the appropriate step forward. Often, it is determined that there are accommodations that can be made to support a client in accessing or receiving the services that are needed or most appropriate. CSB serves as the system's ombudsmen and receives calls or emails with concerns from clients and takes very seriously the role of investigation and follow-up on these concerns. CSB partners with the provider in addressing the challenges of people with lived experience of homelessness.

1D-12.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
--------	---------------------------------------	--

NOFO Section VII.B.1.t.

Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months that engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:

1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and
----	--

2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.
----	--

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA, chairs the Board of Trustees of the Affordable Housing Alliance of Central Ohio. The members of the Affordable Housing Alliance are organizations and companies that have as a principal mission or function to develop, improve, provide, or fund affordable housing for low-income households in central Ohio. Over the last 12 months, we have met with elected officials, their staff and business leaders from the City of Columbus, Franklin County and suburban cities within Franklin County to discuss a detailed, specific plan to increase the supply of affordable housing by setting aside one third of ARPA dollars for affordable housing development. This plan has been sent to all Franklin County and Columbus elected officials as well as local business leaders. Several letters have been sent to local officials encouraging them to adopt this plan. Comments have been submitted to the City of Columbus regarding their current zoning reform strategy with specific suggestions on changes to zoning and land use to reduce barriers to development. We also met with the City of Columbus consultant leading the zoning reform work to provide specific information on barriers to affordable housing development that are in the current zoning policies. 2) We met with City of Columbus Department of Development staff to discuss practices and policies called a Green Tape Approach to approval of affordable housing development which would remove regulatory barriers and speed up approval processes. We have submitted comments to the Ohio Housing Finance Agency to assure language was present in the Qualified Allocation Plan to safeguard the ability to prioritize permanent supportive housing for tax credit purposes. With our partner the Coalition on Housing and Homelessness in Ohio, we have discussed regulatory barriers to housing developments with the Ohio Department of Development.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a. and 2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for project applicants to submit their applications to your CoC's local competition.	08/05/2022
--	---	------------

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	No
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	No

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	20
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	28
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC collected and analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Data on clients served is collected using the HMIS and performance measurements are calculated by Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA and HMIS Lead, using this data. Annual compliance with CoC standards is determined by CSB for each project during the Program Review and Certification process. Over 100 standards are assessed for compliance and a rating is determined at the conclusion of the monitoring. The performance measures and the compliance status are combined into one performance rating for each project. Utilization of CoC funds is collected through the invoices each subgrantee submits to CSB, summarized in a worksheet showing the allocated funds, invoiced funds and any leftover funds. 2) For PSH, the Unified Supportive Housing System (USHS) that manages access to PSH system-wide, tracks all individuals from the point of referral to PSH to the point of housing. All tracking is done through HMIS, with ability to drill down and calculate step by step average times to housing. For RRH, an HMIS based custom report calculates the "average shelter stay" after engagement that tracks the time to housing for those enrolled. 3) All CoC programs prioritize families with children, pregnant women, Veterans, youth, people with severe service needs, and people with disabilities as identified by HMIS data, case conferencing, by-name active lists, and standardized system-wide tools that screen people for chronic homelessness, homeless time, criminal history, prior evictions, disabling conditions, current and past substance abuse, low or no income, and domestic violence and victimization. Since all programs in the system adhere to these prioritization and assessment guidelines, there is no possibility to differentiate the projects' scoring based on vulnerability criteria. All projects are required to serve only those with the most severe service needs. 4) The CoC prioritizes projects based on objective, performance-based criteria because all projects provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations. Projects that most effectively serve vulnerable and severe service need populations receive higher performance ratings, which improves the programs scoring and ranking. All projects included in the CoC application adhere to the same project-type based, system-wide standardized prioritization and assessment requirements. There are no CoC-funded VSPs in the community.

1E-3.	Promoting Racial Equity in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. how your CoC obtained input and included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population;	
	2. how the input from persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, affected how your CoC determined the rating factors used to review project applications;	
	3. how your CoC included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
	4. how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Local review and ranking processes are approved by the CoC, whose members include people of color and representatives with lived experience from the Citizens Advisory Council (CAC) and Youth Action Board. The performance measures for each project type and the associated outcomes, which make up most of the projects' performance scoring, are assessed annually and feedback was sought from each provider on the reasonability of outcomes during the pandemic and the impact of COVID-19 on performance. In addition to seeking provider feedback, input and experience from individual CAC members is also utilized to gain greater understanding of appropriate outcome measures. 2) All the rating factors used to review project applications are objective criteria that are based on program performance, complying with standards and utilization of CoC funds. No changes were made to the rating factors based on input received from persons of different races because of the objectivity of the factors used in scoring. 3) The CoC membership, inclusive of people of color and people with lived experience, participates in the review, selection, and ranking process of projects. 4) All CoC projects prioritize the most vulnerable populations and the race and ethnicity of participants in CoC funded projects mirrors the race and ethnicity of people experiencing homelessness. All CoC funded (YHDP exception) projects are PH-PSH type projects except 3 RRH and TH projects that serve special populations. All the PSH projects serve hard to serve, vulnerable, severe service needs population and all the referrals to PSH are managed in a consolidated manner by the Unified Supportive Housing System that always prioritizes for referral the most highly vulnerable person from the USHS pool. There is no distinction in the vulnerability of the population served by PSH projects. The CoC assesses and reports on any racial disparities across all programs quarterly. Data shows that a disproportionately high percentage of African Americans utilize the homeless crisis response system. This analysis also shows that by prioritizing the most vulnerable with the longest time homeless, we are serving a commensurate number of those disproportionately affected by racism and although there are disparities in who becomes homeless, our data does not reflect disparities in outcomes in our homelessness programs. All projects promote racial equity where individuals and families of different races are over-represented.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
	2. whether your CoC identified any projects through this process during your local competition this year;	
	3. whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and	
	4. why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Per the CoC's written process, reallocation can occur when a subrecipient is no longer interested in continuing a project, no longer needs CoC funding, or underperforms on performance outcomes, project capacity, drawdowns, and/or compliance. The CoC identifies low performing projects through review of quarterly and annual performance reports produced by Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA. Projects rated as Low performers or experiencing long-standing and/or serious program issues and/or systemic concerns undergo a Quality Improvement Intervention process that includes enhanced oversight and technical assistance. This process often corrects performance and compliance issues. The CoC identifies projects for which there is less need through an annual needs assessment and ongoing coordination with system and community partners. As a UFA, CSB conducts CoC-approved reallocations as needed throughout the year instead of waiting for the annual competition. The CoC helps partners improve capacity and correct deficiencies before reallocating funds. The CoC's robust, comprehensive performance evaluation system has not detected a high and persistent level of underperformance among CoC projects. 2) The CoC did not identify any reallocation opportunity this year. Through the GIW process, a PSH project using rental assistance funds was restated as a PSH project using supportive services funds. The project is moving to a new site and the new building carries project-based Section 8 vouchers. The freed up rental assistance subsidies will now support the supportive service provision in the same project. 3) The CoC did not reallocate any projects through the local competition this year, 4) There were no low performing or less needed projects during the local competition this year that warranted a reallocation.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2017 and FY 2022.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2017 and FY 2022?	No
--	--	----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced—Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC inform applicants why their projects were rejected or reduced?	Yes
3.	If you selected Yes for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/13/2022

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/13/2022
1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results–Scores for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Final Project Scores for All Projects attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	Does your attachment include: 1. Applicant Names; 2. Project Names; 3. Project Scores; 4. Project Rank–if accepted; 5. Award amounts; and 6. Projects accepted or rejected status.	Yes
1E-5c.	1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website–which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	09/21/2022
1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application has been posted on the CoC's website or partner's website.	09/21/2022
FY2022 CoC Application	Page 46	09/21/2022

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	BitFocus
--	--	----------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Single CoC
--	--	------------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 HIC data into HDX.	04/19/2022
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Database for DV Providers–CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in databases that meet HUD's comparable database requirements; and	
2.	state whether your CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) There are no CoC funded DV victim service providers (VSP) in our community, thus the HUD-published 2020 HMIS Data Standards do not apply to them. CSB, the HMIS Lead, consulted with the local VSPs about a comparable database use. Providers operating emergency shelter and transitional housing projects confirmed electronic data collection for their programs but acknowledged that the HMIS Data Standards are not followed since they are not required to do so. While data collection closely follows HMIS standards, not 100% of data requirements are implemented. When the CoC received the initial award of our RRH DV funding, we were under the impression that data must be collected in a comparable database and contracted with EmpowerDB for this purpose. Through a HUD AAQ we received confirmation that this was not necessary and the RRH DV provider, as a non-VSP, can collect the HMIS information in the regular, community wide HMIS. We rescinded our agreement with EmpowerDB and the RRH DV provider is collecting data in the community wide HMIS. We have a new DV bonus project application by a VSP in this year's competition and if funding is awarded, we will work with the VSP to secure a comparable database that meets HUD HMIS standards for data collection and reporting purposes. 2) our CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c. and VII.B.7.	

Enter 2022 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Total Beds 2022 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	1,285	120	1,165	100.00%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	193	64	129	100.00%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	370	14	370	103.93%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing	3,355	0	3,334	99.37%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH)	0	0	0	

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

n/a

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	

Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 15, 2022, 8 p.m. EST?	Yes
--	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2022 PIT count.	01/27/2022
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data—HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 PIT count data in HDX.	04/19/2022
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count—Effectively Counting Youth.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how during the planning process for the 2022 PIT count your CoC:
1.	engaged stakeholders that serve homeless youth;
2.	involved homeless youth in the actual count; and
3.	worked with stakeholders to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Our local youth outreach organization (Huckleberry House) and local youth drop-in center (Huckleberry House YOP Shop) participated in planning meetings for the 2022 PIT Count. They helped identify and map locations where youth stay and work to create “come be counted” locations for youth who would likely not be engaged during the early morning or school counts. Star House, a drop-in center for youth experiencing homelessness, was identified as a location critical to have representation during the PIT count and were an active participant the day of the count. 2) We had an intentional focus to engage youth volunteers through Huckleberry House and Star House youth providers to administer PIT surveys during the count. Youth Action Board (YAB) members were also extended offers to volunteer in the annual PIT Count. 3) We had very intentional strategies to choose counting locations where youth experiencing homelessness might gather on the day of the PIT Count. It has become vital to our work to implement different outreach efforts since many traditional locations have closed or switched hours since the onset of the pandemic. Volunteers collected surveys at Huckleberry House YOP Shop and Star House youth drop-in centers and at locations identified by youth providers as places where homeless youth congregate. A specific youth count was conducted at a local library in the evening, and youth and youth couples were engaged at local drop-in centers such as The Open Shelter, the Columbus Coalition for the Homeless (CCH) Daytime Warming Center and a temporary warming center set up on the South Side of Columbus. Additionally, a food truck was utilized at the CCH Warming Center to engage additional interest. Columbus City Schools Project Connect – a program that helps families experiencing homelessness keep children in their home school – administered surveys to any literally homeless youth identified in school on the day of the count. A food truck owned by Make-a-Day Foundation, an outreach provider, was stationed behind a Wal-Mart on the day of the PIT Count, where there is a large encampment of unsheltered homeless, to attract as many youth as possible to complete surveys during the PIT Count day.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.a and VII.B.7.c.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable;	
	2. describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable; and	
	3. describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or	
	4. state “Not Applicable” if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2022.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) There were no changes made to the sheltered PIT count methodology or data quality. 2) We did not have an unsheltered count conducted in 2021. In 2022, we worked to standardize quality assurance protocols for all street outreach providers and volunteers to improve identification of those who are unsheltered, including those who meet chronic homeless criteria. Street outreach programs included in the HMIS were asked to do a quality assurance process on their active clients prior to the PIT count to make sure only active, engaged clients were on their rosters. As a change in methodology, street outreach providers were asked that within 3 days of the PIT count to confirm through phone calls and visits the unsheltered status of each person opened in each HMIS street outreach program and only those that were confirmed as unsheltered on the night of the count were included in the count. No other change in methodology was implemented for the unsheltered count. 3) The change in methodology helped improve the quality of the count of the unsheltered individuals.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless--Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC conducts an annual evaluation of self-reported factors contributing to homelessness to inform and target prevention efforts for persons at risk of becoming homeless for the first time. Reasons for households' homeless crises are captured in HMIS and reported annually, allowing us to identify and analyze risk factors. Weekly system meetings and case conferences add qualitative data on the barriers clients are facing and which risk factors are most common and pervasive. The CoC has determined that higher rents and lack of deeply affordable housing are the primary risk factors for homelessness, which is consistent with a 2015 collaboration with Abt Associates that identified causes of homelessness and housing instability in our community. 2) Reducing the number of first time homeless is a prioritized goal in the CoC's 2022 strategic plan. Prevention resources are prioritized for the most vulnerable populations in our community. Families at risk of becoming homeless that contact the CPoA's homeless hotline are connected to prevention resources to help avoid entering shelter. At-risk pregnant women can access specialized prevention services using TANF, ESG-CV, and local funding. At-risk Veterans can access SSVF prevention resources. Families involved with child protective services can access a prevention program funded by Franklin County Children Services. Community Shelter Board (CSB, the UFA) has developed the Homelessness Prevention Network (HPN) that formalizes collaborations with social service agencies that serve as access points and/or homelessness prevention service providers. CSB trains and certifies HPN partners in housing stabilization best practices. HPN partners provide prioritized access to services for households at highest risk for homelessness as determined by a standardized risk typology and screening protocol. Access points are dispersed in the community and intervene to prevent a household from becoming homeless. These community-based access points identify and assist households before they need to call CPoA's hotline. If households require additional help, access points refer them electronically to homeless prevention service providers for case management and quick financial assistance for housing stabilization. In addition, we focus on legal and other eviction prevention resources, trainings for landlords, property managers, and partners. 3) CSB is responsible for this strategy.

2C-2.	Length of Time Homeless—CoC's Strategy to Reduce.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.c.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;	
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Reducing length of time homeless is a key goal in the CoC's 2022 strategic plan. Shelters screen for referral to RRH programs. We work to decrease referral time to RRH by reducing the times between shelter entry and screening and RRH referral and expedite engagement after RRH referral with a Housing First focus. We prioritize for RRH families with children, pregnant women, Veterans, youth, people with disabilities, those fleeing domestic violence, and those with severe service needs and long homeless time. We determine whether it is most appropriate to provide support through RRH or PSH. We increased street outreach capacity to provide re-housing assistance and coordinate with hospitals, corrections, and behavioral health providers to better identify and re-house high system utilizers. We work to expedite processes to acquire a state ID, birth certificate and social security card using an FTE dedicated to this scope. RRH case managers help households develop housing plans, engage landlords, remove barriers and link to assistance and employment, and promote stability. We implemented a landlord recruitment and retention initiative, including marketing, outreach, financial incentives, and risk mitigation funds, to improve access to rental units in our tight housing market. We support community efforts to increase availability of safe, affordable rental housing. We aggressively pursue resources and opportunities to increase the supply of RRH and PSH units and expand re-housing assistance. We partner with the PHA and we secured 94 Mainstreams and all the 304 EHV's allocated for the homeless population. Despite these efforts the average length of time homeless is increasing due to rapid population growth causing an affordable housing crisis. 2) We use HMIS for weekly "by name lists" and monthly "hotlist" reports to review households with the longest homeless time for RRH and PSH referrals. The by name list is embedded in the HMIS and RRH referrals are made through HMIS using scored pools. We use a standardized system-wide needs assessment and case conferencing to engage those with the longest homeless time and most severe service needs. The "hotlist" is used by the Unified Supportive Housing System, who fills all PSH units according to HUD Notice CPD-16-11 and uses a standardized invitation and application process for those prioritized for PSH based on the "hotlist". 3) Community Shelter Board is responsible for this strategy.

2C-3.	Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.d.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Increasing the rate of positive housing exits is a key goal in the CoC's 2022 strategic plan. Shelters and RRH programs collaborate to quickly house people, link them to resources, and provide aftercare to ensure stability. We work to increase capacity of RRH programs to maximize the number of people they can serve and improve the referral process. Shelters screen people after entry and refer to RRH those who are prioritized for assistance: families with children, pregnant women, Veterans, youth, people with disabilities, those fleeing domestic violence, and people with severe service needs and long homeless time as these populations are less likely to successfully exit without tailored support. Case managers help households develop housing plans, work with landlords, remove barriers, secure housing, and promote stability through linkage to income supports and services. TH programs stabilize veteran and youth households prior to permanent housing placement to increase the likelihood of success at exit. RRH, TH, and shelter providers use financial assistance to pay security deposits and first few months of rent. The assistance is flexible and offered based on household's needs and landlord requirements. Our system-wide landlord recruitment and retention initiative provides outreach, financial incentives, and risk mitigation funds, to improve access to rental units, expand shared living options, and identify strategic landlord partners. We actively support community efforts to increase deeply affordable housing to enhance participants' ability to obtain and retain stable housing. Despite all these efforts the success rate is decreasing due to the rapid growth in population causing an affordable housing crisis, coupled with a staffing shortage systemwide that decreases our ability to provide intensive housing services based on demand. 2) Housing stability for persons in permanent housing is already very high at 97%. PSH providers engage with residents frequently to identify anyone who may become precariously housed and take action to re-stabilize them. PSH residents access a variety of services, including linkage to employment, benefits, and income supports. At least annually, PSH providers assess residents' readiness and willingness to move to independent housing. Residents are not exited from PSH until they feel confident that they can retain housing stability without PSH assistance. 3) Community Shelter Board is responsible for this strategy.

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy to Reduce Rate.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.e.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Community Shelter Board (CSB, the UFA) tracks returns via HMIS and issues regular reports at program and system levels, including recidivism rates. CSB examines programs with recidivism rates outside the norm to identify factors contributing to higher or lower rates. Collaboration between emergency shelter and RRH programs via regular case conferencing and system workgroup meetings help inform the CoC of factors that impact returns to homelessness. The Housing Assistance Screening Tool administered by emergency shelters identifies a household's prior use of shelter and re-housing assistance so programs can adjust next-step assistance. 2) Reducing the rate of return to homeless is a prioritized goal in the CoC's 2022 strategic plan. RRH case managers help households develop housing plans and remove barriers. They provide aftercare and resources in case of setbacks and create plans for responding to future crises, through partnerships in the community that support housing stability, with MCOs, Job and Family Services, Office on Aging and peer support organizations. Households can contact their case manager if they encounter a housing crisis, even after services end, to problem-solve. For PSH, programs link participants to community-based supports to improve access to resources and increase resiliency to future setbacks. Linkages may include employment services, education, mental/emotional/physical health services, benefits, Veterans resources, youth services, and childcare and parenting resources. We value client expertise and partner guidance and collaboratively develop trainings or resources to address: budgeting to pay rent, navigating conflict with neighbors, housekeeping and cleaning, understanding the lease, how to complete work orders or notify landlord of concerns, interacting with landlords and cooking skills. All RRH and PSH programs have robust eviction prevention procedures. Because we are prioritizing RRH and PSH for those with the highest barriers, we are seeing an increasing rate of recidivism as this is the most vulnerable population being served and most likely to lose housing. Increasing rents (avg 1 bdr rent is 19% over FMR) and the impact of COVID19 on the wellbeing of previously homeless households are factors contributing to this increase. We are also working to reposition our homelessness prevention efforts to prioritize for assistance households with past homeless histories. 3) CSB oversees the strategy.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;	
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their cash income; and	
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Increased access to employment is a prioritized goal in the CoC's 2022 strategic plan. We are improving integration with mainstream workforce development and access to employment and skill development resources through a pilot in family emergency shelters started in 2020. The pilot creates incentivized pathways to engage in workforce development. Our approach is to work with Workforce Development Board of Central Ohio and other community-based employment services to integrate workforce specialists, including job coaching, within our CoC programs, where not already present. We support community efforts to increase employment income for low-wage earners and reduce pay disparities. CoC programs encourage participants to include earned income growth in regularly updated individualized housing stabilization plans. For participants who are underemployed or lack consistent employment, programs help identify higher-paying and more regular work. Linkage to GED, education, skill development, and career development programs is available to help participants access higher-paying jobs. 2) Participants receive help with job searches, applications, resume writing, transportation, and uniforms and professional attire. An increasing number of programs have employment specialists on site to provide more individualized support. Several CoC programs have successful employment and work equity programs that include training, workshops, and collaboration with employers. The CoC has identified private employers who are willing to hire people with histories of criminal behavior, addiction and homelessness. One example is the Right Track Program that consists of classroom experience followed by a paid training position within a PSH provider's housing facilities. More than 50% of participants who complete this program move into traditional jobs in the community. These efforts resulted in a 4-percentage point increase in earned income for CoC program leavers between FY20 and FY21. We are improving integration with the Workforce Development Board of Central Ohio through an employment pilot program that expedites the Ohio Means Jobs referral and acceptance process for families in shelter. 3) Franklin County Department of Job and Family Services, WDB, and CSB oversee this strategy.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
2.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Increased access to benefits is a prioritized goal in the CoC's 2022 strategic plan. Programs encourage participants to take advantage of all non-employment cash income benefits for which they are eligible, including SSI, SSDI, and TANF. Community Shelter Board (CSB), the UFA, convened a training for providers on the SSA Vulnerable Populations Program to encourage greater applications for SSI for individuals in the crisis response system. CSB regularly provides trainings and disseminates to partners resources and best practices for linking participants to benefits. Case managers help program participants complete applications. The Homeless Hotline (CPoA) screens callers for health insurance to help assess coverage gaps. CSB is working with the Alcohol, Drug, and Mental Health Board, MCOs and others to more effectively leverage Medicaid and other health care resources. 2) As part of our strategic plan, we will continue to utilize the new Social Security Administration Vulnerable Populations program for clients to apply for benefits and will incorporate partner training for this program. We will further expand the use of SOAR system-wide for clients to access benefits, including increasing the number of SOAR certified staff. Franklin County Department of Job and Family Services (JFS) regularly have staff onsite at our CoC's two family shelters to expedite access to benefits. These benefits support RRH efforts. The CoC has SOAR-trained staff who help participants apply for SSI and SSDI benefits. Program staff refer participants to JFS for benefits assistance not available onsite. All Veterans are screened for access to non-employment cash income through a refined coordinated entry system specifically designed for Veterans in partnership with the VA. Those in need of and eligible for both VA and non-VA benefits are linked through this process. Programs provide information to participants about benefits available to them during COVID-19. Programs provided computer access to online portals whenever possible during COVID-19 lockdowns. Annual assessments of PSH clients assess non-employment cash income and individualized housing stabilization plans include goals for increasing these income sources, based on the individual's need and eligibility. Case managers regularly review and update these goals with program participants 3) JFS and CSB oversee this strategy.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.6.a. and VII.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
NCR 2022 Berwyn East	PH-PSH	29	Both
CHN 2022 Poplar F...	PH-PSH	30	Both
Homefull 2022 Lea...	PH-PSH	31	Healthcare

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? NCR 2022 Berwyn East
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): E1A2SALMF9C8
3. Select the new project type: PH-PSH
4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 29
5. Select the type of leverage: Both

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? CHN 2022 Poplar Fen Place
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): E1A2SALMF9C8
3. Select the new project type: PH-PSH
4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 30
5. Select the type of leverage: Both

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? Homefull 2022 Leasing Expansion
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): E1A2SALMF9C8

3. Select the new project type: PH-PSH

4. Enter the rank number of the project on your 31
CoC's Priority Listing:

5. Select the type of leverage: Healthcare

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

n/a

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

n/a

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	Yes
--	--	-----

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2022 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	Yes
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	No

You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 2 PH-RRH or Joint TH/RRH Component to view questions 4A-3b. through 4A-3h.

4A-2.	Information About the Project Applicant for the New Support Services Only Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE) DV Bonus Project.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.(e)(2)	

Enter in the chart below information about the project applicant applying for the new SSO-CE DV Bonus project:

1.	Applicant Name	Community Shelter Board
2.	Project Name	RI-NCH 2022 DV SSO-CE
3.	Project Ranking on Priority Listing	32
4.	Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)	E1A2SALMF9C8
5.	Amount Requested	\$195,057

4A-2a.	Addressing Coordinated Entry Inadequacies through the New SSO-CE DV Bonus Project.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.(e)(2)(c)	

Describe in the field below:

1.	the inadequacies of your CoC's current Coordinated Entry that limits its ability to better meet the needs of survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking; and
2.	how the proposed project addresses inadequacies identified in element 1 of this question.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The current coordinated entry system needs to increase its ability to respond more effectively to those fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. The current homeless screening tool has questions about DV but needs to add questions that address sexual assault and stalking. When callers disclose domestic violence (DV) on the homeless hotline, the local coordinated point of access, they are referred to the local DV emergency shelter. Unfortunately, this shelter is full most nights and does not currently have resources to provide support to help these callers find alternative accommodations. In these cases, callers are sent back to the homeless hotline or to the Center for Family Safety and Healing (TCFSH), which does not have established emergency shelter capacity or housing. On a limited basis, TCFSH is able to accommodate those fleeing domestic violence in hotels, but resources for next step housing and services needs better coordination. While not optimal, DV survivors can be served in general homeless shelters. General homeless providers would benefit from additional resources and training to be better equipped to accept these referrals. The CoC has been doing important work to build partnerships, however, the coordinated entry system could benefit from increased integrated, trauma-informed referral policies and procedures to address victim safety and housing needs. 2) This project will provide policy development and trauma-informed training to the homeless system providers; referrals to appropriate victim service providers (VSPs) and other providers and supportive services to DV, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking survivors; and collaboration with other VSPs to improve outreach and supportive services to homeless victims. Policy development and training will incorporate trauma-informed and survivor-centered best practices in screening for victimization and homelessness. Homeless providers will be better equipped to understand safety and the needs of survivors, and VSPs (beyond the DV emergency shelter) will be integrated with the CoC to reach homeless victims. Training will include intersectionality and the burden experienced by Black women and other survivors of color who face disparities in housing and the response to DV. Through these efforts, survivors will have increased access to stable housing to move past the financial, emotional, and physical abuse they have experienced.

4A-2b.	Plan to Involve Survivors in Policy and Program Development in the New SSO-CE DV Bonus Project.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.(e)(2)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors with a range of lived expertise in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Survivor engagement in victim service programs is a bedrock principal of the anti-violence movement. The Center for Family Safety and Healing (TCFSH) is currently establishing a Family Advisory Council to inform its efforts across programs, and this group is chaired by a domestic violence survivor who has provided recommendations for service delivery. This Council will include a subcommittee that draws from our domestic violence program to identify a diverse group of survivors who can engage in policy and program development. TCFSH will also work with the Ohio Domestic Violence network (ODVN) to identify survivors involved in their caucuses who might want to participate in this project. Additionally, TCFSH has recently held focus groups with young black women to inform a project on dating violence. TCFSH can revisit these focus groups with questions about housing. TCFSH will also work with the Sexual Assault Resource Network of Central Ohio (SARNCO) to involve sexual assault survivors in ongoing policy and program development.

Applicant Name
This list contains no items

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1.	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.		
2.	You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.		
3.	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.		
4.	Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.		
5.	Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.		
6.	If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.		
	. We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).		
	. We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.		
7.	After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.		
Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	09/13/2022
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No	PHA Moving On Pre...	09/13/2022
1E-1. Local Competition Deadline	Yes	Local Competition...	09/13/2022
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	09/19/2022
1E-2a. Scored Renewal Project Application	Yes	Scored Forms for ...	09/13/2022
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	09/19/2022
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	09/19/2022
1E-5b. Final Project Scores for All Projects	Yes	Final Project Sco...	09/19/2022
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	Housing Leveragin...	09/14/2022

3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No	Healthcare Formal...	09/19/2022
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Moving On Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Deadline

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Forms for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Final Project Scores for All Projects

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing Leveraging Commitments

Attachment Details

Document Description: Healthcare Formal Agreements

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/14/2022
1B. Inclusive Structure	09/14/2022
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/20/2022
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	09/20/2022
1E. Project Review/Ranking	09/14/2022
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/14/2022
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	09/14/2022
2C. System Performance	09/20/2022
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	09/19/2022
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	09/15/2022
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	09/14/2022

FY2022 CoC Application	Page 73	09/21/2022
------------------------	---------	------------

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	09/19/2022
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

PHA Homeless Preference

In response to question 1C-7, please see the portions highlighted below.





**COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN
HOUSING AUTHORITY**
COMMUNITY. COMMITMENT. COLLABORATION.

880 East 11th Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43211
P: 614-421-6000
cmhanet.com

August 26, 2022

Michelle Heritage
Executive Director
Community Shelter Board
355 E Campus View Blvd Suite 250
Columbus, OH 43235

Dear Michelle Heritage:

The Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority (CMHA) is a committed partner in the Columbus and Franklin County Continuum of Care and the work to end homelessness in our community. The local goal is to open one new permanent supportive housing project every year and so far, with the support of the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program, this goal has been met.

CMHA prioritizes homeless individuals and families for housing in the HCV program. The admission preference for homeless population is included in CMHA's Housing Choice Voucher Administrative Plan.

CMHA has 3,411 project-based vouchers (PBV) allocated to permanent supportive housing (PSH) projects across Columbus and Franklin County. When clients complete program goals and no longer need the breadth of supportive services they had under PSH, CMHA issues a free-standing voucher to the client so they can find a new affordable housing solution. The PSH development is then able to provide another homeless individual or family with access to stable housing.

CMHA is committed to utilizing project-based vouchers for new permanent supportive housing programs based on available HCV capacity. The Housing Opportunity Through Modernization Act (HOTMA) of 2016 increased the PBV cap and ensures an increase in the number of PBV vouchers available for use in housing the homeless population.

Thank you,

Justin C. Davis
Vice President
Housing Choice Voucher Program

COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY

CHAPTER 5: ADMISSION PREFERENCES

To fulfill its mission of providing rental housing subsidies to persons and families in need of assistance, CMHA has established several Admission Preferences for the issuance of Housing Choice Vouchers. These Preferences represent CMHA's policy determinations as to which HCV and PH program applicants should receive priority in the receipt of rental housing assistance.

This chapter describes the HUD and CMHA Admission Preferences that will be used to determine local priorities in the issuance of Housing Choice Vouchers.

Applicants will also be required to meet the HUD and CMHA eligibility requirements that are described in Chapter 3. HCV Application and Wait List Policies and Procedures are described in Chapter 4.

1. HUD MANDATED TARGETING (24 CFR § 982.201(b)(2))

A. HUD requires that no less than 75% of the families admitted to CMHA's HCV programs during any calendar year be Extremely Low-Income families earning or receiving income less than 30% of the Columbus area median income as adjusted for family size. (Once admitted to the HCV program, incomes are permitted – and encouraged – to rise.) Furthermore, no family may be admitted to the HCV program if its earnings and/or total income exceed 80% of the area median income as adjusted for family size. (These limits may be found on the CMHA Website (www.cmhanet.com)). Applicants added to a Wait List whose total family income exceed the 30% limit may face a delay in receipt of a Voucher if CMHA is unable to meet the 75% test at a particular point in time; however, they will remain on the Wait List.

B. HUD, from time to time, provides Vouchers to CMHA for targeted populations (e.g., residents displaced by demolition of public housing units or for the non-elderly disabled). Issuance of these vouchers must be to the targeted population and are not subject to the wait list criteria of the HCV program. Accordingly, CMHA's local Admission Preferences are not applicable.

2. CMHA ADMISSION PREFERENCES

CMHA has established the following Local Admission Preferences that will be used to select applicants to be placed on a Wait List and be issued a Housing Choice Voucher:

A. Under 30% of Area Median Income (HUD 75% Program requirement)

B. Family Composition Preference

1. Families with two or more persons.
2. Families that include a person with disabilities.
3. A household headed by a disabled or elderly person (62 years or older).

4. Single persons who are age 62 or older, displaced, **homeless** or is a person with disabilities.

C. Families residing in or who have been hired to work in the eight county Columbus Metropolitan Area (Franklin, Union, Delaware, Madison, Pickaway, Licking, Union and Fairfield).

D. An active-duty military or veteran's preference pursuant to the Ohio Revised Code.

E. Families not receiving any permanent rental assistance. Rental assistance is defined as any type of federal, state, or local housing rental assistance payment that is currently received by the family. Examples include, but are not necessarily limited to, HOPWA, HOME, Section 202 rental assistance, Ohio Department of Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services and/or Mental Health rental assistance, Housing Choice Voucher Project-Based, or Public Housing Programs. Rental Assistance does not include any type of rental assistance that is categorized as temporary or transitional in nature.

F. Referrals from social service and housing organizations providing supportive services to participants in CMHA's Project-Based Voucher Program.

G. Referrals from local supportive service organizations that have agreements with CMHA to provide supportive services to homeless, displaced and/or disabled persons and families and other at-risk populations, including referrals from Columbus Community Shelter Board agencies and the Franklin County ADAMH Board.

H. CMHA public housing families who elect to permanently relocate because of a Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) conversion at their development that requires relocation.

3. ASSIGNMENT OF ADMISSION PREFERENCE POINTS

As Preliminary Applications are submitted and placed in the Lottery Pool, Admission Preference Points will be assigned without verification on a provisional basis. At the time Full Applications are submitted, all information will be reviewed and verified and a final assignment of Admission Preference Points that govern Wait List priorities will be made.

Applicants will receive points for each Preference for which they qualify, and the points will be added to determine a ranking number. Points will be assigned as follows (indexing corresponds to the information immediately above):

A. HUD Mandated Preference - Under 30% of AMI adjusted for family size 3 Points

B. Family Composition Preference - Applicants are only entitled to points from one of these subcategories for a maximum of 11 points:

1. Families with two or more persons. 11 Points
2. Families that include a person with disabilities. 11 Points

3. Household headed by a disabled or elderly person (62 or older). 11 Points
4. Single persons who are age 62 or older, displaced, homeless or a person with disabilities. 11 Points

C. Metropolitan Area Resident 1 Point

D. Veteran Preference per Ohio Revised Code 1 Point

E. Families not receiving any permanent rental assistance 5 Points

F. Project-Based Voucher Program referrals 30 Points

G. Supportive Service Provider referrals 30 Points

H. RAD relocation of CMHA public housing families 30 Points

I. Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Eligible 30 Points

J. Mainstream Eligible 30 Points

K. Family Unification Program 30 Points

L. Emergency Housing Vouchers 30 Points

M. CMHA Acquisitions and Dispositions 30 Points

N. CMHA Partnerships 30 Points

Footnotes to F through N

- Applicants receiving 30 points under admission preferences F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M and N above will not be placed in the lottery pool (see chapter 4) but will be added to the existing wait list as priority preference placements.
- Category F, project-based voucher program referrals, are CMHA contractual obligations and are limited to the number of project-based vouchers under HAP contract.
- Category G, supportive service provider referrals, are CMHA obligations based upon agreements with sponsor organizations and any limitations are subject to the discretion of the President and CEO.
- Category M, an executed Purchase and Sale agreement between CMHA and another entity is a qualifying event to determine if a property is being acquired or disposed of.
- Category N, CMHA will allocate no more than 50 vouchers for CMHA partnerships that are connected to housing homeless households or other agreed upon special populations. CMHA in conjunction with partners may develop housing initiatives

that receive a local preference. These housing initiatives are targeted for specifically named households and may be based on CMHA and partner priorities or HUD targeted funding. In addition, these housing initiatives may include a defined number of Housing Choice Vouchers that will be allocated to households meeting specific described criteria.

4. SPECIAL PROGRAM CONVERSION TO TENANT-BASED VOUCHERS

From time to time, CMHA participates in special voucher programs sponsored by HUD and various other entities. Examples include but are not limited to the Family Unification Program; the Mainstream Voucher Program; the Veterans Assistance Housing Program; and the Foster Youth Initiative program. Based on the nature of the program, the possibility exists that funding sources may end, the term of the program may expire, or a person may age out of one of the programs. To prevent participants in such HUD and other programs from becoming homeless because of the termination of such a program as described above, any such participant at risk of becoming homeless as described shall be entitled to the issuance of a tenant-based voucher, should such participant meet the income and other qualifications for the tenant-based Housing Choice Voucher program.

PHA Moving On Preference

In response to question 1C-7, please see the portions highlighted below.





**COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN
HOUSING AUTHORITY**
COMMUNITY. COMMITMENT. COLLABORATION.

880 East 11th Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43211
P: 614-421-6000
cmhanet.com

August 26, 2022

Michelle Heritage
Executive Director
Community Shelter Board
355 E Campus View Blvd Suite 250
Columbus, OH 43235

Dear Michelle Heritage:

The Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority (CMHA) is a committed partner in the Columbus and Franklin County Continuum of Care and the work to end homelessness in our community. The local goal is to open one new permanent supportive housing project every year and so far, with the support of the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program, this goal has been met.

CMHA prioritizes homeless individuals and families for housing in the HCV program. The admission preference for homeless population is included in CMHA's Housing Choice Voucher Administrative Plan.

CMHA has 3,411 project-based vouchers (PBV) allocated to permanent supportive housing (PSH) projects across Columbus and Franklin County. When clients complete program goals and no longer need the breadth of supportive services they had under PSH, CMHA issues a free-standing voucher to the client so they can find a new affordable housing solution. The PSH development is then able to provide another homeless individual or family with access to stable housing.

CMHA is committed to utilizing project-based vouchers for new permanent supportive housing programs based on available HCV capacity. The Housing Opportunity Through Modernization Act (HOTMA) of 2016 increased the PBV cap and ensures an increase in the number of PBV vouchers available for use in housing the homeless population.

Thank you,

Justin C. Davis
Vice President
Housing Choice Voucher Program

COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY

CHAPTER 5: ADMISSION PREFERENCES

To fulfill its mission of providing rental housing subsidies to persons and families in need of assistance, CMHA has established several Admission Preferences for the issuance of Housing Choice Vouchers. These Preferences represent CMHA's policy determinations as to which HCV and PH program applicants should receive priority in the receipt of rental housing assistance.

This chapter describes the HUD and CMHA Admission Preferences that will be used to determine local priorities in the issuance of Housing Choice Vouchers.

Applicants will also be required to meet the HUD and CMHA eligibility requirements that are described in Chapter 3. HCV Application and Wait List Policies and Procedures are described in Chapter 4.

1. HUD MANDATED TARGETING (24 CFR § 982.201(b)(2))

A. HUD requires that no less than 75% of the families admitted to CMHA's HCV programs during any calendar year be Extremely Low-Income families earning or receiving income less than 30% of the Columbus area median income as adjusted for family size. (Once admitted to the HCV program, incomes are permitted – and encouraged – to rise.) Furthermore, no family may be admitted to the HCV program if its earnings and/or total income exceed 80% of the area median income as adjusted for family size. (These limits may be found on the CMHA Website (www.cmhanet.com)). Applicants added to a Wait List whose total family income exceed the 30% limit may face a delay in receipt of a Voucher if CMHA is unable to meet the 75% test at a particular point in time; however, they will remain on the Wait List.

B. HUD, from time to time, provides Vouchers to CMHA for targeted populations (e.g., residents displaced by demolition of public housing units or for the non-elderly disabled). Issuance of these vouchers must be to the targeted population and are not subject to the wait list criteria of the HCV program. Accordingly, CMHA's local Admission Preferences are not applicable.

2. CMHA ADMISSION PREFERENCES

CMHA has established the following Local Admission Preferences that will be used to select applicants to be placed on a Wait List and be issued a Housing Choice Voucher:

A. Under 30% of Area Median Income (HUD 75% Program requirement)

B. Family Composition Preference

1. Families with two or more persons.
2. Families that include a person with disabilities.
3. A household headed by a disabled or elderly person (62 years or older).

4. Single persons who are age 62 or older, displaced, homeless or is a person with disabilities.

C. Families residing in or who have been hired to work in the eight county Columbus Metropolitan Area (Franklin, Union, Delaware, Madison, Pickaway, Licking, Union and Fairfield).

D. An active-duty military or veteran's preference pursuant to the Ohio Revised Code.

E. Families not receiving any permanent rental assistance. Rental assistance is defined as any type of federal, state, or local housing rental assistance payment that is currently received by the family. Examples include, but are not necessarily limited to, HOPWA, HOME, Section 202 rental assistance, Ohio Department of Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services and/or Mental Health rental assistance, Housing Choice Voucher Project-Based, or Public Housing Programs. Rental Assistance does not include any type of rental assistance that is categorized as temporary or transitional in nature.

F. Referrals from social service and housing organizations providing supportive services to participants in CMHA's Project-Based Voucher Program.

G. Referrals from local supportive service organizations that have agreements with CMHA to provide supportive services to homeless, displaced and/or disabled persons and families and other at-risk populations, including referrals from Columbus Community Shelter Board agencies and the Franklin County ADAMH Board.

H. CMHA public housing families who elect to permanently relocate because of a Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) conversion at their development that requires relocation.

3. ASSIGNMENT OF ADMISSION PREFERENCE POINTS

As Preliminary Applications are submitted and placed in the Lottery Pool, Admission Preference Points will be assigned without verification on a provisional basis. At the time Full Applications are submitted, all information will be reviewed and verified and a final assignment of Admission Preference Points that govern Wait List priorities will be made.

Applicants will receive points for each Preference for which they qualify, and the points will be added to determine a ranking number. Points will be assigned as follows (indexing corresponds to the information immediately above):

A. HUD Mandated Preference - Under 30% of AMI adjusted for family size 3 Points

B. Family Composition Preference - Applicants are only entitled to points from one of these subcategories for a maximum of 11 points:

1. Families with two or more persons. 11 Points
2. Families that include a person with disabilities. 11 Points

3. Household headed by a disabled or elderly person (62 or older). 11 Points
4. Single persons who are age 62 or older, displaced, homeless or a person with disabilities. 11 Points

C. Metropolitan Area Resident 1 Point

D. Veteran Preference per Ohio Revised Code 1 Point

E. Families not receiving any permanent rental assistance 5 Points

F. Project-Based Voucher Program referrals 30 Points

G. Supportive Service Provider referrals 30 Points

H. RAD relocation of CMHA public housing families 30 Points

I. Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Eligible 30 Points

J. Mainstream Eligible 30 Points

K. Family Unification Program 30 Points

L. Emergency Housing Vouchers 30 Points

M. CMHA Acquisitions and Dispositions 30 Points

N. CMHA Partnerships 30 Points

Footnotes to F through N

- Applicants receiving 30 points under admission preferences F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M and N above will not be placed in the lottery pool (see chapter 4) but will be added to the existing wait list as priority preference placements.
- Category F, project-based voucher program referrals, are CMHA contractual obligations and are limited to the number of project-based vouchers under HAP contract.
- Category G, supportive service provider referrals, are CMHA obligations based upon agreements with sponsor organizations and any limitations are subject to the discretion of the President and CEO.
- Category M, an executed Purchase and Sale agreement between CMHA and another entity is a qualifying event to determine if a property is being acquired or disposed of.
- Category N, CMHA will allocate no more than 50 vouchers for CMHA partnerships that are connected to housing homeless households or other agreed upon special populations. CMHA in conjunction with partners may develop housing initiatives

that receive a local preference. These housing initiatives are targeted for specifically named households and may be based on CMHA and partner priorities or HUD targeted funding. In addition, these housing initiatives may include a defined number of Housing Choice Vouchers that will be allocated to households meeting specific described criteria.

4. SPECIAL PROGRAM CONVERSION TO TENANT-BASED VOUCHERS

From time to time, CMHA participates in special voucher programs sponsored by HUD and various other entities. Examples include but are not limited to the Family Unification Program; the Mainstream Voucher Program; the Veterans Assistance Housing Program; and the Foster Youth Initiative program. Based on the nature of the program, the possibility exists that funding sources may end, the term of the program may expire, or a person may age out of one of the programs. To prevent participants in such HUD and other programs from becoming homeless because of the termination of such a program as described above, any such participant at risk of becoming homeless as described shall be entitled to the issuance of a tenant-based voucher, should such participant meet the income and other qualifications for the tenant-based Housing Choice Voucher program.

Local Competition Deadline

In response to question 1E-1, please see below for a screenshot of the posting on OH-503's website of the local competition deadline on 8/5/2022. Please see the attached emails noting all local deadlines as well. The portions highlighted demonstrate the HUD requirements for this attachment.



HUD APPLICATION

HUD Continuum of Care Application

FY 2022 Notice of Funding Opportunity

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has released the FY 2022 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants Notice of Funding Availability. The CoC Program is designed to promote a community-wide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness; to provide funding for efforts by nonprofit providers, states, Indian Tribes or tribally designated housing entities, and local governments to quickly rehouse homeless individuals, families, persons fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and youth while minimizing the trauma and dislocation caused by homelessness; to promote access to and effective utilization of mainstream programs by homeless individuals and families; and to optimize self-sufficiency among those experiencing homelessness. The goal of the Youth Homelessness Demonstration Program is to support the development and implementation of a coordinated community approach to preventing and ending youth homelessness and sharing that experience with and mobilizing communities around the country toward the same end. The population to be served by the demonstration program is youth experiencing homelessness, including unaccompanied and pregnant or parenting youth.

CoCs are required to designate a Collaborative Applicant to submit a consolidated CoC application for funding for the community as a whole, and the Community Shelter Board (CSB) is Columbus and Franklin County's collaborative applicant. Please contact CSB Grants Administrator Gillian Gunawan (ggunawan@csb.org) if you have a new, eligible program that you would like to discuss for this year's CoC application.

Application Schedule

Dates	Activities
-------	------------

8/5/2022	CSB establishes project review & application schedule; releases to applicants via email
8/30/2022	All applications due to CSB by 5pm via e-snaps
8/31/2022 - 9/9/2022	CSB reviews applications and works with applicants to correct technical issues
9/12/2022	CoC Board meeting to consider CoC Consolidated Application and project prioritization
9/15/2022	Final applications due to CSB via e-snaps
9/16/2022	Notify CoC Applicants of project prioritization
9/20/2022	CoC Meeting to consider CoC Consolidated Application
9/30/2022	Application due to HUD via e-snaps

FY 2022 Notice of Funding Opportunity Applicant Materials

Additional application information will be posted here when available.

2022 Continuum of Care Review, Score, and Ranking Process

2022 Continuum of Care Application Schedule

Continuum of Care Reallocation Policy

2022 Supplemental Notice of Funding Opportunity to address Unsheltered Homelessness

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) issued a **CoC Supplemental Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) to address Unsheltered Homelessness**. This is a unique opportunity to apply for NEW funding to address unsheltered homelessness. The CoC Program is designed to promote a community-wide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness; to provide funding for efforts by nonprofit providers, states, Indian Tribes or tribally designated housing entities, and local governments to quickly rehouse homeless

individuals, families, persons fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and youth while minimizing the trauma and dislocation caused by homelessness; to promote access to and effective utilization of mainstream programs by homeless individuals and families; and to optimize self-sufficiency among those experiencing homelessness.

Community Shelter Board (CSB) is seeking proposals in response to the Continuum of Care Supplemental Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered Homelessness, (Continuum of Care Supplemental to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness (hud.gov)).

Applications are due to CSB by 5 pm on August 19, 2022.

Applicants can apply to provide:

- Permanent Housing
 - Rapid Rehousing
 - Permanent Supportive Housing (costs for acquisition, new construction or rehab are not allowable)
- Joint Transitional Housing and PH-Rapid Rehousing
- Supportive services only (includes projects providing services to unsheltered)
 - Street Outreach
 - Coordinated Entry
- HMIS
- CoC Planning (limited at 3% of the application)
- CoC UFA (limited at 3% of the application)

The CoC Scoring and Ranking document and the CoC Plan for Serving Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs (referenced in the applications) will be issued at a later date but prior to the proposals due date.

CSB is seeking proposals that will demonstrate the ability to create a sustainable program that will achieve all expected outcomes. Review the expected outcomes by project type: [Program_Performance_Standards_FY23](#)

Applicants should have experience with providing some or all of the services described in the NOFO. Applicants are expected to implement programs that comply with CSB Partner Agency Standards and the Homeless Crisis Response System Policies and Procedures.

The application is competitive and only the top ranked CoCs will be awarded funding. The application process closely mirrors the annual Continuum of Care Application process. We are expecting to have two concurrent Continuum of Care Applications this year – the regular renewal application expected to be due in September and the new opportunity to address unsheltered homelessness due October 20.

Maximum award for Columbus and Franklin County: \$9,123,297 (over 3 years)

Grant term: 3 years, renewable

Grant start date: July 1, 2023

Application Schedule

Dates	Activities
7/18/2022	Request for proposals released
7/29/2022	Notify CSB via email if you would like to receive responses to submitted questions
8/19/2022	Draft applications due to CSB by 5 pm in Word format
8/22/2022 – 8/29/2022	CSB reviews draft applications and works with applicants to finalize
Week of 9/5	CAC meets to review the projects and rank them
9/9/2022	CoC Workgroup meets to review, score projects and rank them
9/13/2022	Draft application due to CSB by 5 pm via email in esnaps format
9/16/2022	Final applications due to CSB by 5 pm in esnaps

10/3/2022

Notify applicants of project prioritization or rejection

2022 SUPPLEMENTAL NOFO APPLICANT MATERIALS

Please make sure to use the correct project type application.

RFP Guidelines

Full Application Schedule

FY22 Match Letter Template

PSH

Street Outreach

RRH

Coordinated Entry

Joint TH-RRH

2022 +

2021 +

2020 +

2019 +

2018 +

2017 +

2016 +

2015 +

© 2022 Columbus & Franklin County, Ohio Continuum of Care

From: [Lianna Barbu](#)
To: [Dawnya Underwood](#); [Rosenthal, Lynn](#); [Houston, Maria](#); [bpierson@mchs.com](#); [Jodi Zellers](#); [Roxann Payne](#); [Adam Rowan](#); [alee-garland@ywcacolumbus.org](#); [Alex Shanks](#); [Alexander Murphy](#); [Amanda Frankl](#); [Amber Jacott](#); [Amy Rosenthal](#); [Andrew Snouffer](#); [caffeya@southeastinc.com](#); [arica.morgan@voaohin.org](#); [Bart Henning](#); [benjamin.sears@mchs.com](#); [beth.lonn@ymcacolumbus.org](#); [Betsy McGraw](#); [Betty Rickabaugh](#); [Brad McCain](#); [Brian Stroh, M.D.](#); [brittani.perdue@use.salvationarmy.org](#); [Caleb Yoho](#); [cwirick@netcareaccess.org](#); [Christina Alutto](#); [cbain@nationalchurchresidences.org](#); [Constance Duncan](#); [courtneyelrod@equitashealth.com](#); [Crystal Gary](#); [Dawn Lane](#); [De Andree Nekoranec](#); [Deborah Schipper](#); [Donna Stoeckert](#); [Hawes, Emily](#); [Erin Macklin](#); [Erin Steele](#); [High, Candy](#); [isaac.barton@voaohin.org](#); [Ja"el Van Voorhis](#); [James Brennan](#); [Jennifer Gulley](#); [isharma@chninc.org](#); [Joan Russinovich](#); [John Bell](#); [John Reed](#); [Judy Peterson](#); [Julie Day](#); [Julie Embree](#); [Julie Fratianne](#); [Kala Brean](#); [karen.brigner@voaohin.org](#); [Katherine Music](#); [Kathy Atkins](#); [Katie Elsass](#); [Kelly Valentine](#); [Kelsey Strausbaugh](#); [Kevin Ballard](#); [Kim Cooksey](#); [Kyra Crockett Hodge](#); [LaRaun Clayton](#); [Larry Murnane](#); [Lauren Wilson - LSS Faith Mission \(LWilson@lssnetworkofhope.org\)](#); [Laurie Wilson](#); [Leah Tuttamore](#); [Lisa Kraft](#); [Lonnie Harper](#); [Lori Corey](#); [Lori Varn](#); [Louise Gooch](#); [Lynda Leclerc](#); [mardiciria@aol.com](#); [Mareva Page](#); [mzimmerman@nationalchurchresidences.org](#); [Mary Price](#); [Mary Stone](#); [kendricksm@southeastinc.com](#); [Melissa Harvin](#); [Melissa Humbert-Washington](#); [Meredith Leider](#); [Michael Gildea](#); [mchieffo@ywcacolumbus.org](#); [Michelle Norris](#); [Michelle Ritchey](#); [Miranda Cox](#); [Nancy Rapelje](#); [Nanette Pierre](#); [Nanon Morrison](#); [Nathaniel Ward](#); [Nichole Goodman](#); [Nick Bankston](#); [Nick Winslow](#); [Norika Hancock](#); [pamferrell@equitashealth.com](#); [Pam Mahaney](#); [Pamela Connelly](#); [Patricia Mason](#); [Patti Liston](#); [Phil Helser](#); [Rachel Rubey](#); [Regina Clemons](#); [Rich Bodemann](#); [Robyn Haycook](#); [Ryan Cassell](#); [rpickut@maryhaven.com](#); [Sam Willer](#); [Sarah Crossley](#); [Sarah Singletary](#); [Sarajane Steffes](#); [Scott Jackson](#); [Shanda McJunkins](#); [sonya.thompkins@voaohin.org](#); [stodd@ywcacolumbus.org](#); [Stan Gunther](#); [Steve Armstrong](#); [Steve Bodkin](#); [Steve Stevenson](#); [sdarby@ymcacolumbus.org](#); [Tara Neiswonger](#); [Taylor Keating](#); [Terri Davis](#); [theresan@homefull.org](#); [Tiana Purvis](#); [Tiffany McCoy](#); [Tina Patterson](#); [Tina Weaver](#); [Tionna Gonzalez](#); [Tom Kmetz](#); [Tom Patton](#); [Tricia Brennan](#); [Trudy Elder](#); [troper@lssnetworkofhope.org](#); [Valerie Henthorn](#); [Vivienne Amjee](#); [williams@southeastinc.com](#); [wspinner@nationalchurchresidences.org](#); [Andrew Roberts](#); [bfetzer@homelessfamiliesfoundation.org](#); [Bill Lee](#); [Bo Chilton](#); [Christie Angel](#); [Isi Ikharebha Green](#); [John R. von Arx - Volunteers of America \(john.vonarx@voaohin.org\)](#); [Karin Sabey](#); [Marilyn Mehaffie](#); [Mark Ricketts](#); [Robby Southers](#); [Robin Green](#); [Sam Shuler](#); [Sonya Thesing](#); [Stacy Martin](#); [Tony Collins](#); [Andrew Roth](#); [Lianna Barbu](#)
Cc: [Cathy Ellerbrock](#); [Tom Lather](#); [Gillian Gunawan](#); [Kirstin Jones](#)
Subject: FY22 CoC Competition is Open
Date: Friday, August 5, 2022 12:06:14 PM
Attachments: [FY22 CoC Application Schedule.pdf](#)
[Inventory List for OH-503 - Columbus Franklin County CoC \(5\).xlsx](#)
[FY 2022 OH-503 GIW 7122022 with revisions.xlsx](#)
[FY22 CoC Project Application Guidance renewal \(YHDP\).docx](#)
[FY22 CoC Project Application Guidance new.docx](#)
[FY22 CoC Project Application Guidance renewal \(non-YHDP\).docx](#)
[FY22 CoC Scoring, Ranking and Prioritization.pdf](#)
[RFP_RRH.docx](#)
[RFP_Coordinated Entry.docx](#)
[RFP_Joint TH RRH.docx](#)
[RFP_PSH.docx](#)

Good Afternoon,

HUD has opened the annual CoC competition. The NOFO is available [here](#). Please read it. A note of importance, this is the **regular CoC Application**. There is also a Supplemental CoC Application happening at the same time, different than this application and on a different schedule.

The full application is due to HUD on **September 30**. Our CoC's Application Schedule is attached. Here are the significant dates that we have to meet to conform with NOFO rules:

- **August 30:** Your applications are due to CSB by 5:00 PM. **Applications MUST be submitted in esnaps!! (this is a change from prior years due to the short application timeframe!) No extensions will be provided.**
- **September 15:** Final applications are due by 5:00 PM. Each applicant must submit the final project application(s) via e-snaps.

Review this guidance carefully:

- After you read the NOFO, read the attached CSB-issued guides (there are different ones for non-YHDP renewal projects, YHDP renewal projects, and new projects). This guidance mirrors last year's as HUD did not issue yet guidance for 2022. If there are changes once HUD issues the necessary guidance we will reissue these documents.
- Because esnaps did not open yet, we are providing a version of the applications in a word format (different for each project type) so you can start work on preparing the applications. Hopefully once HUD opens esnaps you will be able to copy/paste your responses.
- CSB's guides will link you to HUD's Detailed Instructions and Navigational Guides for 2021 (2022 versions are not yet available). It's important that you read these, too. They include specific instructions on how to create your project(s) in e-snaps.
 - ****For renewal projects, use the import option as directed in the HUD guides.**
 - **When you create your project(s), use the project name listed in the attached Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW). Change the year from 2021 to 2022.** You will also use the GIW to complete the application budgets.
 - The attached Housing Inventory Chart (HIC) will also help you respond to application questions.
- The HUD guides also provide insight into exactly what HUD is looking for on each question. CSB's guides provide consistent responses across the CoC and guidance on specific questions. **They will make the application process easier for you.**
- If you are new to e-snaps, there are additional guides and resources available [here](#). E-snaps is the system we use for the CoC application. Please create a profile here <https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/frontOffice.jsf> and then let Gillian know what your user id is so we can add you to our CoC. **If you get stuck at any point in time please reach out to Gillian ASAP!**
- Per the NOFO, we are also attaching our CoC's Review, Score, and Ranking Procedures, this is a draft version that still needs to be approved by the CoC Board. This document describes the project-level review and ranking process that is used by the CoC to determine how CoC Program project applications submitted to the CoC are reviewed, scored, and ranked for the Consolidated Application that CSB will submit.
- HUD has made bonus funding available for new projects. The CoC already prioritized new PSH projects and a separate email will be sent to the respective priority projects.

HUD has made bonus funding available for new RRH, Joint RRH/TH and Coordinated Entry projects that serve survivors of domestic violence. If your agency is interested in pursuing funding for a new DV project, please let us know as soon as possible.

- These documents will also be posted on the CoC's website. - [Columbus & Franklin County, Ohio Continuum of Care \(columbusfranklincountycoc.org\)](http://Columbus & Franklin County, Ohio Continuum of Care (columbusfranklincountycoc.org))

Please let me know what questions you have. I'm looking forward to working with you to secure \$19+ million for our system this year!

Thank you,

Lianna Barbu (she/her)

Associate Director



Community Shelter Board
355 E. Campus View Blvd., Suite 250
Columbus, OH 43235
Desk: 614-715-2535
Cell: 614-787-7062
www.csb.org

From: [Lianna Barbu](#)
To: [Adam Troy](#); [Andy Keller](#); [Angela Cline](#); [bfetzerice@homelessfamiliesfoundation.org](#); [Buck Bramlish](#); [carl.landry@va.gov](#); [Casandra Angus](#); [Charles Hillman](#); [Chip Spinning](#); [Christopher Maitland](#) ([CLMaitland@columbus.gov](#)); [Consuela Clarke](#); [Dawnya Underwood](#); [Debbie Donahey](#); [Dennis Jeffrey](#); [Donna Mayer](#); [Emily Savors](#); [Erika Clark Jones](#); [flyons@columbus.k12.oh.us](#); [Frank Beel](#); [Genee L. Cosby](#); [Gillian Gunawan](#); [Hannah Estabrook](#); [James Schimmer](#); [Jeff Pattison](#); [Jerome Johnson](#); [Jim Rose](#) ([jimbuckeye7673@gmail.com](#)); [Jindal, Sundeepti N.](#); [Jon Cardi](#) ([Jon.Cardi@safelite.com](#)); [Jonathan Welty](#); [Joy Bivens](#); [Julissa Tiruchelvam](#); [Kelsey Strausbaugh](#); [Kier Scott](#); [Kim McKibben](#) ([KMckibben@bbw.com](#)); [kistands@columbus.gov](#); [Lark Mallory](#); [Lianna Barbu](#); [Liban, Anisa A.](#); [Lisa Phillips](#); [Maria Houston](#); [Marion Sullivan](#); [Mason Alexander](#); [Michael Wilkos](#); [Michelle Heritage](#); [Orvell Johns](#); [Rei Scott](#); [Reyna Hughes](#); [Roxann Payne](#); [Scott Scharlach](#) ([sscharlach@cmhanet.com](#)); [Shayla D. Favor](#); [Sheila Prillerman](#); [Sherry Wakely](#); [Smith, Steve](#); [Steve Skovensky](#); [sdarby@ymcacolumbus.org](#); [Tanya McDay](#); [Terri Power](#); [Tiara Ross](#); [Tina Rutherford](#); [Vicky Bowman](#); [Villilo, Sue](#); [Kirstin Jones](#)
Subject: FW: FY22 CoC Competition is Open
Date: Friday, August 5, 2022 1:45:36 PM
Attachments: [FY22 CoC Application Schedule.pdf](#)
[Inventory List for OH-503 - Columbus Franklin County CoC \(5\).xlsx](#)
[FY 2022 OH-503 GIW 7122022 with revisions.xlsx](#)
[FY22 CoC Project Application Guidance renewal \(YHDP\).docx](#)
[FY22 CoC Project Application Guidance new.docx](#)
[FY22 CoC Project Application Guidance renewal \(non-YHDP\).docx](#)
[FY22 CoC Scoring, Ranking and Prioritization.pdf](#)
[RFP RRH.docx](#)
[RFP Coordinated Entry.docx](#)
[RFP Joint TH RRH.docx](#)
[RFP PSH.docx](#)

Good afternoon,

The Housing and Urban Development Department opened the regular CoC Competition on Monday. The email below was sent earlier today to an extended list of CSB partner agencies and DV service providers – please feel free to further distribute this announcement.

This is in addition and at the same time as we are working on the Supplemental CoC Application that has a separate schedule and scope.

The CoC Board and CoC will meet twice in September/October to consider both of these applications. All relevant dates should be on your schedules already.

Thank you for the additional time commitment you will be giving us to successfully submit these applications to HUD.

Lianna Barbu (she/her)

Associate Director



Community Shelter Board
355 E. Campus View Blvd., Suite 250
Columbus, OH 43235
Desk: 614-715-2535
Cell: 614-787-7062
www.csb.org

From: Lianna Barbu <lbarbu@csb.org>

Sent: Friday, August 5, 2022 12:06 PM

To: [Dawnya Underwood](#) <dunderwood@issnetworkofhope.org>; [Rosenthal, Lynn](#) <Lynn.Rosenthal@familysafetyandhealing.org>; [Houston, Maria](#) <mnhouston@issnetworkofhope.org>; [bpierson@mchs.com](#); [Jodi Zellers](#) <Jodi.Zellers@mchs.com>; [Roxann Payne](#) <rpayne@mchs.com>; [Adam Rowan](#) <arowan@maryhaven.com>; [alee-](#)

garland@ywcacolumbus.org; Alex Shanks <alexshanks@equitashealth.com>; Alexander Murphy <amurphy@chninc.org>; Amanda Frankl <afrankl@ymcacolumbus.org>; Amber Jacott <ajacott@ywcacolumbus.org>; Amy Rosenthal <ARosenthal@nationalchurchresidences.org>; Andrew Snouffer <asnouffer@homeforfamilies.org>; caffeya@southeastinc.com; arica.morgan@voahin.org; Bart Henning <BHenning@maryhaven.com>; benjamin.sears@mchs.com; beth.lonn@ymcacolumbus.org; Betsy McGraw <betsy.mcgraw@voahin.org>; Betty Rickabaugh <brickabaugh@ywcacolumbus.org>; Brad McCain <BMcCain@ymcacolumbus.org>; Brian Stroh, M.D. <bstroh@netcareaccess.org>; brittani.perdue@use.salvationarmy.org; Caleb Yoho <cyoho@netcareaccess.org>; cwirick@netcareaccess.org; Christina Alutto <calutto@chninc.org>; cbain@nationalchurchresidences.org; Constance Duncan <cduncan@homeforfamilies.org>; courtneyelrod@equitashealth.com; Crystal Gary <cgary@homeforfamilies.org>; Dawn Lane <DLane@nationalchurchresidences.org>; De Andree Nekoranec <deandree.nekoranec@ymcacolumbus.org>; Deborah Schipper <dschipper@ywcacolumbus.org>; Donna Stoeckert <Donna.Stoeckert@use.salvationarmy.org>; Hawes, Emily <emilyh@homefull.org>; Erin Macklin <emacklin@huck-house.org>; Erin Steele <esteele@netcareaccess.org>; High, Candy <candyh@homefull.org>; isaac.barton@voahin.org; Ja'el Van Voorhis <jvanvoorhis@homelessfamiliesfoundation.org>; James Brennan <James.Brennan@USE.SalvationArmy.Org>; Jennifer Gulley <jgulley@maryhaven.com>; jsharma@chninc.org; Joan Russinovich <joan.russinovich@voahin.org>; John Bell <bellj@southeastinc.com>; John Reed <jreed@maryhaven.com>; Judy Peterson <jpeterson@ywcacolumbus.org>; Julie Day <julieday@equitashealth.com>; Julie Embree <julieembree@equitashealth.com>; Julie Fratianne <JFratianne@nationalchurchresidences.org>; Kala Brean <Kala.Brean@use.salvationarmy.org>; karen.brigner@voahin.org; Katherine Music <Katherine.Music@USE.SalvationArmy.Org>; Kathy Atkins <kathleen.atkins@voahin.org>; Katie Elsass <kelsass@chninc.org>; Kelly Valentine <kvalentine@homeforfamilies.org>; Kelsey Strausbaugh <KelseyStrausbaugh@equitashealth.com>; Kevin Ballard <kballard@gladdenhouse.org>; Kim Cooksey <cookseyk@southeastinc.com>; Kyra Crockett Hodge <kcrockett@huck-house.org>; LaRaun Clayton <laraun.clayton@voahin.org>; Larry Murnane <LMurnane@nationalchurchresidences.org>; Lauren Wilson - LSS Faith Mission (LWilson@lssnetworkofhope.org) <LWilson@lssnetworkofhope.org>; Laurie Wilson <wilsonl@southeastinc.com>; Leah Tuttamore <tuttamorel@southeastinc.com>; Lisa Kraft <lkraft@chninc.org>; Lonnie Harper <lawrence.harper@ymcacolumbus.org>; Lori Corey <lori.corey@ymcacolumbus.org>; Lori Varn <lori.varn@voahin.org>; Louise Gooch <louise.gooch@ymcacolumbus.org>; Lynda Leclerc <lleclerc@huck-house.org>; mardiciria@aol.com; Mareva Page <marevapage@equitashealth.com>; mzimmerman@nationalchurchresidences.org; Mary Price <mprice@chninc.org>; Mary Stone <MStone@nationalchurchresidences.org>; kendricksm@southeastinc.com; Melissa Harvin <MHarvin@lssnetworkofhope.org>; Melissa Humbert-Washington <mhumbertwashington@homeforfamilies.org>; Meredith Leider <mleider@huck-house.org>; Michael Gildea <Michael.Gildea@voahin.org>; mchieffo@ywcacolumbus.org; Michelle Norris <MNorris@nationalchurchresidences.org>; Michelle Ritchey <michelle.ritchey@ymcacolumbus.org>; Miranda Cox <coxmi@southeastinc.com>; Nancy Rapelje <nrapelje@chninc.org>; Nanette Pierre <NPierre@nationalchurchresidences.org>; Nanon Morrison <NMorrison@maryhaven.com>; Nathaniel Ward <nward@ywcacolumbus.org>; Nichole Goodman <nicholegoodman@equitashealth.com>; Nick Bankston <NBankston@gladdenhouse.org>;

Nick Winslow <nicholas.winslow@ymcacolumbus.org>; Norika Hancock
<norika.hancock@voahin.org>; pamferrell@equitashealth.com; Pam Mahaney
<Pamela.Mahaney@mchs.com>; Pamela Connelly <Pamela.Connelly@use.salvationarmy.org>;
Patricia Mason <pmason@huck-house.org>; Patti Liston <pliston@netcareaccess.org>; Phil Helser
<phelser@lssco.org>; Rachel Rubey <rrubey@chninc.org>; Regina Clemons
<rclemons@ywcacolumbus.org>; Rich Bodemann <RichardBodemann@equitashealth.com>; Robyn
Haycook <rhaycook@nationalchurchresidences.org>; Ryan Cassell <rcassell@chninc.org>;
rpickut@maryhaven.com; Sam Willer <willers@southeastinc.com>; Sarah Crossley
<sarahcrossley@equitashealth.com>; Sarah Singletary
<ssingletary@homelessfamiliesfoundation.org>; Sarajane Steffes
<SSteffes@nationalchurchresidences.org>; Scott Jackson <scott.jackson@voahin.org>; Shanda
McJunkins <smcjunkins@chninc.org>; sonya.thompkins@voahin.org; stodd@ywcacolumbus.org;
Stan Gunther <sgunther@chninc.org>; Steve Armstrong <Sarmstrong@lssnetworkofhope.org>;
Steve Bodkin <sbodkin@nationalchurchresidences.org>; Steve Stevenson
<sstevenson@ywcacolumbus.org>; sdarby@ymcacolumbus.org; Tara Neiswonger
<TNeiswonger@ymcacolumbus.org>; Taylor Keating <tkeating@maryhaven.com>; Terri Davis
<tdavis@maryhaven.com>; theresan@homefull.org; Tiana Purvis <tpurvis@chninc.org>; Tiffany
McCoy <tmccoy@homeforfamilies.org>; Tina Patterson <Tinap@Homefull.org>; Tina Weaver
<tinaw@homefull.org>; Tionna Gonzalez <tgonzalez@homeforfamilies.org>; Tom Kmetz
<tom.kmetz@voahin.org>; Tom Patton <tpatton@huck-house.org>; Tricia Brennan
<Tricia.Brennan@USE.SalvationArmy.Org>; Trudy Elder <trudye@homefull.org>;
troper@lssnetworkofhope.org; Valerie Henthorn <vhenthorn@ywcacolumbus.org>; Vivienne Amijee
<vamijee@maryhaven.com>; williamsw@southeastinc.com;
wspinner@nationalchurchresidences.org; Andrew Roberts <andrew.roberts@voahin.org>;
bfetzerrice@homelessfamiliesfoundation.org; Bill Lee <leeb@southeastinc.com>; Bo Chilton
<bchilton@impactca.org>; Christie Angel <cangel@ywcacolumbus.org>; Isi Ikharebha Green
<isi@pcchealth.org>; John R. von Arx - Volunteers of America (john.vonarx@voahin.org)
<john.vonarx@voahin.org>; Karin Sabey <KarinSabey@equitashealth.com>; Marilyn Mehaffie
<MMehaffie@saintstephensch.org>; Mark Ricketts <mricketts@nationalchurchresidences.org>;
Robby Southers <SouthersR@fcmcclerk.com>; Robin Green <rgreen@bspc.org>; Sam Shuler
<sshuler@chninc.org>; Sonya Thesing <sthesing@huck-house.org>; Stacy Martin
<smartin@lssnetworkofhope.com>; Tony Collins <tony.collins@ymcacolumbus.org>; Andrew Roth
<Andrew.Roth@use.salvationarmy.org>; Lianna Barbu <lbarbu@csb.org>
Cc: Cathy Ellerbrock <cellerbrock@csb.org>; Tom Lather <tlather@csb.org>; Gillian Gunawan
<ggunawan@csb.org>; Kirstin Jones <kjones@csb.org>

Subject: FY22 CoC Competition is Open

Good Afternoon,

HUD has opened the annual CoC competition. The NOFO is available [here](#). Please read it. A note of importance, this is the **regular CoC Application**. There is also a Supplemental CoC Application happening at the same time, different than this application and on a different schedule.

The full application is due to HUD on **September 30**. Our CoC's Application Schedule is attached. Here are the significant dates that we have to meet to conform with NOFO rules:

- **August 30:** Your applications are due to CSB by 5:00 PM. **Applications MUST be submitted in esnaps!! (this is a change from prior years due to the short application timeframe!) No extensions will be provided.**
- **September 15:** Final applications are due by 5:00 PM. Each applicant must submit the final project application(s) via e-snaps.

Review this guidance carefully:

- After you read the NOFO, read the attached CSB-issued guides (there are different ones for non-YHDP renewal projects, YHDP renewal projects, and new projects). This guidance mirrors last year's as HUD did not issue yet guidance for 2022. If there are changes once HUD issues the necessary guidance we will reissue these documents.
- Because esnaps did not open yet, we are providing a version of the applications in a word format (different for each project type) so you can start work on preparing the applications. Hopefully once HUD opens esnaps you will be able to copy/paste your responses.
- CSB's guides will link you to HUD's Detailed Instructions and Navigational Guides for 2021 (2022 versions are not yet available). It's important that you read these, too. They include specific instructions on how to create your project(s) in e-snaps.
 - ****For renewal projects, use the import option as directed in the HUD guides.**
 - **When you create your project(s), use the project name listed in the attached Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW). Change the year from 2021 to 2022.** You will also use the GIW to complete the application budgets.
 - The attached Housing Inventory Chart (HIC) will also help you respond to application questions.
- The HUD guides also provide insight into exactly what HUD is looking for on each question. CSB's guides provide consistent responses across the CoC and guidance on specific questions. **They will make the application process easier for you.**
- If you are new to e-snaps, there are additional guides and resources available here. E-snaps is the system we use for the CoC application. Please create a profile here <https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/frontOffice.jsf> and then let Gillian know what your user id is so we can add you to our CoC. **If you get stuck at any point in time please reach out to Gillian ASAP!**
- Per the NOFO, we are also attaching our CoC's Review, Score, and Ranking

Procedures, this is a draft version that still needs to be approved by the CoC Board. This document describes the project-level review and ranking process that is used by the CoC to determine how CoC Program project applications submitted to the CoC are reviewed, scored, and ranked for the Consolidated Application that CSB will submit.

- HUD has made bonus funding available for new projects. The CoC already prioritized new PSH projects and a separate email will be sent to the respective priority projects.
- HUD has made bonus funding available for new RRH, Joint RRH/TH and Coordinated Entry projects that serve survivors of domestic violence. If your agency is interested in pursuing funding for a new DV project, please let us know as soon as possible.
- These documents will also be posted on the CoC's website. - [Columbus & Franklin County, Ohio Continuum of Care \(columbusfranklincountycoc.org\)](http://Columbus & Franklin County, Ohio Continuum of Care (columbusfranklincountycoc.org))

Please let me know what questions you have. I'm looking forward to working with you to secure \$19+ million for our system this year!

Thank you,

Lianna Barbu (she/her)

Associate Director



Community Shelter Board
355 E. Campus View Blvd., Suite 250
Columbus, OH 43235
Desk: 614-715-2535
Cell: 614-787-7062
www.csb.org

Local Competition Scoring Tool

In response to question 1E-2, please see the scoring tool OH-503 used in its local competition. The portions highlighted below demonstrate HUD's required items.

1. maximum points available and actual points awarded for each project, columns titled *Max points available = Max points available for objective criteria* and *Total Points Usage Points + Performance Points Awarded = Project Score*, highlighted below.
2. maximum points available and actual points awarded for objective criteria for project applications, columns titled *Max points available = Max points available for objective criteria* and *Total Points Usage Points + Performance Points Awarded = Project Score*, highlighted below **(all points available and actual points awarded are based on objective criteria)**.
3. maximum points available and actual points awarded for system performance criteria for the project application, columns titled *Max points available for system performance and Performance Points Awarded*, highlighted below.
4. maximum points available and actual points awarded for projects that address specific severe barriers to housing and services; and data from comparable databases used to score projects submitted by victim service providers. Not included because all CoC projects provide housing and services to the hardest to serve, most vulnerable populations. All projects in the CoC adhere to system-wide standardized prioritization and assessment requirements that prioritize the most vulnerable. All projects serve people with severe barriers to housing and services. No project uses comparable databases.



#	Project Name	Grant Number	Most recent closed HUD Grant Amount	Amount Expended Per CSB Records	Balance	% of HUD Grant Amount Spent	Usage Points Available	HUD Funding Usage Points Awarded	FY2022 Program Evaluation Rating	Max points available for system performance	Performance Points Awarded	Max points available = Max points available for objective criteria	Total Points Usage Points + Performance Points Awarded = Project Score
1	Homefull TRA EHV/Mainstream	OH0076U5E032114	\$50,000.00	\$49,194.58	\$805.42	98.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	CHN Briggsdale	OH0078U5E032114	\$678,592.00	\$669,267.00	\$9,325.00	98.6%	10	8	Medium	10	6	20	14
3	CHN East Fifth	OH0088U5E032114	\$232,914.00	\$232,914.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20
4	CHN Family Homes	OH0082U5E032114	\$15,798.00	\$15,798.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20
5	CHN Inglewood	OH0410U5E032109	\$71,508.00	\$71,508.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20
6	CHN Marsh Brook	OH0630U5E032103	\$498,758.00	\$498,758.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16
7	CHN Parsons	OH0093U5E032114	\$272,797.00	\$272,797.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20
8	CHN Safe Haven	OH0097U5E032114	\$174,275.00	\$174,275.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16
9	CHN Southpoint	OH0281U5E032113	\$352,356.00	\$352,356.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20
10	CHN Terrace	OH0092U5E032114	\$160,885.00	\$160,885.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16
11	CHN Wilson	OH0101U5E032114	\$20,680.00	\$20,680.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20
12	CSB HMIS	OH0087U5E032114	\$284,070.00	\$284,070.00	\$0.00	100.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	Equitas TRA	OH0080U5E032114	\$705,863.60	\$679,264.00	\$26,599.60	96.2%	10	8	Medium	10	6	20	14
14	Homefull Leasing	OH0312U5E032110	\$1,668,879.00	\$1,651,666.00	\$17,213.00	99.0%	10	8	Medium	10	6	20	14
15	Homefull SRA	OH0083U5E032114	\$1,494,531.00	\$1,468,993.00	\$25,538.00	98.3%	10	8	Medium	10	6	20	14
16	Homefull TRA	OH0084U5E032114	\$2,394,751.92	\$2,368,344.00	\$26,407.92	98.9%	10	8	High	10	10	20	18
17	Huckleberry House TLP	OH0099U5E032114	\$289,404.00	\$289,080.00	\$324.00	99.9%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16
18	Maryhaven Chantry	OH0090U5E032114	\$237,739.00	\$224,443.67	\$13,295.33	94.4%	10	8	High	10	10	20	18
19	NCR Buckingham	OH0394U5E032111	\$209,856.00	\$209,855.98	\$0.02	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20
20	NCR Grant	OH0085U5E032114	\$232,217.00	\$232,216.27	\$0.73	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20
21	NCR Third	OH0372U5E032110	\$231,876.00	\$231,875.45	\$0.55	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20
22	NCR Van Buren Village	OH0470U5E032105	\$70,367.00	\$70,366.48	\$0.52	100.0%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16
23	TSA RRH J2H	OH0074U5E032110	\$104,653.00	\$90,363.00	\$14,290.00	86.3%	10	8	Medium	10	6	20	14
24	VOA Family PSH	OH0094U5E032114	\$600,633.00	\$600,633.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16
25	YMCA DV RRH	OH0617U5E032103	\$415,054.00	\$332,804.00	\$82,250.00	80.2%	10	8	Low	10	2	20	10
26	Homefull Isaiah	OH0075U5E032111	\$2,185,375.00	\$2,142,901.00	\$42,474.00	98.1%	10	8	High	10	10	20	18
27	YMCA Touchstone	OH0445U5E032109	\$165,056.40	\$162,528.00	\$2,528.40	98.5%	10	8	Medium	10	6	20	14
28	YWCA WINGS	OH0102U5E032114	\$836,283.00	\$836,283.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16
NEW	N^^ Berwyn East Place	new								14	14	45	44
NEW	CHN Poplar Fen Place	new								14	14	45	43
NEW	Homefull Leasing Expansion	new								14	14	45	43
NEW	YMCA Beacon PSH	new								14	14	45	42
NEW	NWRI/TCFSH DV Coordinated Entry	new								14	14	35	35

Scored Forms for One Project

In response to question 1E-2a, please see the following for OH-503's score forms and portions highlighted below demonstrating the required items for one renewal project submitted in OH-503's local competition.



2022 RENEWAL/EXPANSION SCORING SHEET - PSH/TH (non-YHDP)

Organization Name: Community Housing Network

Project Name: Briggsdale

Grant Number: OH0078U5E032114

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS		
Compliant with A (Organizational) and D (Financial) Standards	Yes	
Participates in Coordinated Entry	Yes	
Operates a Housing First Program	Yes	
Submitted a complete and accurate project application	Yes	
Project has reasonable costs per SHO	Yes	
Project is financially feasible	Yes	
Active CoC participant	Yes	
Acceptable Organizational Financial Indicators	Yes	
ELIGIBLE FOR RATING		Yes

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OUTCOMES					
Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics	Semi-annual Goal	Semi-annual Actual	Achieved?
Efficient number of households served	Households served (#)	Set based on prior year(s) attainment and program capacity.	71	67	Yes
Access to resources/services to move to and stabilize housing	Housing Stability	At least standard below or greater if prior year(s) achievement was greater <ul style="list-style-type: none">At least 12 months for PSH (goal to be set not to exceed 24 months, actual attainment may be greater than goal)Up to 4 months for TH	24	46	Yes
		At least 90% successful housing outcomes for PSH and 77% successful housing outcomes for TH.	90	93	Yes
	Successful housing outcomes (%)	Calculated based on the Successful housing outcomes % measurement.	64	62	Yes
	Successful housing exits (%)	At least 50% of exits are successful housing outcomes.	50	17	No
Basic needs met in a non-congregate environment	(PSH only)				
Not re-enter the emergency shelter system	Exit to Homelessness (%)	<10% of those who exit housing will return to homelessness within 180 days of exit.	<10	3	Yes
Efficient and effective use of a pool of community resources	Cost per household	Cost per household will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.	consistent	consistent	Yes
	Cost per unit	Cost per unit will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.	consistent	consistent	
	Pass program certification	Provide access to resources and services to end homelessness.	Pass certification	Compliant	
CoC or HUD Standards	Program Occupancy Rate (%)	Full occupancy (>95%).	95	96	Yes
	Negative Reason for leaving (%)	Less than 20% leave for non-compliance or disagreement with rules	20	33	No
	Increase in cash income, other than employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%)	At least 30% of adults will increase income from other sources than employment from entry to exit or end of reporting period.	30	52	Yes
	Increase in income from employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%)	At least 15% of adults will have increased employment income from entry to exit or end of reporting period.	15	3	No

Count # of rated performance items in the table above that were achieved
If >75% achieved, High rating, if 50-75% achieved, Median rating, less than 50% achieved, Low rating
Assign rating level based on above
Assign 10 points for High rating, 6 points for Medium rating and 2 point for Low rating

Number of goals achieved: 8
% of goals achieved: 73%
Rating: Medium
Score: 6

out of 10

USAGE OF HUD GRANT FUNDS		
Most recent closed HUD Grant Amount	\$ 678,592	amount of granted funding
Amount Expended	\$ 669,267	amount of draws
Calculate rate of expenditure	% of HUD Grant Amount Spent 99%	
If more than 99.4%, 10 points; 80 - 99.4%, 8 points; 60-80%, 5 points; 40-60%, 2 points; <40%, 0 points	Score: 8	out of 10
TOTAL SCORE		14 out of 20

Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

In response to question 1E-5, please see please see the portions highlighted below demonstrating that OH-503 provided notification to Projects Rejected-Reduced on 9/13/2022 before the deadline of September 15, 2022.



From: [Lianna Barbu](#)
To: [Amanda Frankl \(afrankl@ymcacolumbus.org\)](#); [sdarby@ymcacolumbus.org](#); [De Andree Nekorane](#)
[c \(deandree.nekoranec@ymcacolumbus.org\)](#); [Quianna Wasler](#); [Michael Polite](#)
Cc: [Kirstin Jones](#); [Gillian Gunawan](#)
Subject: FW: Project Scoring and Rankings for the FY22 CoC Application
Date: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 10:29:13 AM
Attachments: [Scoring and Ranking 2022 for web posting.pdf](#)
[FY22 CoC Scoring Ranking and Prioritization.pdf](#)

Good Morning,

As a follow up to the email below noting that the CoC Board rejected one project application, through this email I am letting you know that your project, YMCA/Beacon PSH, scored 42 points and as the lowest scored project among the new project applications submitted, was rejected from the CoC 2022 Application because of insufficient CoC Bonus funds available.

Thank you for submitting your Project Application for the FY22 CoC competition. Please see attached the CoC Board's recommendation for the ranked position of your project, including the project score.

The ranking is posted on the CoC website here:

<http://www.columbusfranklincountycoc.org/hud-application/>. Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thank you

Lianna Barbu (she/her)

Associate Director



Community Shelter Board
355 E. Campus View Blvd., Suite 250
Columbus, OH 43235
Desk: 614-715-2535
Cell: 614-787-7062
www.csb.org

From: Lianna Barbu <lbarbu@csb.org>

Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 10:26 AM

To: Alexander Murphy <amurphy@chninc.org>; Amanda Frankl <afrankl@ymcacolumbus.org>; Amber Jacott <ajacott@ywcacolumbus.org>; Andrew Roth <Andrew.Roth@use.salvationarmy.org>; Bart Henning <BHenning@maryhaven.com>; Beth Fetzer-Rice <bfetzerrice@homeforfamilies.org>; Betsy McGraw <betsy.mcgraw@voahin.org>; brittani.perdue@use.salvationarmy.org; Christina Alutto <calutto@chninc.org>; cbain@nationalchurchresidences.org; Constance Duncan <cduncan@homeforfamilies.org>; courtneyelrod@equitashealth.com; Crystal Gary <cgary@homeforfamilies.org>; De Andree Nekorane

<jpeterson@ywcacolumbus.org>; Kala Brean <Kala.Brean@use.salvationarmy.org>; Katie Elsass <kelsass@chninc.org>; Kelly Valentine <kvalentine@homeforfamilies.org>; Kyra Crockett Hodge <kcrockett@huck-house.org>; LaRaun Clayton <laraun.clayton@voaohin.org>; Lynda Leclerc <lleclerc@huck-house.org>; mzimmerman@nationalchurchresidences.org; Mary Price <mprice@chninc.org>; Melissa Humbert-Washington <mhumbertwashington@homeforfamilies.org>; Michael Gildea <Michael.Gildea@voaohin.org>; Nichole Goodman <nicholegoodman@equitashealth.com>; Nick Winslow <nicholas.winslow@ymcacolumbus.org>; Patricia Mason <pmason@huck-house.org>; rpickut@maryhaven.com; Shanda McJunkins <smcjunkins@chninc.org>; sdarby@ymcacolumbus.org; Terri Davis <tdavis@maryhaven.com>; theresan@homefull.org; Tiana Purvis <tpurvis@chninc.org>; Tom Patton <tpatton@huck-house.org>; Trudy Elder <trudye@homefull.org>; Valerie Henthorn <vhenthorn@ywcacolumbus.org>; wspinner@nationalchurchresidences.org; lynn.rosenthal@familysafetyandhealing.org; Cunningham, Nancy <Nancy.Cunningham@nationwidechildrens.org>; Weathers, Katherine <Katherine.Weathers@familysafetyandhealing.org>

Cc: Gillian Gunawan <ggunawan@csb.org>; Kirstin Jones <kjones@csb.org>

Subject: Project Scoring and Rankings for the FY22 CoC Application

Good Morning,

Thank you for submitting Project Applications for the FY22 CoC competition. The CoC Board met on September 12, 2022 to review the FY22 CoC Application and rank renewal and new Project Applications according to the attached 2022 CoC Scoring Ranking and Prioritization Procedures.

Please see attached the CoC Board's recommendations for the ranked position of the project applications, including project scores and funding amounts. The CoC Board accepted all projects except one. One new project was rejected due to insufficient CoC Bonus funding. YHDP, CoC planning, and UFA projects were accepted and not ranked, per HUD's guidance.

The full CoC will meet on September 20 to review and approve the full CoC application.

The ranking is posted on the CoC website here:

<http://www.columbusfranklincountycoc.org/hud-application/>. Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thank you

Lianna Barbu (she/her)

Associate Director



Community Shelter Board
355 E. Campus View Blvd., Suite 250
Columbus, OH 43235
Desk: 614-715-2535
Cell: 614-787-7062
www.csb.org

#	Project Name	Grant Number	Total ARA	Cumulative	%	Most recent closed HUD Grant Amount	Amount Expended Per CSB Records	Balance	% of HUD Grant Amount Spent	Usage Points Available	HUD Funding Usage Points Awarded	FY2022 Program Evaluation Rating	Max points available for system performance	Performance Points Awarded	Max points available and Max points available for objective criteria	Total Points Usage Points + Performance Points Awarded	Project Ranking	Project accepted/rejected
1	YHDP CHN Marsh Brook-NCR Youth PSH	OH0693Y5E032100	\$346,341	\$346,341	11%	\$346,341.00	\$256,521.00	\$89,820.00	74.1%	N/A	N/A	Medium	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Accepted
2	YHDP HFF RRH	OH0695Y5E032100	\$932,587	\$1,278,928	40%	\$928,987.00	\$697,623.21	\$231,363.79	75.1%	N/A	N/A	Low	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Accepted
3	YHDP HFF Transition to Home	OH0694Y5E032100	\$1,693,933	\$2,972,861	93%	\$1,683,792.00	\$962,143.05	\$721,648.95	57.1%	N/A	N/A	Medium	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Accepted
4	YHDP Huckleberry House TAY CARR	OH0692Y5E032100	\$224,000	\$3,196,861	100%	\$237,741.00	\$231,484.00	\$6,257.00	97.4%	N/A	N/A	High	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Accepted
5	Homefull TRA EHV/Mainstream	OH0076U5E032114	\$871,351	\$871,351	6%	\$50,000.00	\$49,194.58	\$805.42	98.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	Accepted
6	CHN East Fifth	OH0088U5E032114	\$232,914	\$1,104,265	7%	\$232,914.00	\$232,914.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20	2	Accepted
7	CHN Family Homes	OH0082U5E032114	\$13,310	\$1,117,575	7%	\$15,798.00	\$15,798.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20	3	Accepted
8	CHN Inglewood	OH0410U5E032109	\$60,247	\$1,177,822	8%	\$71,508.00	\$71,508.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20	4	Accepted
9	CHN Parsons	OH0093U5E032114	\$331,658	\$1,509,480	10%	\$272,797.00	\$272,797.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20	5	Accepted
10	CHN Southpoint	OH0281U5E032113	\$213,546	\$1,723,026	11%	\$352,356.00	\$352,356.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20	6	Accepted
11	CHN Wilson	OH0101U5E032114	\$66,279	\$1,789,305	12%	\$20,680.00	\$20,680.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20	7	Accepted
12	NCR Buckingham	OH0394U5E032111	\$172,375	\$1,961,680	13%	\$209,856.00	\$209,855.98	\$0.02	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20	8	Accepted
13	NCR Grant	OH0085U5E032114	\$172,376	\$2,134,056	14%	\$232,217.00	\$232,216.27	\$0.73	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20	9	Accepted
14	NCR Third	OH0372U5E032110	\$172,375	\$2,306,431	15%	\$231,876.00	\$231,875.45	\$0.55	100.0%	10	10	High	10	10	20	20	10	Accepted
15	Homefull TRA	OH0084U5E032114	\$1,943,372	\$4,249,803	28%	\$2,394,751.92	\$2,368,344.00	\$26,407.92	98.9%	10	8	High	10	10	20	18	11	Accepted
16	Maryhaven Chantry	OH0090U5E032114	\$183,196	\$4,432,999	29%	\$237,739.00	\$224,443.67	\$13,295.33	94.4%	10	8	High	10	10	20	18	12	Accepted
17	Homefull Isaiah	OH0075U5E032111	\$2,170,275	\$6,603,274	44%	\$2,185,375.00	\$2,142,901.00	\$42,474.00	98.1%	10	8	High	10	10	20	18	13	Accepted
18	CHN Safe Haven	OH0097U5E032114	\$188,951	\$6,792,225	45%	\$174,275.00	\$174,275.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16	14	Accepted
19	CHN Terrace	OH0092U5E032114	\$135,549	\$6,927,774	46%	\$160,885.00	\$160,885.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16	15	Accepted
20	Huckleberry House TLP	OH0099U5E032114	\$232,135	\$7,159,909	47%	\$289,404.00	\$289,080.00	\$324.00	99.9%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16	16	Accepted
21	NCR Van Buren Village	OH0470U5E032105	\$64,200	\$7,224,109	48%	\$70,367.00	\$224,443.67	-\$154,076.67	319.0%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16	17	Accepted
22	VOA Family PSH	OH0094U5E032114	\$570,633	\$7,794,742	51%	\$600,633.00	\$600,633.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16	18	Accepted
23	YWCA WINGS	OH0102U5E032114	\$257,848	\$8,052,590	53%	\$836,283.00	\$836,283.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16	19	Accepted
24	CHN Marsh Brook	OH0630U5E032103	\$254,000	\$8,492,195	56%	\$498,758.00	\$498,758.00	\$0.00	100.0%	10	10	Medium	10	6	20	16	20	Accepted
25	CHN Briggsdale	OH0078U5E032114	\$185,605	\$8,238,195	54%	\$678,592.00	\$669,267.00	\$9,325.00	98.6%	10	8	Medium	10	6	20	14	21	Accepted
26	Equitas TRA	OH0080U5E032114	\$910,455	\$9,402,650	62%	\$705,863.60	\$679,264.00	\$26,599.60	96.2%	10	8	Medium	10	6	20	14	22	Accepted
27	Homefull Leasing	OH0312U5E032110	\$1,421,296	\$10,823,946	71%	\$1,668,879.00	\$1,651,666.00	\$17,213.00	99.0%	10	8	Medium	10	6	20	14	23	Accepted
28	Homefull SRA	OH0083U5E032114	\$2,128,589	\$12,952,535	86%	\$1,494,531.00	\$1,468,993.00	\$25,538.00	98.3%	10	8	Medium	10	6	20	14	24	Accepted
29	YMCA Touchstone	OH0445U5E032109	\$237,170	\$13,189,705	87%	\$165,056.40	\$162,528.00	\$2,528.40	98.5%	10	8	Medium	10	6	20	14	25	Accepted
30	CSB HMIS	OH0087U5E032114	\$164,070	\$14,821,001	98%	\$284,070.00	\$284,070.00	\$0.00	100.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	26	Accepted
31	YMCA DV RRH	OH0617U5E032103	\$1,467,226	\$14,656,931	97%	\$415,054.00	\$332,804.00	\$82,250.00	80.2%	10	8	Low	10	2	20	10	27	Accepted
32	TSA RRH J2H*	OH0074U5E032110	\$326,585	\$15,147,586	100%	\$104,653.00	\$90,363.00	\$14,290.00	86.3%	10	8	Medium	10	6	20	14	28	Accepted
NEW	N^^ Berwyn East Place	new	\$338,633										14	14	45	44	29	Accepted
NEW	CHN Poplar Fen Place	new	\$504,127										14	14	45	43	30	Accepted
NEW	Homefull Leasing Expansion	new	\$74,462										14	14	45	43	31	Accepted
NEW	YMCA Beacon PSH	new	\$439,456										14	14	45	42		Not accepted
NEW	NWRI/TCFSH DV Coordinated Entry	new	\$195,057										14	14	35	35	32	Accepted
	CoC Planning	OH0673U5E032000	\$550,333			\$522,099	\$522,099	\$0	100%									Accepted
	CoC UFA	OH0674U5E032000	\$550,333			\$522,099	\$522,099	\$0	100%									Accepted

Ranking	ARD	\$18,344,447	FY22	\$18,478,664	\$17,740,166	\$1,156,065
(descending score based, renewals prioritized)	Tier 1	\$14,390,207	* De-ranked as last renewal project because delay in submitting project application, past local due date.			
List all renewal projects in the order of their scoring	Tier 2	\$757,379				
List projects that don't fit in Tier 1 in Tier 2	YHDP	\$3,196,861				
	Bonus	\$917,222				
	DV Bonus	\$779,491				
	CoC Plan and UFA	\$1,100,667				

Columbus and Franklin County Continuum of Care (OH-503) 2022 CoC Review, Score, and Ranking Procedures

Date CoC Competition Opened:	8/1/22
Date e-snaps Opened:	8/15/22
Date CoC Application due to HUD:	9/30/22
Columbus/Franklin County Annual Renewal Demand (ARD):	\$18,344,447

I. 2022 HUD Funding Available

Tier 1 (95% of ARD less YHDP)	\$14,390,207
YHDP projects (not ranked)	\$3,196,861 (not ranked)
Tier 2 (5% of ARD)	\$757,379
Bonus Funding	\$917,222
DV Bonus Funding	\$779,491
CoC Planning Funding	\$550,333 (not ranked)
UFA Funding	\$550,333 (not ranked)

II. Columbus and Franklin County CoC Ranking process

The following Priority Guideline will be used, while also applying the scoring process detailed below. The CoC for Columbus and Franklin County decided it will not prioritize new projects over renewing projects for the following reasons:

1. Renewing projects have active clients that benefit from the housing and services provision. By putting at higher risk of defunding renewing projects, it is possible that a non-funded project would be in a position of uprooting, and in a worst-case scenario unhousing, current active participants.
2. As a Unified Funding Agency, Community Shelter Board works with all subrecipients throughout the grant year to improve performance for a non-performing project and ultimately identifies a different subrecipient if performance does not improve. These changes occur in a seamless fashion, in most cases without a need for a project reallocation and with no impact on the participants served. Because of the process described above, no new project would take priority over a well-functioning, active, existing project.

The CoC Board will propose the ranking for all new and renewal projects. The CoC will review the proposal from the CoC Board and will give final approval.

Tier 1 Prioritization/Ranking up to the Tier 1 amount:

- < New or reallocated projects renewing for the first time (held harmless because lack of performance history) and YHDP projects (as long as HUD is not requiring prioritizing these projects) do not receive a score and are prioritized first.
- < Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH), Joint RRH/TH and Transitional Housing (TH) for youth (non-YHDP) using the scoring and rating criteria described

below, in descending order of their score based on the total accumulated points, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount.

- < New PSH through reallocation or bonus for 100% chronic homeless (CH) as ranked by the CoC through resolution, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount.
- < New RRH through reallocation or bonus as ranked by the CoC through resolution, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount.
- < New Supportive Services Only (SSO) for Coordinated Entry (CE) (non-YHDP), up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount.
- < Under Tier 1, for the 2nd to last project, if all else equal, the funding impact on the entire CoC will trigger the ranking position.
- < Under Tier 1, the HMIS renewal project will be ranked last but it will not trail Tier 1 and Tier 2.

Tier 2 Prioritization, if required:

1. Renewal PSH, RRH, Joint RRH/TH and TH for youth (non-YHDP) using the scoring and rating criteria described below, in descending order of their score based on the total accumulated points.
2. Any renewal project that did not follow the locally established competition guidelines will be ranked as the last renewal project in Tier 2.
3. New PSH through reallocation or bonus for 100% chronic homeless (CH) as ranked by the CoC through resolution and following the new project development process.
4. New RRH or Joint RRH/TH through reallocation or bonus as ranked by the CoC through resolution and following the new project development process.
5. New (SSO for CE (non-YHDP) and following the new project development process.

III. Columbus and Franklin County CoC Scoring/Rating Process for Renewal and New Projects

Each of the projects renewing CoC funding will be awarded a score using the scoring process below:

Renewal projects	Points Available	Description
Program Performance scoring		The CoC emphasizes performance of funded programs. The latest program evaluation available (FY2022) evaluates each project based on its performance for the period 7/1/2021 – 12/31/2021. Program Evaluation ratings are determined by measuring outputs and outcomes inclusive of Households Served, Successful Housing Outcomes based on destination at exit, Housing Stability, Occupancy, Recidivism, Change in Income, Income from Employment, Cost Effectiveness and annual Program Review and Certification to confirm compliance with HUD and local regulations, by project type, as detailed in section IV. The Program Performance Measurement and Program Performance Standards sections of this document detail the performance rating. For the Joint TH/RRH projects, the average of the separate project scores will be used for the combined project scoring.
High (meets 75% or more of measured outcomes and outputs by project type detailed in section IV)	10	
Medium (meets at least 50% but less than 75% of measured outcomes and outputs by project type detailed in section IV)	6	
Low/Not rated (meets less than 50% of measured outcomes and outputs by project type detailed in section IV)	2	
Usage of HUD grant funds scoring		The CoC emphasizes effective utilization of funds. Programs are scored based on the total grant
100% funds used	10	

80-99% funds used	8	amount and the amount that was drawn down from HUD for the most recent closed grant cycle (6/30/2022).
60-79% funds used	5	
40-59% funds used	2	
0-39% funds used	0	
Special barriers program scoring	0	The CoC is not assigning any additional points for a special barriers criteria. All projects in the CoC are serving the most vulnerable population. The vulnerability is determined based on standardized criteria system-wide and projects do serve the highest priority clients system-wide for each of the respective project types.
Maximum possible points	20	
Minimum possible points	2	

Each of the new projects requesting CoC bonus or reallocated funding will be awarded a score using the scoring process below:

- < New project Concept Papers can be submitted throughout the year to CSB; CSB will conduct a threshold review and work with the applicant to get the project to where the project meets the general/threshold criteria and can be presented to the CoC Board for their review.
- < During the May CoC Board meeting the new Concept Papers will be presented to the CoC Board and the CoC Board will score and rank new projects for approval by the CoC and in preparation of the CoC competition, using the scoring criteria below.
- < Prioritization will be done in descending order of the project scores, based on the total accumulated points.
- < If all else equal, the project that will be ready the earliest will receive priority.

New projects	Points Available	General Points	Project type specific Points	Performance Points
PSH projects	45	11	20	14
RRH projects	45	11	20	14
Joint RRH/TH projects	39	11	14	14
SSO non-CE projects	39	11	14	14
SSO CE projects	35	11	10	14

IV. Columbus and Franklin County CoC Program Performance Elements

Each of the projects renewing their CoC funding will have their performance evaluated considering the metrics below, distinct based on the project type. Some youth-specific measures are still being benchmarked.

Supportive Housing

PSH – Permanent Supportive Housing; TH = Transitional Housing;

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
Efficient number of households served	Households served (#)	Set based on prior year(s) attainment and program capacity.

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
Access to resources/services to move to and stabilize housing	Housing Stability	At least standard below or greater if prior year(s) achievement was greater <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At least 12 months for PSH (goal to be set not to exceed 24 months, actual attainment may be greater than goal) Up to 4 months for TH
	Housing Affordability at Exit (%) (PSH only)	At least 50% of successful households have their housing affordability ratio, measured as cost of housing (rent and utilities) divided by the household's income at exit, lower than 50%. (Monitored only.)
Basic needs met in a non-congregate environment	Successful housing outcomes (%)	At least 90% successful housing outcomes for PSH and 77% successful housing outcomes for TH.
	Successful housing outcomes (#)	Calculated based on the Successful housing outcomes % measurement.
	Successful housing exits (%) (PSH only)	At least 50% of exits are successful housing outcomes.
Not re-enter the emergency shelter system	Exit to Homelessness (%)	<10% of those who exit housing will return to homelessness within 180 days of exit.
Efficient and effective use of a pool of community resources	Cost per household	Cost per household will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.
	Cost per unit	Cost per unit will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.
	Program Occupancy Rate (%)	Full occupancy (>95%).
	Turnover Rate (%) (PSH only)	Set based on prior year(s) attainment, an annual 20% turnover rate is desirable. (Monitored only.)
	Pass program certification	Provide access to resources and services to end homelessness.
CoC or HUD Standards	Negative Reason for leaving (%)	Less than 20% leave for non-compliance or disagreement with rules
	Increase in cash income, other than employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%)	At least 30% of adults will increase income from other sources than employment from entry to exit or end of

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
		reporting period.
	Increase in income from employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%)	At least 15% of adults will have increased employment income from entry to exit or end of reporting period.

Rapid Re-housing Program

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
Efficient number of households served	Households served (#)	Set based on program capacity, prior year(s) attainment and funds available.
	New households served (#)	Set based on program capacity, prior year(s) attainment and funds available.
	Program Occupancy rate (%)	Set at 80% to ensure efficient use of available capacity. (Monitored only.)
	Average length of participation	Not to exceed standard below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 125 days for short-term family programs • 110 days for the single adult RRH programs • 180 days for medium-term programs
Access to resources/services to move to and stabilize housing	Usage of CSB Direct Client Assistance (%)	% of households that receive CSB DCA at 75% for families and 50% for single adults exiting RRH programs.
	Average length of shelter stay	Average stay in Emergency Shelter not to exceed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 34 days for families • 35 days for single adults (calculated from the date of program entry to shelter exit).
	Housing Affordability at Exit (%) (Family programs only)	At least 50% of successful households have their housing affordability ratio, measured as cost of housing (rent and utilities) divided by the household's income at exit, lower than 50%. (Monitored only).

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
	Increase in cash income, other than employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%) (J2H and DV only)	At least 30% of adults will increase income from other sources than employment from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Increase in income from employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%) (J2H and DV only)	At least 15% of adults will have increased employment income from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
Basic needs met in a non-congregate environment	Successful housing outcome (%)	At least 75% successful housing outcomes for families and 50% for single adults exiting the RRH programs.
	Successful housing outcome (#)	Calculated based on the Successful housing outcomes % measurement.
Not re-enter the emergency shelter system	Recidivism (%)	<10% of those who obtain housing will return to homelessness within 180 days of program exit.
Efficient and effective use of a pool of community resources	Cost per household	Cost per household will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.
	Pass program certification	Provide resources and services to end homelessness.

YOUTH: Emergency Shelter – Coordinated Access and Rapid Resolution

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
Efficient number of households served	Households served (#)	Set based on prior year attainment and funds available.
	New households served (#)	Set based on prior year attainment and funds available.
	Average length of participation	Not to exceed 90 days
Access to resources to address immediate housing need	Successful outcome (%)	At least 75% successful housing/shelter outcomes.
	Successful outcome (#)	Calculated based on the Successful outcomes % measurement.
	Average time to TAY program referrals	The average time to a successful referral not to exceed XX days.
Not re-enter the emergency shelter system	Recidivism (%)	<10% of those who obtain housing will return to homelessness within 180 days of exit.

Efficient and effective use of a pool of community resources	Pass program certification	Provide access to and coordination with community resources and services to prevent homelessness.
	Cost per household	Cost per youth will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.

YOUTH: Supportive Housing

PSH – Permanent Supportive Housing; TH = Transitional Housing;

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
Efficient number of households served	Households served (#)	Set based on prior year(s) attainment and program capacity.
Access to resources/services to move to and stabilize housing	Housing Stability	At least standard below or greater if prior year(s) achievement was greater <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At least 12 months for PSH (goal to be set not to exceed 24 months, actual attainment may be greater than goal) Up to 10 months for TH
	Housing Affordability at Exit (%) (PSH only)	At least 50% of successful youth have their housing affordability ratio, measured as cost of housing (rent and utilities) divided by the household's income at exit, lower than 50%. (Monitored only.)
	Usage of CSB Direct Client Assistance (%) (TH only)	% of youth that receive CSB DCA will be consistent with prior performance and/or program design.
Basic needs met in a non-congregate environment	Successful housing outcomes (%)	At least 90% successful housing outcomes for PSH and 80% successful housing outcomes for TH.
	Successful housing outcomes (#)	Calculated based on the Successful housing outcomes % measurement.
	Successful housing exits (%) (PSH only)	At least 50% of exits are successful housing outcomes.
Not re-enter the emergency shelter system	Exit to Homelessness (%)	<10% of those who exit housing will return to homelessness within 180 days of exit.
Efficient and effective use of a pool of community resources	Cost per household	Cost per youth will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
	Cost per unit	Cost per unit will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.
	Average Engagement Time (TH only)	For TH, the engagement time from shelter or CARR Team not to exceed XX days.
	Program Occupancy Rate (%)	Full occupancy (>95%) for PSH and 95% for TH.
	Turnover Rate (%) (PSH only)	Set based on prior year(s) attainment, an annual 20% turnover rate is desirable. (Monitored only.)
	Pass program certification	Provide access to resources and services to end homelessness.
CoC or HUD Standards	Negative Reason for leaving (%)	Less than 20% leave for non-compliance or disagreement with rules
	Increase in cash income, other than employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%)	At least 30% of youth adults will increase income from other sources than employment from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Increase in income from employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%)	At least 15% of youth adults will have increased employment income from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Income growth	At least 30% of youth adults increase their income from entry to exit or end of reporting period. (Monitored only)
	Improved physical health status	At least 25% of youth self-report improved physical health from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Improved substance use status	At least 50% of youth self-report decreased substance use from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Improved mental health status	At least 35% of youth self-report improved mental health from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Education goal met	At least 50% of youth self-report meeting their education goal from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Employment goal met	At least 50% of youth self-report meeting their employment goal from entry to exit or end of reporting period.

YOUTH: Rapid Re-housing Program

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
Efficient number of households served	Households served (#)	Set based on program capacity, prior year(s) attainment and funds available.
	New households served (#)	Set based on program capacity, prior year(s) attainment and funds available.
	Set at 80% to ensure efficient use of available capacity. (Monitored only.)	Program Occupancy rate (%)
	Average length of participation	180 days for all youth participants
Access to resources/services to move to and stabilize housing	Usage of CSB Direct Client Assistance (%)	% of youth that receive CSB DCA will be consistent with prior performance and/or program design.
	Average length of shelter stay	Average stay in Emergency Shelter not to exceed 35 days (calculated from the date of program entry to shelter exit).
	Average Engagement Time	The engagement time from shelter or CARR Team not to exceed XX days.
	Increase in cash income, other than employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%) (J2H only)	At least 30% of youth adults will increase income from other sources than employment from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Increase in income from employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%) (J2H only)	At least 15% of youth adults will have increased employment income from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Income growth	At least 30% of youth increase their income from entry to exit or end of reporting period. (Monitored only)
Basic needs met in a non-congregate environment	Successful housing outcome (%)	At least 60% successful housing outcomes for youth exiting the RRH program.
	Successful housing outcome (#)	Calculated based on the Successful housing outcomes % measurement.
Not re-enter the emergency shelter system	Recidivism (%)	<10% of those who obtain housing will return to homelessness within 180 days of program exit.
Efficient and effective use of a pool of	Cost per household	Cost per youth will be consistent with

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
community resources		budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.
	Pass program certification	Provide resources and services to end homelessness.
CoC or HUD Standards	Improved physical health status	At least 15% of youth self-report improved physical health from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Improved substance use status	At least 30% of youth self-report decreased substance use from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Improved mental health status	At least 15% of youth self-report improved mental health from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Education goal met	At least 50% of youth self-report meeting their education goal from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Employment goal met	At least 55% of youth self-report meeting their employment goal from entry to exit or end of reporting period.

V. Program Performance Measurement

Program performance outcome goals are compared with actual performance to determine consistency with CSB, CoC, or HUD standards. For outcome definitions and methodologies, please see the Appendix of the Annual Program Evaluation or the Program Methodology document posted on www.csb.org.

Each performance goal is assessed as achieved (Yes), not achieved (No), or not applicable (N/A). *Achieved Goal* is defined as 90% or better of a numerical goal or within 5 percentage points of a percentage goal, except where a lesser or greater value than this variance also indicates an achieved goal (e.g. Average Length of Stay goal was met if actual achievement is 105% or less of goal). HUD performance goals do not allow for this variance, they are fixed goals. *Not Applicable* is assigned when a performance goal was not assigned; the reason for this is explained in the footnote for the respective program.

Each program is assigned a performance rating¹ of High, Medium, or Low as determined by overall program achievement of performance outcomes for the evaluation period. Ratings are based on the following:

<i>Rating</i>	<i>Achievement of Program Outcome Measure ²</i>
<i>High</i>	<i>achieve at least 75% of the measured outcomes and at least one of the</i>

¹ In some instances, the program was too new to evaluate; therefore, a performance rating was not assigned.

² If serious and persistent program non-performance issues existed prior to evaluation, then the program was assigned a lower rating than what its program achievement of performance outcomes would otherwise warrant.

	<i>successful housing outcomes (either number or percentage outcome)</i>
<i>Medium</i>	<i>achieve at least 50% but less than 75% of the measured outcomes</i>
<i>Low</i>	<i>achieve less than 50% of the measured outcomes</i>

All programs rated as “Low” performers are considered enhanced-risk. Programs of concern may be considered enhanced-risk. Enhanced-risk programs and/or those experiencing long-standing and serious program issues and/or systemic agency concerns enter into a Quality Improvement (QI) Plan with CSB. The QI Plan is tailored to the partner agency and focused on the steps required to become compliant and/or improve performance. The QI Plan process is based on one-on-one dialogues between CSB and the partner agency and considers agency plans and progress on addressing program issues. Partner agencies with enhanced-risk programs will enter into a conditional contract with CSB for the remainder of the current fiscal year. Specific conditions will be determined by the areas of concern leading to the non-compliant status.

If the partner agency and/or CSB find that the QI Plan process is not working, either may refer the concerns or issues to the CoC Board. The partner agency will be given an opportunity to present its case to the CoC Board, at the CoC Board’s request.

If the partner agency is not in agreement with the CoC Board’s decision, the partner agency can appeal to the CoC. The CoC will review the CoC Board’s decision and make the final determination on partner agency appeals. The CoC will be the final decision maker regarding any programs that should be removed from HUD funding based on the CoC Board recommendation.

HUD APPLICATION

HUD Continuum of Care Application

FY 2022 Notice of Funding Opportunity

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has released the FY 2022 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants Notice of Funding Availability. The CoC Program is designed to promote a community-wide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness; to provide funding for efforts by nonprofit providers, states, Indian Tribes or tribally designated housing entities, and local governments to quickly rehouse homeless individuals, families, persons fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and youth while minimizing the trauma and dislocation caused by homelessness; to promote access to and effective utilization of mainstream programs by homeless individuals and families; and to optimize self-sufficiency among those experiencing homelessness. The goal of the Youth Homelessness Demonstration Program is to support the development and implementation of a coordinated community approach to preventing and ending youth homelessness and sharing that experience with and mobilizing communities around the country toward the same end. The population to be served by the demonstration program is youth experiencing homelessness, including unaccompanied and pregnant or parenting youth.

CoCs are required to designate a Collaborative Applicant to submit a consolidated CoC application for funding for the community as a whole, and the Community Shelter Board (CSB) is Columbus and Franklin County's collaborative applicant. Please contact CSB Grants Administrator Gillian Gunawan (ggunawan@csb.org) if you have a new, eligible program that you would like to discuss for this year's CoC application.

Funding Opportunity for Programs Serving Survivors of Domestic Violence

In this year's CoC competition, HUD has set aside \$52 million for rapid re-housing projects and supportive service projects providing coordinated entry to assist survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking. The Columbus and Franklin County CoC is accepting proposals for these funds to be included in our community's annual CoC application.

Application Schedule

Dates	Activities
8/5/2022	CSB establishes project review & application schedule; releases to applicants via email
8/30/2022	All applications due to CSB by 5pm via e-snaps
8/31/2022 - 9/9/2022	CSB reviews applications and works with applicants to correct technical issues
9/12/2022	CoC Board meeting to consider CoC Consolidated Application and project prioritization
9/15/2022	Final applications due to CSB via e-snaps
9/15/2022	Notify CoC Applicants of project prioritization
9/20/2022	CoC Meeting to consider CoC Consolidated Application
9/30/2022	Application due to HUD via e-snaps

FY 2022 Notice of Funding Opportunity Applicant Materials

Additional application information will be posted here when available.

2022 Continuum of Care Review, Score, and Ranking Process

2022 Continuum of Care Application Schedule

Continuum of Care Reallocation Policy

Scoring and Ranking 2022

2022 Supplemental Notice of Funding Opportunity to address Unsheltered Homelessness

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) issued a **CoC Supplemental Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) to address Unsheltered Homelessness**. This is a unique opportunity to apply for NEW funding to address unsheltered homelessness. The CoC Program is designed to promote a community-wide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness; to provide funding for efforts by nonprofit providers, states, Indian Tribes or tribally designated housing entities, and local governments to quickly rehouse homeless individuals, families, persons fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and youth while minimizing the trauma and dislocation caused by homelessness; to promote access to and effective utilization of mainstream programs by homeless individuals and families; and to optimize self-sufficiency among those experiencing homelessness.

Community Shelter Board (CSB) is seeking proposals in response to the Continuum of Care Supplemental Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered Homelessness, (Continuum of Care Supplemental to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness (hud.gov)). **Applications are due to CSB by 5 pm on August 19, 2022.**

Applicants can apply to provide:

- Permanent Housing
 - Rapid Rehousing
 - Permanent Supportive Housing (costs for acquisition, new construction or rehab are not allowable)
- Joint Transitional Housing and PH-Rapid Rehousing
- Supportive services only (includes projects providing services to unsheltered)
 - Street Outreach
 - Coordinated Entry
- HMIS
- CoC Planning (limited at 3% of the application)
- CoC UFA (limited at 3% of the application)

The CoC Scoring and Ranking document and the CoC Plan for Serving Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs (referenced in the applications) will be issued at a later date but prior to the proposals due date.

CSB is seeking proposals that will demonstrate the ability to create a sustainable program that will achieve all expected outcomes. Review the expected outcomes by project type:

Program_Performance_Standards_FY23

Applicants should have experience with providing some or all of the services described in the NOFO. Applicants are expected to implement programs that comply with CSB Partner Agency Standards and the Homeless Crisis Response System Policies and Procedures.

The application is competitive and only the top ranked CoCs will be awarded funding. The application process closely mirrors the annual Continuum of Care Application process. We are expecting to have two concurrent Continuum of Care Applications this year – the regular renewal application expected to be due in September and the new opportunity to address unsheltered homelessness due October 20.

Maximum award for Columbus and Franklin County: \$9,123,297 (over 3 years)

Grant term: 3 years, renewable

Grant start date: July 1, 2023

Application Schedule

Dates	Activities
7/18/2022	Request for proposals released
7/29/2022	Notify CSB via email if you would like to receive responses to submitted questions
8/19/2022	Draft applications due to CSB by 5 pm in Word format
8/22/2022 – 8/29/2022	CSB reviews draft applications and works with applicants to finalize
Week of 9/5	CAC meets to review the projects and rank them
9/9/2022	CoC Workgroup meets to review, score projects and rank them
9/13/2022	Draft application due to CSB by 5 pm via email in esnaps format
9/16/2022	Final applications due to CSB by 5 pm in esnaps
10/3/2022	Notify applicants of project prioritization or rejection

2022 SUPPLEMENTAL NOFO APPLICANT MATERIALS

Please make sure to use the correct project type application.

DRAFT CoC Plan for Serving Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs

Scoring and Ranking Tool

RFP Guidelines

Full Application Schedule

FY22 Match Letter Template

PSH

Street Outreach

RRH

Coordinated Entry

Joint TH-RRH

2022 SUPPLEMENTAL NOFO Q&A **+**

2021 **+**

2020 **+**

2019 **+**

2018 **+**

2017 **+**

2016 **+**

2015 **+**

© 2022 Columbus & Franklin County, Ohio Continuum of Care

Notification of Projects Accepted

In response to question 1E-5a, please see the portions highlighted below demonstrating that OH-503 provided notification to Projects Accepted on 9/13/2022 before the deadline of September 15, 2022.



From: [Lianna Barbu](#)
To: [Alexander Murphy](#); [Amanda Frankl](#); [Amber Jacott](#); [Andrew Roth](#); [Bart Henning](#); [Beth Fetzer-Rice](#); [Betsy McGraw](#); [brittani.perdue@use.salvationarmy.org](#); [Christina Alutto](#); [cbain@nationalchurchresidences.org](#); [Constance Duncan](#); [courtneyelrod@equitashealth.com](#); [Crystal Gary](#); [De Andree Nekoranec](#); [Hawes, Emily](#); [Erin Macklin](#); [Jennifer Gulley](#); [Joan Russinovich](#); [Judy Peterson](#); [Kala Brean](#); [Katie Elsass](#); [Kelly Valentine](#); [Kyra Crockett Hodge](#); [LaRaun Clayton](#); [Lynda Leclerc](#); [mzimmerman@nationalchurchresidences.org](#); [Mary Price](#); [Melissa Humbert-Washington](#); [Michael Gildea](#); [Nichole Goodman](#); [Nick Winslow](#); [Patricia Mason](#); [rpickut@maryhaven.com](#); [Shanda McJunkins](#); [sdarby@ymcacolumbus.org](#); [Terri Davis](#); [theresan@homefull.org](#); [Tiana Purvis](#); [Tom Patton](#); [Trudy Elder](#); [Valerie Henthorn](#); [wspinner@nationalchurchresidences.org](#); [lynn.rosenthal@familysafetyandhealing.org](#); [Cunningham, Nancy](#); [Weathers, Katherine](#)
Cc: [Gillian Gunawan](#); [Kirstin Jones](#)
Subject: Project Scoring and Rankings for the FY22 CoC Application
Date: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 10:26:20 AM
Attachments: [Scoring and Ranking 2022 for web posting.pdf](#)
[FY22 CoC Scoring Ranking and Prioritization.pdf](#)

Good Morning,

Thank you for submitting Project Applications for the FY22 CoC competition. The CoC Board met on September 12, 2022 to review the FY22 CoC Application and rank renewal and new Project Applications according to the attached 2022 CoC Scoring Ranking and Prioritization Procedures.

Please see attached the CoC Board's recommendations for the ranked position of the project applications, including project scores and funding amounts. The CoC Board accepted all projects except one. One new project was rejected due to insufficient CoC Bonus funding. YHDP, CoC planning, and UFA projects were accepted and not ranked, per HUD's guidance.

The full CoC will meet on September 20 to review and approve the full CoC application.

The ranking is posted on the CoC website here:

<http://www.columbusfranklincountycoc.org/hud-application/>. Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thank you

Lianna Barbu (she/her)

Associate Director



Community Shelter Board
355 E. Campus View Blvd., Suite 250
Columbus, OH 43235
Desk: 614-715-2535
Cell: 614-787-7062
www.csb.org

Columbus and Franklin County Continuum of Care (OH-503) 2022 CoC Review, Score, and Ranking Procedures

Date CoC Competition Opened:	8/1/22
Date e-snaps Opened:	8/15/22
Date CoC Application due to HUD:	9/30/22
Columbus/Franklin County Annual Renewal Demand (ARD):	\$18,344,447

I. 2022 HUD Funding Available

Tier 1 (95% of ARD less YHDP)	\$14,390,207
YHDP projects (not ranked)	\$3,196,861 (not ranked)
Tier 2 (5% of ARD)	\$757,379
Bonus Funding	\$917,222
DV Bonus Funding	\$779,491
CoC Planning Funding	\$550,333 (not ranked)
UFA Funding	\$550,333 (not ranked)

II. Columbus and Franklin County CoC Ranking process

The following Priority Guideline will be used, while also applying the scoring process detailed below. The CoC for Columbus and Franklin County decided it will not prioritize new projects over renewing projects for the following reasons:

1. Renewing projects have active clients that benefit from the housing and services provision. By putting at higher risk of defunding renewing projects, it is possible that a non-funded project would be in a position of uprooting, and in a worst-case scenario unhousing, current active participants.
2. As a Unified Funding Agency, Community Shelter Board works with all subrecipients throughout the grant year to improve performance for a non-performing project and ultimately identifies a different subrecipient if performance does not improve. These changes occur in a seamless fashion, in most cases without a need for a project reallocation and with no impact on the participants served. Because of the process described above, no new project would take priority over a well-functioning, active, existing project.

The CoC Board will propose the ranking for all new and renewal projects. The CoC will review the proposal from the CoC Board and will give final approval.

Tier 1 Prioritization/Ranking up to the Tier 1 amount:

- < New or reallocated projects renewing for the first time (held harmless because lack of performance history) and YHDP projects (as long as HUD is not requiring prioritizing these projects) do not receive a score and are prioritized first.
- < Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH), Joint RRH/TH and Transitional Housing (TH) for youth (non-YHDP) using the scoring and rating criteria described

below, in descending order of their score based on the total accumulated points, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount.

- < New PSH through reallocation or bonus for 100% chronic homeless (CH) as ranked by the CoC through resolution, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount.
- < New RRH through reallocation or bonus as ranked by the CoC through resolution, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount.
- < New Supportive Services Only (SSO) for Coordinated Entry (CE) (non-YHDP), up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount.
- < Under Tier 1, for the 2nd to last project, if all else equal, the funding impact on the entire CoC will trigger the ranking position.
- < Under Tier 1, the HMIS renewal project will be ranked last but it will not trail Tier 1 and Tier 2.

Tier 2 Prioritization, if required:

1. Renewal PSH, RRH, Joint RRH/TH and TH for youth (non-YHDP) using the scoring and rating criteria described below, in descending order of their score based on the total accumulated points.
2. Any renewal project that did not follow the locally established competition guidelines will be ranked as the last renewal project in Tier 2.
3. New PSH through reallocation or bonus for 100% chronic homeless (CH) as ranked by the CoC through resolution and following the new project development process.
4. New RRH or Joint RRH/TH through reallocation or bonus as ranked by the CoC through resolution and following the new project development process.
5. New (SSO for CE (non-YHDP) and following the new project development process.

III. Columbus and Franklin County CoC Scoring/Rating Process for Renewal and New Projects

Each of the projects renewing CoC funding will be awarded a score using the scoring process below:

Renewal projects	Points Available	Description
Program Performance scoring		The CoC emphasizes performance of funded programs. The latest program evaluation available (FY2022) evaluates each project based on its performance for the period 7/1/2021 – 12/31/2021. Program Evaluation ratings are determined by measuring outputs and outcomes inclusive of Households Served, Successful Housing Outcomes based on destination at exit, Housing Stability, Occupancy, Recidivism, Change in Income, Income from Employment, Cost Effectiveness and annual Program Review and Certification to confirm compliance with HUD and local regulations, by project type, as detailed in section IV. The Program Performance Measurement and Program Performance Standards sections of this document detail the performance rating. For the Joint TH/RRH projects, the average of the separate project scores will be used for the combined project scoring.
High (meets 75% or more of measured outcomes and outputs by project type detailed in section IV)	10	
Medium (meets at least 50% but less than 75% of measured outcomes and outputs by project type detailed in section IV)	6	
Low/Not rated (meets less than 50% of measured outcomes and outputs by project type detailed in section IV)	2	
Usage of HUD grant funds scoring		The CoC emphasizes effective utilization of funds. Programs are scored based on the total grant
100% funds used	10	

80-99% funds used	8	amount and the amount that was drawn down from HUD for the most recent closed grant cycle (6/30/2022).
60-79% funds used	5	
40-59% funds used	2	
0-39% funds used	0	
Special barriers program scoring	0	The CoC is not assigning any additional points for a special barriers criteria. All projects in the CoC are serving the most vulnerable population. The vulnerability is determined based on standardized criteria system-wide and projects do serve the highest priority clients system-wide for each of the respective project types.
Maximum possible points	20	
Minimum possible points	2	

Each of the new projects requesting CoC bonus or reallocated funding will be awarded a score using the scoring process below:

- < New project Concept Papers can be submitted throughout the year to CSB; CSB will conduct a threshold review and work with the applicant to get the project to where the project meets the general/threshold criteria and can be presented to the CoC Board for their review.
- < During the May CoC Board meeting the new Concept Papers will be presented to the CoC Board and the CoC Board will score and rank new projects for approval by the CoC and in preparation of the CoC competition, using the scoring criteria below.
- < Prioritization will be done in descending order of the project scores, based on the total accumulated points.
- < If all else equal, the project that will be ready the earliest will receive priority.

New projects	Points Available	General Points	Project type specific Points	Performance Points
PSH projects	45	11	20	14
RRH projects	45	11	20	14
Joint RRH/TH projects	39	11	14	14
SSO non-CE projects	39	11	14	14
SSO CE projects	35	11	10	14

IV. Columbus and Franklin County CoC Program Performance Elements

Each of the projects renewing their CoC funding will have their performance evaluated considering the metrics below, distinct based on the project type. Some youth-specific measures are still being benchmarked.

Supportive Housing

PSH – Permanent Supportive Housing; TH = Transitional Housing;

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
Efficient number of households served	Households served (#)	Set based on prior year(s) attainment and program capacity.

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
Access to resources/services to move to and stabilize housing	Housing Stability	At least standard below or greater if prior year(s) achievement was greater <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At least 12 months for PSH (goal to be set not to exceed 24 months, actual attainment may be greater than goal) Up to 4 months for TH
	Housing Affordability at Exit (%) (PSH only)	At least 50% of successful households have their housing affordability ratio, measured as cost of housing (rent and utilities) divided by the household's income at exit, lower than 50%. (Monitored only.)
Basic needs met in a non-congregate environment	Successful housing outcomes (%)	At least 90% successful housing outcomes for PSH and 77% successful housing outcomes for TH.
	Successful housing outcomes (#)	Calculated based on the Successful housing outcomes % measurement.
	Successful housing exits (%) (PSH only)	At least 50% of exits are successful housing outcomes.
Not re-enter the emergency shelter system	Exit to Homelessness (%)	<10% of those who exit housing will return to homelessness within 180 days of exit.
Efficient and effective use of a pool of community resources	Cost per household	Cost per household will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.
	Cost per unit	Cost per unit will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.
	Program Occupancy Rate (%)	Full occupancy (>95%).
	Turnover Rate (%) (PSH only)	Set based on prior year(s) attainment, an annual 20% turnover rate is desirable. (Monitored only.)
	Pass program certification	Provide access to resources and services to end homelessness.
CoC or HUD Standards	Negative Reason for leaving (%)	Less than 20% leave for non-compliance or disagreement with rules
	Increase in cash income, other than employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%)	At least 30% of adults will increase income from other sources than employment from entry to exit or end of

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
		reporting period.
	Increase in income from employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%)	At least 15% of adults will have increased employment income from entry to exit or end of reporting period.

Rapid Re-housing Program

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
Efficient number of households served	Households served (#)	Set based on program capacity, prior year(s) attainment and funds available.
	New households served (#)	Set based on program capacity, prior year(s) attainment and funds available.
	Program Occupancy rate (%)	Set at 80% to ensure efficient use of available capacity. (Monitored only.)
	Average length of participation	Not to exceed standard below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 125 days for short-term family programs • 110 days for the single adult RRH programs • 180 days for medium-term programs
Access to resources/services to move to and stabilize housing	Usage of CSB Direct Client Assistance (%)	% of households that receive CSB DCA at 75% for families and 50% for single adults exiting RRH programs.
	Average length of shelter stay	Average stay in Emergency Shelter not to exceed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 34 days for families • 35 days for single adults (calculated from the date of program entry to shelter exit).
	Housing Affordability at Exit (%) (Family programs only)	At least 50% of successful households have their housing affordability ratio, measured as cost of housing (rent and utilities) divided by the household's income at exit, lower than 50%. (Monitored only).

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
	Increase in cash income, other than employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%) (J2H and DV only)	At least 30% of adults will increase income from other sources than employment from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Increase in income from employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%) (J2H and DV only)	At least 15% of adults will have increased employment income from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
Basic needs met in a non-congregate environment	Successful housing outcome (%)	At least 75% successful housing outcomes for families and 50% for single adults exiting the RRH programs.
	Successful housing outcome (#)	Calculated based on the Successful housing outcomes % measurement.
Not re-enter the emergency shelter system	Recidivism (%)	<10% of those who obtain housing will return to homelessness within 180 days of program exit.
Efficient and effective use of a pool of community resources	Cost per household	Cost per household will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.
	Pass program certification	Provide resources and services to end homelessness.

YOUTH: Emergency Shelter – Coordinated Access and Rapid Resolution

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
Efficient number of households served	Households served (#)	Set based on prior year attainment and funds available.
	New households served (#)	Set based on prior year attainment and funds available.
	Average length of participation	Not to exceed 90 days
Access to resources to address immediate housing need	Successful outcome (%)	At least 75% successful housing/shelter outcomes.
	Successful outcome (#)	Calculated based on the Successful outcomes % measurement.
	Average time to TAY program referrals	The average time to a successful referral not to exceed XX days.
Not re-enter the emergency shelter system	Recidivism (%)	<10% of those who obtain housing will return to homelessness within 180 days of exit.

Efficient and effective use of a pool of community resources	Pass program certification	Provide access to and coordination with community resources and services to prevent homelessness.
	Cost per household	Cost per youth will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.

YOUTH: Supportive Housing

PSH – Permanent Supportive Housing; TH = Transitional Housing;

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
Efficient number of households served	Households served (#)	Set based on prior year(s) attainment and program capacity.
Access to resources/services to move to and stabilize housing	Housing Stability	At least standard below or greater if prior year(s) achievement was greater <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At least 12 months for PSH (goal to be set not to exceed 24 months, actual attainment may be greater than goal) Up to 10 months for TH
	Housing Affordability at Exit (%) (PSH only)	At least 50% of successful youth have their housing affordability ratio, measured as cost of housing (rent and utilities) divided by the household's income at exit, lower than 50%. (Monitored only.)
	Usage of CSB Direct Client Assistance (%) (TH only)	% of youth that receive CSB DCA will be consistent with prior performance and/or program design.
Basic needs met in a non-congregate environment	Successful housing outcomes (%)	At least 90% successful housing outcomes for PSH and 80% successful housing outcomes for TH.
	Successful housing outcomes (#)	Calculated based on the Successful housing outcomes % measurement.
	Successful housing exits (%) (PSH only)	At least 50% of exits are successful housing outcomes.
Not re-enter the emergency shelter system	Exit to Homelessness (%)	<10% of those who exit housing will return to homelessness within 180 days of exit.
Efficient and effective use of a pool of community resources	Cost per household	Cost per youth will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
	Cost per unit	Cost per unit will be consistent with budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.
	Average Engagement Time (TH only)	For TH, the engagement time from shelter or CARR Team not to exceed XX days.
	Program Occupancy Rate (%)	Full occupancy (>95%) for PSH and 95% for TH.
	Turnover Rate (%) (PSH only)	Set based on prior year(s) attainment, an annual 20% turnover rate is desirable. (Monitored only.)
	Pass program certification	Provide access to resources and services to end homelessness.
CoC or HUD Standards	Negative Reason for leaving (%)	Less than 20% leave for non-compliance or disagreement with rules
	Increase in cash income, other than employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%)	At least 30% of youth adults will increase income from other sources than employment from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Increase in income from employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%)	At least 15% of youth adults will have increased employment income from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Income growth	At least 30% of youth adults increase their income from entry to exit or end of reporting period. (Monitored only)
	Improved physical health status	At least 25% of youth self-report improved physical health from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Improved substance use status	At least 50% of youth self-report decreased substance use from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Improved mental health status	At least 35% of youth self-report improved mental health from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Education goal met	At least 50% of youth self-report meeting their education goal from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Employment goal met	At least 50% of youth self-report meeting their employment goal from entry to exit or end of reporting period.

YOUTH: Rapid Re-housing Program

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
Efficient number of households served	Households served (#)	Set based on program capacity, prior year(s) attainment and funds available.
	New households served (#)	Set based on program capacity, prior year(s) attainment and funds available.
	Set at 80% to ensure efficient use of available capacity. (Monitored only.)	Program Occupancy rate (%)
	Average length of participation	180 days for all youth participants
Access to resources/services to move to and stabilize housing	Usage of CSB Direct Client Assistance (%)	% of youth that receive CSB DCA will be consistent with prior performance and/or program design.
	Average length of shelter stay	Average stay in Emergency Shelter not to exceed 35 days (calculated from the date of program entry to shelter exit).
	Average Engagement Time	The engagement time from shelter or CARR Team not to exceed XX days.
	Increase in cash income, other than employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%) (J2H only)	At least 30% of youth adults will increase income from other sources than employment from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Increase in income from employment, from entry to exit or end of reporting period (%) (J2H only)	At least 15% of youth adults will have increased employment income from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Income growth	At least 30% of youth increase their income from entry to exit or end of reporting period. (Monitored only)
Basic needs met in a non-congregate environment	Successful housing outcome (%)	At least 60% successful housing outcomes for youth exiting the RRH program.
	Successful housing outcome (#)	Calculated based on the Successful housing outcomes % measurement.
Not re-enter the emergency shelter system	Recidivism (%)	<10% of those who obtain housing will return to homelessness within 180 days of program exit.
Efficient and effective use of a pool of	Cost per household	Cost per youth will be consistent with

Ends	Measurement	Annual Metrics
community resources		budget. Evaluated annually and presented to the CoC.
	Pass program certification	Provide resources and services to end homelessness.
CoC or HUD Standards	Improved physical health status	At least 15% of youth self-report improved physical health from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Improved substance use status	At least 30% of youth self-report decreased substance use from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Improved mental health status	At least 15% of youth self-report improved mental health from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Education goal met	At least 50% of youth self-report meeting their education goal from entry to exit or end of reporting period.
	Employment goal met	At least 55% of youth self-report meeting their employment goal from entry to exit or end of reporting period.

V. Program Performance Measurement

Program performance outcome goals are compared with actual performance to determine consistency with CSB, CoC, or HUD standards. For outcome definitions and methodologies, please see the Appendix of the Annual Program Evaluation or the Program Methodology document posted on www.csb.org.

Each performance goal is assessed as achieved (Yes), not achieved (No), or not applicable (N/A). *Achieved Goal* is defined as 90% or better of a numerical goal or within 5 percentage points of a percentage goal, except where a lesser or greater value than this variance also indicates an achieved goal (e.g. Average Length of Stay goal was met if actual achievement is 105% or less of goal). HUD performance goals do not allow for this variance, they are fixed goals. *Not Applicable* is assigned when a performance goal was not assigned; the reason for this is explained in the footnote for the respective program.

Each program is assigned a performance rating¹ of High, Medium, or Low as determined by overall program achievement of performance outcomes for the evaluation period. Ratings are based on the following:

<i>Rating</i>	<i>Achievement of Program Outcome Measure ²</i>
<i>High</i>	<i>achieve at least 75% of the measured outcomes and at least one of the</i>

¹ In some instances, the program was too new to evaluate; therefore, a performance rating was not assigned.

² If serious and persistent program non-performance issues existed prior to evaluation, then the program was assigned a lower rating than what its program achievement of performance outcomes would otherwise warrant.

	<i>successful housing outcomes (either number or percentage outcome)</i>
<i>Medium</i>	<i>achieve at least 50% but less than 75% of the measured outcomes</i>
<i>Low</i>	<i>achieve less than 50% of the measured outcomes</i>

All programs rated as “Low” performers are considered enhanced-risk. Programs of concern may be considered enhanced-risk. Enhanced-risk programs and/or those experiencing long-standing and serious program issues and/or systemic agency concerns enter into a Quality Improvement (QI) Plan with CSB. The QI Plan is tailored to the partner agency and focused on the steps required to become compliant and/or improve performance. The QI Plan process is based on one-on-one dialogues between CSB and the partner agency and considers agency plans and progress on addressing program issues. Partner agencies with enhanced-risk programs will enter into a conditional contract with CSB for the remainder of the current fiscal year. Specific conditions will be determined by the areas of concern leading to the non-compliant status.

If the partner agency and/or CSB find that the QI Plan process is not working, either may refer the concerns or issues to the CoC Board. The partner agency will be given an opportunity to present its case to the CoC Board, at the CoC Board’s request.

If the partner agency is not in agreement with the CoC Board’s decision, the partner agency can appeal to the CoC. The CoC will review the CoC Board’s decision and make the final determination on partner agency appeals. The CoC will be the final decision maker regarding any programs that should be removed from HUD funding based on the CoC Board recommendation.

HUD APPLICATION

HUD Continuum of Care Application

FY 2022 Notice of Funding Opportunity

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has released the FY 2022 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants Notice of Funding Availability. The CoC Program is designed to promote a community-wide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness; to provide funding for efforts by nonprofit providers, states, Indian Tribes or tribally designated housing entities, and local governments to quickly rehouse homeless individuals, families, persons fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and youth while minimizing the trauma and dislocation caused by homelessness; to promote access to and effective utilization of mainstream programs by homeless individuals and families; and to optimize self-sufficiency among those experiencing homelessness. The goal of the Youth Homelessness Demonstration Program is to support the development and implementation of a coordinated community approach to preventing and ending youth homelessness and sharing that experience with and mobilizing communities around the country toward the same end. The population to be served by the demonstration program is youth experiencing homelessness, including unaccompanied and pregnant or parenting youth.

CoCs are required to designate a Collaborative Applicant to submit a consolidated CoC application for funding for the community as a whole, and the Community Shelter Board (CSB) is Columbus and Franklin County's collaborative applicant. Please contact CSB Grants Administrator Gillian Gunawan (ggunawan@csb.org) if you have a new, eligible program that you would like to discuss for this year's CoC application.

Funding Opportunity for Programs Serving Survivors of Domestic Violence

In this year's CoC competition, HUD has set aside \$52 million for rapid re-housing projects and supportive service projects providing coordinated entry to assist survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking. The Columbus and Franklin County CoC is accepting proposals for these funds to be included in our community's annual CoC application.

Application Schedule

Dates	Activities
8/5/2022	CSB establishes project review & application schedule; releases to applicants via email
8/30/2022	All applications due to CSB by 5pm via e-snaps
8/31/2022 - 9/9/2022	CSB reviews applications and works with applicants to correct technical issues
9/12/2022	CoC Board meeting to consider CoC Consolidated Application and project prioritization
9/15/2022	Final applications due to CSB via e-snaps
9/15/2022	Notify CoC Applicants of project prioritization
9/20/2022	CoC Meeting to consider CoC Consolidated Application
9/30/2022	Application due to HUD via e-snaps

FY 2022 Notice of Funding Opportunity Applicant Materials

Additional application information will be posted here when available.

2022 Continuum of Care Review, Score, and Ranking Process

2022 Continuum of Care Application Schedule

Continuum of Care Reallocation Policy

Scoring and Ranking 2022

2022 Supplemental Notice of Funding Opportunity to address Unsheltered Homelessness

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) issued a **CoC Supplemental Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) to address Unsheltered Homelessness**. This is a unique opportunity to apply for NEW funding to address unsheltered homelessness. The CoC Program is designed to promote a community-wide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness; to provide funding for efforts by nonprofit providers, states, Indian Tribes or tribally designated housing entities, and local governments to quickly rehouse homeless individuals, families, persons fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and youth while minimizing the trauma and dislocation caused by homelessness; to promote access to and effective utilization of mainstream programs by homeless individuals and families; and to optimize self-sufficiency among those experiencing homelessness.

Community Shelter Board (CSB) is seeking proposals in response to the Continuum of Care Supplemental Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered Homelessness, (Continuum of Care Supplemental to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness (hud.gov)). **Applications are due to CSB by 5 pm on August 19, 2022.**

Applicants can apply to provide:

- Permanent Housing
 - Rapid Rehousing
 - Permanent Supportive Housing (costs for acquisition, new construction or rehab are not allowable)
- Joint Transitional Housing and PH-Rapid Rehousing
- Supportive services only (includes projects providing services to unsheltered)
 - Street Outreach
 - Coordinated Entry
- HMIS
- CoC Planning (limited at 3% of the application)
- CoC UFA (limited at 3% of the application)

The CoC Scoring and Ranking document and the CoC Plan for Serving Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs (referenced in the applications) will be issued at a later date but prior to the proposals due date.

CSB is seeking proposals that will demonstrate the ability to create a sustainable program that will achieve all expected outcomes. Review the expected outcomes by project type:

Program_Performance_Standards_FY23

Applicants should have experience with providing some or all of the services described in the NOFO. Applicants are expected to implement programs that comply with CSB Partner Agency Standards and the Homeless Crisis Response System Policies and Procedures.

The application is competitive and only the top ranked CoCs will be awarded funding. The application process closely mirrors the annual Continuum of Care Application process. We are expecting to have two concurrent Continuum of Care Applications this year – the regular renewal application expected to be due in September and the new opportunity to address unsheltered homelessness due October 20.

Maximum award for Columbus and Franklin County: \$9,123,297 (over 3 years)

Grant term: 3 years, renewable

Grant start date: July 1, 2023

Application Schedule

Dates	Activities
7/18/2022	Request for proposals released
7/29/2022	Notify CSB via email if you would like to receive responses to submitted questions
8/19/2022	Draft applications due to CSB by 5 pm in Word format
8/22/2022 – 8/29/2022	CSB reviews draft applications and works with applicants to finalize
Week of 9/5	CAC meets to review the projects and rank them
9/9/2022	CoC Workgroup meets to review, score projects and rank them
9/13/2022	Draft application due to CSB by 5 pm via email in esnaps format
9/16/2022	Final applications due to CSB by 5 pm in esnaps
10/3/2022	Notify applicants of project prioritization or rejection

2022 SUPPLEMENTAL NOFO APPLICANT MATERIALS

Please make sure to use the correct project type application.

DRAFT CoC Plan for Serving Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs

Scoring and Ranking Tool

RFP Guidelines

Full Application Schedule

FY22 Match Letter Template

PSH

Street Outreach

RRH

Coordinated Entry

Joint TH-RRH

2022 SUPPLEMENTAL NOFO Q&A **+**

2021 **+**

2020 **+**

2019 **+**

2018 **+**

2017 **+**

2016 **+**

2015 **+**

© 2022 Columbus & Franklin County, Ohio Continuum of Care

Final Project Scores for All Projects

In response to question 1E-5b, please see the portions below demonstrating the final project scores for all new and renewal projects OH-503 considered during its local competition.



Final Project Scores for All Projects

Subgrantee and Project Name	Grant Number	Project Score	Project Rank	Award Amount	Project accepted/rejected Status
YHDP CHN Marsh Brook-NCR Youth PSH	OH0693Y5E032100	N/A	N/A	\$346,341	Accepted
YHDP HFF RRH	OH0695Y5E032100	N/A	N/A	\$932,587	Accepted
YHDP HFF Transition to Home	OH0694Y5E032100	N/A	N/A	\$1,693,933	Accepted
YHDP Huckleberry House TAY CARR	OH0692Y5E032100	N/A	N/A	\$224,000	Accepted
Homefull TRA EHV/Mainstream	OH0076U5E032114	N/A	1	\$871,351	Accepted
CHN East Fifth	OH0088U5E032114	20	2	\$232,914	Accepted
CHN Family Homes	OH0082U5E032114	20	3	\$13,310	Accepted
CHN Inglewood	OH0410U5E032109	20	4	\$60,247	Accepted
CHN Parsons	OH0093U5E032114	20	5	\$331,658	Accepted
CHN Southpoint	OH0281U5E032113	20	6	\$213,546	Accepted
CHN Wilson	OH0101U5E032114	20	7	\$66,279	Accepted
NCR Buckingham	OH0394U5E032111	20	8	\$172,375	Accepted
NCR Grant	OH0085U5E032114	20	9	\$172,376	Accepted
NCR Third	OH0372U5E032110	20	10	\$172,375	Accepted
Homefull TRA	OH0084U5E032114	18	11	\$1,943,372	Accepted
Maryhaven Chantry	OH0090U5E032114	18	12	\$183,196	Accepted
Homefull Isaiah	OH0075U5E032111	18	13	\$2,170,275	Accepted
CHN Safe Haven	OH0097U5E032114	16	14	\$188,951	Accepted
CHN Terrace	OH0092U5E032114	16	15	\$135,549	Accepted
Huckleberry House TLP	OH0099U5E032114	16	16	\$232,135	Accepted
NCR Van Buren Village	OH0470U5E032105	16	17	\$64,200	Accepted
VOA Family PSH	OH0094U5E032114	16	18	\$570,633	Accepted
YWCA WINGS	OH0102U5E032114	16	19	\$257,848	Accepted
CHN Marsh Brook	OH0630U5E032103	16	20	\$254,000	Accepted
CHN Briggsdale	OH0078U5E032114	14	21	\$185,605	Accepted
Equitas TRA	OH0080U5E032114	14	22	\$910,455	Accepted
Homefull Leasing	OH0312U5E032110	14	23	\$1,421,296	Accepted
Homefull SRA	OH0083U5E032114	14	24	\$2,128,589	Accepted
YMCA Touchstone	OH0445U5E032109	14	25	\$237,170	Accepted
CSB HMIS	OH0087U5E032114	N/A	26	\$164,070	Accepted
YMCA DV RRH	OH0617U5E032103	10	27	\$1,467,226	Accepted
TSA RRH J2H*	OH0074U5E032110	14	28	\$326,585	Accepted
N^^ Berwyn East Place	new	44	29	\$338,633	Accepted
CHN Poplar Fen Place	new	43	30	\$504,127	Accepted
Homefull Leasing Expansion	new	43	31	\$74,462	Accepted
YMCA Beacon PSH	new	42		\$439,456	Rejected
NWRI/TCFSH DV Coordinated Entry	new	35	32	\$195,057	Accepted
CoC Planning	OH0673U5E032000			\$550,333	Accepted
CoC UFA	OH0674U5E032000			\$550,333	Accepted

* De-ranked as last renewal project because delay in submitting project application, past local due date.

Housing Leveraging Commitments

In response to question 3A-1a, please see the following pages.

Project Name	Source of Commitment	Number of Housing Units	Number of Program Participants	25% of Total Units for Program Participants?	Date Units will be Available for Participants
N^^ Berwyn East Place	Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority/Housing Choice Voucher Program	36	36	Yes	Spring 2024
CHN Poplar Fen Place	Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority/Housing Choice Voucher Program	35	35	Yes	Fall 2024



**COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN
HOUSING AUTHORITY**
COMMUNITY. COMMITMENT. COLLABORATION.

880 East 11th Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43211
P: 614-421-6000
cmhanet.com

August 26, 2022

Michelle Heritage
Executive Director
Community Shelter Board
355 E Campus View Blvd Suite 250
Columbus, OH

RE: CoC Application

Dear Michelle Heritage:

The Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority (CMHA) is a committed partner in the Columbus and Franklin County Continuum of Care and the work to end homelessness in our community. The local goal is to open one new permanent supportive housing (PSH) project every year and so far, with the support of the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program, this goal has been met. For the 2022 Continuum of Care Application Bonus funding, a couple of new permanent supportive housing projects are prioritized and have a HCV commitment from CMHA.

Project Name	# of HCV subsidies for the PSH units	Anticipated date of availability
N^^ Berwyn East Place	36	Spring 2024
CHN Poplar Fen Place	35	Fall 2024

These projects are new single site developments, and the units will be available for program participants as soon as the buildings complete construction activities.

Thank you,

Justin C. Davis
Vice President
Housing Choice Voucher

Healthcare Formal Agreements

In response to question 3A-2a, please see following pages.

Project Name	Value of Commitment	Dates of Service
N^^ Berwyn East	\$169,317 annually	July 1 st , 2023-June 30 th , 2024
CHN Poplar Fen Place	\$63,532 annually	July 1 st , 2023-June 30 th , 2024
Homefull Hotel PSH/Leasing Expansion	\$50,000 annually	July 1 st , 2023-June 30 th , 2024

Project Eligibility Statement

Community Shelter Board, as the UFA, holds its subrecipients accountable for Fair Housing and as such project eligibility for program participants in the new PH-PSH projects above will be based on CoC Program fair housing requirements and will not be restricted by the health care service provider.

All subrecipients have the following logo and statement on their websites and other agency materials.



Equal Housing Opportunity Statement: We are pledged to the letter and spirit of U.S. policy for the achievement of equal housing opportunity throughout the Nation. We encourage and support an affirmative advertising and marketing program in which there are no barriers to obtaining housing because of race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin.





National Church Residences

Permanent Supportive Housing Services

August 30, 2022

Re: Healthcare Commitment for National Church Residences Permanent Supportive Housing Services (NCRPSHS)' Berwyn East Continuum of Care Application

As an authorized representative of National Church Residences Permanent Supportive Housing Services, I am pleased to provide this letter of commitment for **NCRPSHS' new Continuum of Care Berwyn East project**. NCRPSHS' Integrated Primary and Behavioral Healthcare practice is committed to providing services and support valued at **\$169,317**, from a variety of sources including Medicaid/Medicare reimbursement, direct client assistance for medications and lab testing not covered by insurance, peer support, employment services, and/or other resources as available.

National Church Residences Permanent Supportive Housing Services will use these funds to ensure the successful implementation of the Berwyn East project. The funds will be available **July 1, 2023 - June 30, 2024**. NCRPSHS expects the funds to be available based on prior year reimbursement, funding, and support provided for facilities similar in size and scope to Berwyn East. National Church Residences Permanent Supportive Housing Services is committed to making every effort to ensure funds are available for this project, including working to identify alternate funding in to cover any shortfalls.

Sincerely,

Sonya Brown,
Senior Vice President, Affordable Housing

398 S. Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 Phone: 614.224.2988 Fax: 614.716.0902



National Church Residences' Permanent Supportive Housing Services is pledged to the letter and spirit of U.S. policy for the achievement of equal housing opportunity throughout the Nation. We encourage and support an affirmative advertising and marketing program in which there are no barriers to obtaining housing because of race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin.

National Church Residences' Permanent Supportive Housing is a contract agency of the Alcohol, Drug and Mental Health Board of Franklin County. National Church Residences' Permanent Supportive Housing Communities are made possible through funding by the Community Shelter Board and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.



National Church Residences

Permanent Supportive Housing Services

August 18, 2022

Re: Healthcare Commitment for Community Housing Network (CHN)'s new Poplar Fen project Continuum of Care Application.

As an authorized representative of National Church Residences Permanent Supportive Housing Services (NCRPSHS), I am pleased to provide this letter of commitment for the proposed Continuum of Care Poplar Fen project. NCRPSHS' Integrated Primary and Behavioral Healthcare practice will serve as the primary service provider for Poplar Fen, and as such is committed to providing services and support valued at \$63,532 annually or \$127,064 over two years from a variety of sources including Medicaid/Medicare reimbursements, direct client assistance for medications and lab testing not covered by insurance, peer support/employment services, and/or other resources as available.

National Church Residences Permanent Supportive Housing Services will use these services and supports to ensure the successful implementation of CHN's Poplar Fen permanent supportive housing project.

The committed funding and/or in-kind support will be available July 1, 2023 - June 30, 2025. NCRPSHS expects the funds to be available based on prior year reimbursement, funding, and support provided for facilities similar in size and scope to Poplar Fen. National Church Residences Permanent Supportive Housing Services is committed to making every effort to ensure funds are available for this project and will work with CHN to help identify alternate funding in the event of any shortfalls.

Sincerely,

Sonya Brown
Senior Vice President, Affordable Housing

398 S. Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 Phone: 614.224.2988 Fax: 614.716.0902



National Church Residences' Permanent Supportive Housing Services is pledged to the letter and spirit of U.S. policy for the achievement of equal housing opportunity throughout the Nation. We encourage and support an affirmative advertising and marketing program in which there are no barriers to obtaining housing because of race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin.

National Church Residences' Permanent Supportive Housing is a contract agency of the Alcohol, Drug and Mental Health Board of Franklin County. National Church Residences' Permanent Supportive Housing Communities are made possible through funding by the Community Shelter Board and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

September 8, 2022

Lianna Barbu
Community Shelter Board
355 E Campus View Blvd Suite 250
Columbus OH 43235

Re: Match Documentation for Community Shelter Board CoC Application

As an authorized representative of Homefull, I am pleased to provide this letter of documentation for matching funds to the Continuum of Care (CoC) Leasing Expansion project. Homefull will match funds in the amount of \$125,000 from the Ohio Department of Development. We will also match funds in the amount of \$50,000 from the Ohio Mental Health and Addiction Services along with \$20,000 in tenant rents. Homefull will use these funds to ensure the continued success of the Leasing Expansion project. The funds will be available July 1, 2023 - June 30, 2024.

Homefull is committed to making every effort to ensure funds are available for this project. Private funding will cover any shortfall.

Sincerely,



Tina M. Patterson
Chief Executive Officer

Homefull does not share donor information



Promoting wellness and recovery

Mike DeWine, Governor • Lori Criss, Director • 30 E. Broad St. • Columbus, OH 43215 • (614) 466-2596 • mha.ohio.gov

August 3, 2022

Sent Via Email

Erika Clark Jones, Executive Director
ADAMHS of Franklin County
eclarkjones@adamhfranklin.org

RE: Pre-Application Commitment Letter

OhioMHAS Match for PY2022 ODOD Supportive Housing Program (SHP) Grant

Dear Ms. Jones,

OhioMHAS is pleased to have the opportunity to partner with you and the Ohio Department of Development (ODOD) in meeting the needs of Ohioans with mental illness and substance use disorder via the 2022 Supportive Housing Program (SHP).

The purpose of this letter is to verify that we have targeted up to **\$50,000 (or 50%) of the required match funds for the proposed named project: Homefull Hotel Conversion PSH toward the funding category of Permanent Supportive Housing to initiate and/or expand housing programs or projects for 70 homeless individuals with mental illness and/or substance use disorders in accordance with the guideline noted in the ODOD SHP Grant Application.**

OhioMHAS reserves the right to reduce the Pre-Application Commitment

OhioMHAS match funding (\$630,000) is subject to availability. Therefore, OhioMHAS may reduce Pre-Application Commitments on a pro rata basis to keep its total commitment to the amount of currently allocated funds.

Also, OhioMHAS awards will be based on ODOD's final award amount. Decreases by ODOD to the final award amount may result in decreases to OhioMHAS's Pre-Application match commitment. Projects that are not funded by ODOD will not receive OhioMHAS match funding.

Selection Criteria for OhioMHAS Match Funds

ODOD staff will review applications in accordance with the selection criteria set forth in the PY2022 ODOD SHP application instructions. Please include a copy of this letter in your ODOD application that is due on or before August 5, 2022.